

NON-WEYL RESONANCE ASYMPTOTICS FOR QUANTUM GRAPHS

E. B. DAVIES AND A. PUSHNITSKI

ABSTRACT. We consider the resonances of a quantum graph \mathcal{G} that consists of a compact part with one or more infinite leads attached to it. We discuss the leading term of the asymptotics of the number of resonances of \mathcal{G} in a disc of a large radius. We call \mathcal{G} a *Weyl graph* if the coefficient in front of this leading term coincides with the volume of the compact part of \mathcal{G} . We give an explicit topological criterion for a graph to be Weyl. In the final section we analyze a particular example in some detail to explain how the transition from the Weyl to the non-Weyl case occurs.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Quantum graphs. Let \mathcal{G}_0 be a finite compact metric graph. That is, \mathcal{G}_0 has finitely many edges and each edge is equipped with coordinates (denoted x) that identify this edge with a bounded interval of the real line. We choose some subset of vertices of \mathcal{G}_0 , to be called *external vertices*, and attach one or more copies of $[0, \infty)$, to be called *leads*, to each external vertex; the point 0 in a lead is thus identified with the relevant external vertex. We call the thus extended graph \mathcal{G} . We assume that \mathcal{G} has no “tadpoles”, i.e. no edge starts and ends at the same vertex; this can always be achieved by introducing additional vertices, if necessary. In order to distinguish the edges of \mathcal{G}_0 from the leads, we will call the former the *internal edges* of \mathcal{G} .

In $L^2(\mathcal{G})$ we consider the self-adjoint operator $H = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ with the continuity condition and the Kirchhoff boundary condition at each vertex of \mathcal{G} ; see Section 2 for the precise definitions. The metric graph \mathcal{G} equipped with the self-adjoint operator H in $L^2(\mathcal{G})$ is called the *quantum graph*. We refer to the surveys [19, 20] of Kuchment for a general exposition of quantum graph theory. Important earlier work on resonances of quantum graphs has been carried out by Kottos and Smilansky [18] and Kostykin and Schrader [15] (see also [16, 17]), but their results have little overlap with ours. For more recent progress see [9, 7].

If the set of leads is non-empty, it is easy to show by standard techniques (see e.g. [24, Lemma 1]) that the spectrum of H is $[0, \infty)$. The operator H may have embedded eigenvalues.

Date: 1 March 2010.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 34B45; Secondary 35B34, 47E05.

Key words and phrases. Quantum graph; resonance; Weyl asymptotics.

1.2. **Resonances of H .** The “classical” definition of resonances is

Definition 1.1. We will say that $k \in \mathbb{C}$, $k \neq 0$, is a *resonance* of H (or, by a slight abuse of terminology, a resonance of \mathcal{G}) if there exists a *resonance eigenfunction* $f \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{G})$, $f \not\equiv 0$, which satisfies the equation

$$(1.1) \quad -f''(x) = k^2 f(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{G},$$

on each edge and lead of \mathcal{G} , is continuous on \mathcal{G} , satisfies the Kirchhoff’s boundary condition at each vertex of \mathcal{G} and the *radiation condition* $f(x) = f(0)e^{ikx}$ on each lead of \mathcal{G} . We denote the set of all resonances of H by \mathcal{R} .

Any solution to (1.1) on a lead $\ell = [0, \infty)$ satisfies $f(x) = \gamma_\ell e^{ikx} + \gamma'_\ell e^{-ikx}$; the above definition requires that there exists a non-zero solution with all coefficients γ'_ℓ vanishing. It is easy to see that all resonances must satisfy $\text{Im } k \leq 0$; indeed, if k_0 with $\text{Im } k_0 > 0$ is a resonance then the corresponding resonance eigenfunction is in $L^2(\mathcal{G})$, so k_0^2 is an eigenvalue of H , which is impossible since $k_0^2 \notin [0, \infty)$. As we will only be interested in the asymptotics of the number of resonances in large disks, we exclude the case $k = 0$ from further consideration. In the absence of leads, the spectrum of H consists of non-negative eigenvalues and $k \neq 0$ is a resonance if and only if $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and k^2 is an eigenvalue of H .

It is well known (see e.g. [8, 9]) that the above “classical” definition of a resonance coincides with the definition via exterior complex scaling (see [1, 27, 28]). In the complex scaling approach, the resonances of H are identified with the eigenvalues of an auxiliary non-selfadjoint operator $H(i\theta)$, $\theta \in (0, \pi)$. The *algebraic multiplicity* of a resonance is then defined as the algebraic multiplicity of the corresponding eigenvalue of $H(i\theta)$. We discuss this in more detail in Section 2, where we show that the multiplicity is independent of θ . In particular, we show (in Theorem 2.3) that any $k \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \neq 0$, is a resonance if and only if k^2 is an eigenvalue of H and in this case the corresponding multiplicities coincide.

We define the *resonance counting function* by

$$N(R) = \#\{k : k \in \mathcal{R}, \quad |k| \leq R\}, \quad R > 0,$$

with the convention that each resonance is counted with its algebraic multiplicity taken into account. Note that the set \mathcal{R} of resonances is invariant under the symmetry $k \rightarrow -\bar{k}$, so this method of counting yields, roughly speaking, twice as many resonances as one would obtain if one imposed an additional condition $\text{Re}(k) \geq 0$. In particular, in the absence of leads, $N(R)$ equals twice the number of eigenvalues $\lambda \neq 0$ of H (counting multiplicities) with $\lambda \leq R^2$.

1.3. **Main result.** This paper is concerned with the asymptotics of the resonance counting function $N(R)$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$. We say that \mathcal{G} is a *Weyl graph*, if

$$(1.2) \quad N(R) = \frac{2}{\pi} \text{vol}(\mathcal{G}_0)R + o(R), \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\text{vol}(\mathcal{G}_0)$ is the sum of the lengths of the edges of \mathcal{G}_0 . If there are no leads then H has pure point spectrum, resonances are identified with eigenvalues of H

and Weyl's law (1.2) may be proved by Dirichlet-Neumann bracketing. Thus, every compact quantum graph is Weyl in our sense. As we show below, in the presence of leads this may not be the case.

We call an external vertex v of \mathcal{G} *balanced* if the number of leads attached to v equals the number of internal edges attached to v . If v is not balanced, we call it *unbalanced*. Our main result is

Theorem 1.2. *One has*

$$(1.3) \quad N(R) = \frac{2}{\pi}WR + O(1), \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty,$$

where the coefficient W satisfies $0 \leq W \leq \text{vol}(\mathcal{G}_0)$. One has $W = \text{vol}(\mathcal{G}_0)$ if and only if every external vertex of \mathcal{G} is unbalanced.

This theorem shows, in particular, that as the graph becomes larger and more complex the failure of Weyl's law becomes increasingly likely in an obvious sense.

1.4. Discussion. The simplest example of a graph \mathcal{G} with a balanced external vertex occurs when exactly one lead ℓ and exactly one internal edge e meet at a vertex. In this case, one can merge e and ℓ into a new lead; this will not affect the resonances of \mathcal{G} but will reduce $\text{vol} \mathcal{G}_0$. This already shows that \mathcal{G} cannot be Weyl. Section 6 discusses the another simple example.

Our proof of Theorem 1.2 consists of two steps. The first step is to identify the set \mathcal{R} of resonances with the set of zeros of $\det A(k)$, where $A(k)$ is a certain analytic matrix-valued function. This identification is straightforward, but it has a subtle aspect: this is to show that the algebraic multiplicity of a resonance coincides with the order of the zero of $\det A(k)$. This is done in Sections 4–5 by employing a range of rather standard techniques of spectral theory, including a resolvent identity which involves the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map.

The function $\det A(k)$ turns out to be an exponential polynomial. By a classical result (Theorem 3.2), the asymptotics of the zeros of an exponential polynomial can be explicitly expressed in terms of the coefficients of this polynomial. Thus, the second step of our proof is a direct and completely elementary analysis of the matrix $A(k)$ which allows us to relate the required information about the coefficients of the polynomial $\det A(k)$ to the question of whether the external vertices of \mathcal{G} are balanced. This is done in Section 3.

Resonance asymptotics of Weyl type have been established for compactly supported potentials on the real line, a class of super-exponentially decaying potentials on the real line, compactly supported potentials on cylinders and Laplace operators on surfaces with finite volume hyperbolic cusps in [30, 10, 4, 25] respectively. The proofs rely upon theorems about the zeros of certain classes of entire functions. Likewise, our analysis uses a simple classical result (Theorem 3.2) about zeros of exponential polynomials.

The situation with resonance asymptotics for potential and obstacle scattering in Euclidean space in dimensions greater than one and in hyperbolic space is more complicated and still not fully understood; the current state of knowledge is described in [29, 2]. Here we remark only that generically, the resonance asymptotics in the multi-dimensional case is not given by the Weyl formula. We hope that Theorem 1.2 can provide some insight to the multi-dimensional case.

One may approach the resonances of quantum graphs by studying the scattering matrix. A detailed account of resonance scattering for quantum graphs from the physics perspective and some associated numerical calculations can be found in [18]. The graphs considered in [18] have no balanced external vertices, so the non-Weyl phenomenon does not occur there. Resonances for quantum graphs have also been discussed in a recent publication [9]. Our paper has little technical content in common with either of these papers, in spite of their common themes.

After this paper was written the main results were extended in [7] to graphs with general self-adjoint boundary conditions at the vertices; the results of [7] emphasise the exceptional nature of non-Weyl resonance asymptotics.

1.5. Example. In Section 6 we consider the resonances of a particularly simple quantum graph which can be described as a circle with two leads attached to it. Theorem 1.2 says that if the leads are attached at different points on the circle, the corresponding quantum graph is Weyl, and if they are attached at the same point, we have a non-Weyl graph. When the two points where the leads are attached move closer to each other and eventually coalesce, one observes the transition from the Weyl to the non-Weyl case. We study this transition in much detail. We show that as the two external vertices get closer, “half” of the resonances move off to infinity. In the course of this analysis, we also obtain bounds on the positions of individual resonances for this model.

The same example was recently considered by Exner and Lipovsky [9] subject to general boundary conditions that include the Kirchhoff’s boundary condition case as a singular limit. Although some of their results are broadly similar to ours, none of our theorems may be found in [9].

2. RESONANCES VIA COMPLEX SCALING

Here we introduce the necessary notation, recall the definition of resonances via the complex scaling procedure and show that the resonances on the real axis coincide with the eigenvalues of H .

2.1. Notation. Let E^{int} be the set of all internal edges of \mathcal{G} (i.e. the set of all edges of \mathcal{G}_0) and let E^{ext} be the set of all leads; we also denote $E = E^{\text{int}} \cup E^{\text{ext}}$. The term “edge” without an adjective will refer to any element of E . For $e \in E^{\text{int}}$, we denote by $\rho(e)$ the length of e ; i.e. an edge $e \in E^{\text{int}}$ is identified with the interval $[0, \rho(e)]$.

Let V be the set of all vertices of \mathcal{G} , let V^{ext} be the set of all external vertices, and let $V^{\text{int}} = V \setminus V^{\text{ext}}$; the elements of V^{int} will be called *internal vertices*. The degree of a vertex v is denoted by $d(v)$. The number of leads attached to an external vertex v is denoted by $q(v)$; we also set $q(v) = 0$ for $v \in V^{\text{int}}$.

If an edge or a lead e is attached to a vertex v , we write $v \in e$. If two vertices u, v are connected by one or more edges, we write $u \sim v$.

We denote by \mathcal{G}_∞ the graph \mathcal{G} with all the internal edges and vertices removed. We let χ_0 and χ_∞ be the characteristic functions of \mathcal{G}_0 and \mathcal{G}_∞ .

Let $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function such that the restriction of f onto every edge is continuously differentiable. Then for $v \in V$, we denote by $N_v f$ the sum of the outgoing derivatives of f at v over all edges attached to v . If v is an external vertex, we denote by $N_v^{\text{int}} f$ (resp. $N_v^{\text{ext}} f$) the sum of all outgoing derivatives of f at v over all internal edges (resp. leads) attached to v .

Let $\tilde{C}(\mathcal{G})$ be the class of functions $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which are continuous on $\mathcal{G} \setminus V^{\text{ext}}$ and such that for each external vertex v the function $f(x)$ approaches a limiting value (to be denoted by $D_v^{\text{int}} f$) as x approaches v along any internal edge and $f(x)$ approaches another limiting value (to be denoted by $D_v^{\text{ext}} f$) as x approaches v along any lead.

For any finite set A , we denote by $|A|$ the number of elements of A . We will use the identity

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{v \in V} d(v) = 2|E^{\text{int}}| + |E^{\text{ext}}|.$$

Finally, we use the notation $\mathbb{C}_+ = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } z > 0\}$.

2.2. The operator $H(\varkappa)$. The domain of the self-adjoint operator H consists of all continuous functions $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that the restriction of f onto any $e \in E$ lies in the Sobolev space $W_2^2(e)$, and f satisfies the Kirchhoff boundary condition $N_v f = 0$ on every vertex v of \mathcal{G} .

For $\varkappa \in \mathbb{R}$, let $U(\varkappa) : L^2(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{G})$ be the unitary operator which acts as identity on $L^2(\mathcal{G}_0)$ and as a dilation on all leads $\ell = [0, \infty)$:

$$(2.2) \quad (U(\varkappa)f)(x) = e^{\varkappa/2} f(e^\varkappa x), \quad x \in \ell.$$

Note that we have $U(\varkappa)^* = U(-\varkappa)$ for any $\varkappa \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the operator

$$(2.3) \quad H(\varkappa) = U(\varkappa) H U(-\varkappa).$$

This operator admits an analytic continuation to $\varkappa \in \mathbb{C}$, which we describe below.

Definition 2.1. For $\varkappa \in \mathbb{C}$, the operator $H(\varkappa)$ in $L^2(\mathcal{G})$ acts according to the formula

$$(2.4) \quad (H(\varkappa)f)(x) = \begin{cases} -f''(x), & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{G}_0, \\ -e^{-2\varkappa} f''(x), & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{G}_\infty. \end{cases}$$

The domain of $H(\varkappa)$ is defined to be the set of all $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) the restriction of f onto any $e \in E$ lies in the Sobolev space $W_2^2(e)$;
- (ii) $f \in \widetilde{C}(\mathcal{G})$;
- (iii) f satisfies the condition $N_v f = 0$ at every internal vertex v ;
- (iv) For any $v \in V^{\text{ext}}$, one has

$$(2.5) \quad D_v^{\text{int}} f - e^{-\varkappa/2} D_v^{\text{ext}} f = 0;$$

$$(2.6) \quad N_v^{\text{int}} f + e^{-3\varkappa/2} N_v^{\text{ext}} f = 0.$$

In particular, $H(0)$ is the operator called H so far. For complex \varkappa , the operator $H(\varkappa)$ is in general non-selfadjoint. A standard straightforward computation shows that for any $\varkappa \in \mathbb{C}$ the operator $H(\varkappa)$ is closed and

$$(2.7) \quad H(\varkappa)^* = H(\overline{\varkappa}).$$

2.3. Resonances via complex scaling. The following theorem is standard in the method of complex scaling; see [1, 27, 28, 8]:

Theorem 2.2. *The family of operators $H(\varkappa)$, $\varkappa \in \mathbb{C}$, is analytic in the sense of Kato (see e.g. [26, Section XII.2]) and the identity*

$$(2.8) \quad H(\varkappa + \varkappa_0) = U(\varkappa_0)H(\varkappa)U(-\varkappa_0), \quad \forall \varkappa \in \mathbb{C}, \forall \varkappa_0 \in \mathbb{R}$$

holds. The essential spectrum of $H(\varkappa)$ coincides with the half-line $e^{-2\varkappa}[0, \infty)$. Let $\theta \in (0, \pi)$; then the sector $0 < \arg \lambda < 2\pi - 2\theta$, $\lambda \neq 0$, contains no eigenvalues of $H(i\theta)$, and any $\lambda \neq 0$ in the sector $2\pi - 2\theta < \arg \lambda \leq 2\pi$ is an eigenvalue of $H(i\theta)$ if and only if $\lambda = k^2$ with $k \in \mathcal{R}$.

For completeness, we give the proof in Section 5.

As $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ increases monotonically, the essential spectrum $e^{-2i\theta}[0, \infty)$ of $H(i\theta)$ rotates clockwise, uncovering more and more eigenvalues λ . These eigenvalues are identified with the resonances k of H via $\lambda = k^2$. If $\lambda \neq 0$ is an eigenvalue of $H(i\theta)$, $\theta \in (0, \pi)$, $2\pi - 2\theta < \arg \lambda \leq 2\pi$, Kato's theory of analytic perturbations implies that the eigenvalue and associated Riesz spectral projection depend analytically on θ . Noting (2.8) and using analytic continuation it follows that the algebraic multiplicity of λ is independent of θ . It is easy to see directly that the geometric multiplicity of λ is also independent of θ . The *algebraic (resp. geometric) multiplicity* of a resonance k is defined as the algebraic (resp. geometric) multiplicity of the corresponding eigenvalue $\lambda = k^2$ of $H(i\theta)$.

2.4. Resonances on the real line. The geometric multiplicities of resonances will not play any role in our analysis. However, we note that for the Schrödinger operator on the real line, resonances can have arbitrary large algebraic multiplicity [14], while their geometric multiplicity is always equal to one. This gives an example of resonances with distinct algebraic and geometric multiplicities. It would be

interesting to see if one can have distinct algebraic and geometric multiplicities of resonances for quantum graphs in the situation we are discussing. We have nothing to say about this except for the case of the resonances on the real line:

Theorem 2.3. (i) *If $k \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \neq 0$, is a resonance of H then the algebraic and geometric multiplicities of k coincide.*
(ii) *Any $k \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \neq 0$, is a resonance of H if and only if k^2 is an eigenvalue of H and the multiplicity of the resonance k coincides with the multiplicity of the eigenvalue k^2 .*

Proof. 1. Let $\lambda > 0$ be an eigenvalue of H with the eigenfunction f . If $\ell = [0, \infty)$ is a lead, then $f(x) = \gamma_\ell e^{ikx} + \gamma'_\ell e^{-ikx}$, $x \in \ell$, where $k^2 = \lambda$. Since $f \in L^2(\ell)$, we conclude that $\gamma_\ell = \gamma'_\ell = 0$ and so $f \equiv 0$ on all leads. It follows that $f \in \text{Dom } H(i\theta)$ for all θ and $H(i\theta)f = \lambda f$. This argument proves that

$$(2.9) \quad \dim \text{Ker}(H(i\theta) - \lambda I) \geq \dim \text{Ker}(H - \lambda I).$$

2. Let $f \in \text{Ker}(H(i\theta) - \lambda I)$, $\lambda > 0$, $\theta \in (0, \pi)$. Let us prove that f vanishes identically on all leads. Let $\lambda = k^2$, $k > 0$. On every lead, we have

$$(2.10) \quad f(x) = f(0) \exp(ie^{i\theta} kx).$$

Consider the difference

$$(2.11) \quad \omega(f) = \int_{\mathcal{G}_0} |f'(x)|^2 dx - \lambda \int_{\mathcal{G}_0} |f(x)|^2 dx = \int_{\mathcal{G}_0} |f'(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathcal{G}_0} f''(x) \overline{f(x)} dx.$$

Integrating by parts, we get

$$\omega(f) = - \sum_{v \in V^{\text{ext}}} (N_v^{\text{int}} f) \overline{D_v^{\text{int}} f}.$$

Using the boundary condition (2.5) and formula (2.10), we obtain

$$\omega(f) = ik \sum_{v \in V^{\text{ext}}} |D_v^{\text{ext}} f|^2 q(v).$$

By the definition (2.11) of $\omega(f)$, we have $\text{Im } \omega(f) = 0$. This yields that $|D_v^{\text{ext}} f| = 0$ on all external vertices v . By (2.10), it follows that f vanishes identically on all leads.

3. By combining the previous step of the proof with (2.5) and (2.6) we obtain $D_v^{\text{int}} f = N_v^{\text{int}} f = 0$. It follows that for any $f \in \text{Ker}(H(i\theta) - \lambda I)$, $\lambda > 0$, $\theta \in (0, \pi)$, we have $f \in \text{Dom } H$ and $Hf = \lambda f$. This argument also proves that

$$(2.12) \quad \dim \text{Ker}(H - \lambda I) \geq \dim \text{Ker}(H(i\theta) - \lambda I).$$

4. It remains to prove that if $\lambda > 0$ is an eigenvalue of $H(i\theta)$, $\theta \in (0, \pi)$, then its algebraic and geometric multiplicities coincide. Suppose this is not the case. Then there exist non-zero elements $f, g \in \text{Dom } H(i\theta)$ such that $H(i\theta)g = \lambda g$ and $(H(i\theta) - \lambda I)f = g$.

By step 2 of the proof, g vanishes on all leads. It follows that on all leads the function f satisfies (2.10). Next, since $g(x) = -f''(x) - \lambda f(x)$ on \mathcal{G}_0 , we have

$$(2.13) \quad 0 < \int_{\mathcal{G}_0} |g(x)|^2 dx = - \int_{\mathcal{G}_0} (f''(x) + \lambda f(x)) \overline{g(x)} dx.$$

Integrating by parts, we get

$$(2.14) \quad - \int_{\mathcal{G}_0} (f''(x) + \lambda f(x)) \overline{g(x)} dx = - \int_{\mathcal{G}_0} f(x) (\overline{g''(x)} + \lambda \overline{g(x)}) dx \\ + \sum_{v \in V^{\text{ext}}} (N_v^{\text{int}} f) (\overline{D_v^{\text{int}} g}) - \sum_{v \in V^{\text{ext}}} (D_v^{\text{int}} f) (\overline{N_v^{\text{int}} g}).$$

Consider the three terms in the r.h.s. of (2.14). The first term vanishes since $H(i\theta)g = \lambda g$. Next, since $g \equiv 0$ on \mathcal{G}_∞ , we have $D_v^{\text{ext}} g = N_v^{\text{ext}} g = 0$ for any $v \in V^{\text{ext}}$. By the boundary conditions (2.5) and (2.6) for g it follows that $D_v^{\text{int}} g = N_v^{\text{int}} g = 0$. Thus, the second and third terms in the r.h.s. of (2.14) also vanish. This contradicts (2.13). \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Here we describe the resonances as zeros of $\det A(k)$, where $A(k)$ is certain entire matrix-valued function. Using this characterisation, we prove our main result.

3.1. Definition of $A(k)$. Fix $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$. Let $\mathcal{L}(k)$ denote the space of all solutions $f \in L^2(\mathcal{G})$ to $-f'' = k^2 f$ on \mathcal{G} without any boundary conditions. The restriction of $f \in \mathcal{L}(k)$ onto any internal edge e has the form $f_e(x) = \alpha_e e^{ikx} + \beta_e e^{-ikx}$, and the restriction of f onto any lead ℓ has the form $f_\ell(x) = \gamma_\ell e^{ikx}$. Thus, $\dim \mathcal{L}(k) = 2|E^{\text{int}}| + |E^{\text{ext}}|$.

Let us describe in detail the set of all conditions on $f \in \mathcal{L}(k)$ required to ensure that f is a resonance eigenfunction. If f_e denotes the restriction of f to an edge e , then we can write the continuity conditions at the vertex v as

$$(3.1) \quad f_e(v) = \zeta_v, \quad \forall e \ni v,$$

where $\zeta_v \in \mathbb{C}$ is an auxiliary variable. We also have the condition

$$(3.2) \quad N_v f = 0, \quad v \in V.$$

Writing down conditions (3.1), (3.2) for every vertex $v \in V$, we obtain

$$N = \sum_{v \in V} d(v) + |V| = 2|E^{\text{int}}| + |E^{\text{ext}}| + |V|$$

conditions. Our variables are $\zeta_v, \alpha_e, \beta_e, \gamma_\ell$; altogether we have

$$|V| + \dim \mathcal{L}(k) = |V| + 2|E^{\text{int}}| + |E^{\text{ext}}| = N$$

variables. Let $\zeta, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$ be the sequences of coordinates $\zeta_v, \alpha_e, \beta_e, \gamma_\ell$ of length $|V|, |E^{\text{int}}|, |E^{\text{int}}|, |E^{\text{ext}}|$ respectively, and let $\nu = (\zeta, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)^\top \in \mathbb{C}^N$. We may write the

constraints (3.1), (3.2) in the form $A\nu = 0$, where A is an $N \times N$ matrix. Each row of A relates to one of the constraints, and each constraint is of the form

$$(3.3) \quad y \cdot \zeta + a \cdot \alpha + b \cdot \beta + g \cdot \gamma = 0.$$

If the constraint is of the form (3.2), then $y = 0$ and a, b, g all contain a multiplicative factor ik which we eliminate before proceeding. The coefficient a_e is $0, \pm 1$, or $\pm e^{ik\rho(e)}$, and the coefficient b_e is $0, \pm 1$, or $\pm e^{-ik\rho(e)}$. The coefficient g_ℓ is 0 or 1 , and the coefficient y_v is 0 or -1 .

We have not specified the order of the rows or columns of $A(k)$. However, the object of importance in the sequel is the set of zeros of $\det A(k)$, and the choice of the order of rows or columns of $A(k)$ will not affect this set.

3.2. Example. As an example, let us display the matrix $A(k)$ for a graph which consists of two vertices v_1 and v_2 , two edges e_1 and e_2 of length ρ_1 and ρ_2 and a lead attached at v_1 . In this case we have, denoting $z_j = e^{ik\rho_j}$:

$$(3.4) \quad A(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & z_1 & z_2 & -z_1^{-1} & -z_2^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & z_1 & 0 & z_1^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & z_2 & 0 & z_2^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

3.3. Resonances as zeros of $\det A(k)$. Although $A(k)$ was defined above for $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$, we see that all elements of $A(k)$ are entire functions of $k \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus, we will consider $A(k)$ as an entire matrix-valued function of k .

In Sections 4–5 we prove

Theorem 3.1. *Any $k_0 \neq 0$ is a resonance of H if and only if $\det A(k_0) = 0$. In this case, the algebraic multiplicity of the resonance k_0 coincides with the order of k_0 as a zero of $\det A(k)$.*

The first part of this theorem is obvious: by the construction of the matrix A , we have $\det A(k_0) = 0$ iff there exists a non-zero resonance eigenfunction $f \in \mathcal{L}(k_0)$. The part concerning multiplicity is less obvious. Unfortunately, we were not able to find a completely elementary proof of this part. The proof we give in Sections 4–5 involves a standard set of techniques of spectral theory of quantum graphs: a resolvent identity involving the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map and a certain trace formula.

By Theorem 3.1, the question reduces to counting the total multiplicity of zeros of the entire function $\det A(k)$ in large discs. As it is clear from the structure of the matrix $A(k)$, its determinant is an exponential polynomial, i.e. a linear combination of the terms of the type $e^{i\sigma k}$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, we need to discuss the zeros of exponential polynomials.

3.4. Zeros of exponential polynomials. Exponential polynomials are entire functions $F(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{C}$, of the form

$$(3.5) \quad F(k) = \sum_{r=1}^n a_r e^{i\sigma_r k},$$

where $a_r, \sigma_r \in \mathbb{C}$ are constants. The study of the zeros of such polynomials has a long history; see e.g. [22] and references therein. For more recent literature see [23]. Some of these results were rediscovered in [5, 6, 13], where they were used to analyze the spectra of non-self-adjoint systems of ODEs and directed finite graphs. The asymptotic distribution of the zeros of F depends heavily on the location of the extreme points of the convex hull of the set $\cup_{r=1}^n \{\sigma_r\}$.

We are only interested in the case in which σ_r are distinct real numbers. We denote $\sigma^- = \min\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$ and $\sigma^+ = \max\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$. For $R > 0$ we denote by $N(R; F)$ the number of zeros of F (counting the orders) in the disc $\{k \in \mathbb{C} : |k| < R\}$. The following classical statement is from [22, Theorem 3].

Theorem 3.2. *Let F be a function of the form (3.5), where a_r are non-zero complex numbers and σ_r are distinct real numbers. Then there exists a constant $K < \infty$ such that all the zeros of F lie within a strip of the form $\{k : |\operatorname{Im}(z)| \leq K\}$. The counting function $N(R; F)$ satisfies*

$$N(R; F) = \frac{\sigma^+ - \sigma^-}{\pi} R + O(1)$$

as $R \rightarrow +\infty$.

3.5. Estimate for $N(R; F)$. Here we prove the first part of the main Theorem 1.2. Let $F(k) = \det A(k)$. From the structure of $A(k)$ it is clear that $F(k)$ is given by (3.5) where a_r, σ_r are real coefficients. By Theorem 3.2, it suffices to prove that in the representation (3.5) we have

$$(3.6) \quad \sigma^+ \leq \operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{G}_0), \quad \sigma^- \geq -\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{G}_0).$$

In order to prove (3.6), let us discuss the entries of $A(k)$ in detail. For simplicity of notation we will not draw attention in our equations to the fact that all of the matrices below depend on k .

The matrix A has some constant terms and some terms that are exponential in k . The term $e^{ik\rho(e)}$ can only appear in the column associated with the variable α_e and the term $e^{-ik\rho(e)}$ can only appear in the column associated with the variable β_e . The columns associated with the variables ζ and γ contain only constant terms. Since the determinant is formed from the products of entries of A where every column contributes one entry to each product, we see that the maximum possible value for the coefficient σ_r in (3.5) is attained when every column corresponding to the variable α_e contributes the term $e^{ik\rho(e)}$ and every column corresponding to β_e contributes a constant term to the product. The maximal value of σ_r thus attained will be exactly $\sum_{e \in E^{\text{int}}} \rho(e) = \operatorname{vol} \mathcal{G}_0$. This proves the first inequality in (3.6). The

second one is proven in the same way by considering the minimal possible value for σ_r .

Of course, the coefficients a^\pm of the terms $e^{\pm ik \operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{G}_0)}$ in the representation (3.5) for $\det A$ may well happen to be zero. Theorem 1.2 will be proven if we show that these coefficients do not vanish if and only if every external vertex of \mathcal{G} is unbalanced. In what follows, for an exponential polynomial F with the representation (3.5) we denote by $a^\pm(F)$ the coefficient a_r of the term $e^{i\sigma_r k}$, $\sigma_r = \pm \operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{G}_0)$.

3.6. Invariance of resonances with respect to a change of orientation. Before proceeding with the proof, we need to discuss a minor technical point. Our definition of the matrix $A(k)$ assumes that a certain orientation of all internal edges of \mathcal{G} is fixed. Suppose we have changed the parameterisation of an internal edge e by reversing its orientation. In other words, suppose that instead of the variable $x \in [0, \rho(e)]$ we decided to use the variable $x' = \rho(e) - x$. We claim that this change will not affect the zeros of $\det A(k)$.

Indeed, let $A'(k)$ be the matrix corresponding to the new parametrization. The matrix $A'(k)$ corresponds to the parametrization of solutions $f \in \mathcal{L}(k)$ on e by $f(x) = \alpha'_e e^{ikx'} + \beta'_e e^{-ikx'}$ instead of $\alpha_e e^{ikx} + \beta_e e^{-ikx}$. We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha'_e \\ \beta'_e \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-ik\rho(e)} \\ e^{ik\rho(e)} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_e \\ \beta_e \end{pmatrix}, \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-ik\rho(e)} \\ e^{ik\rho(e)} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -1,$$

and thus $\det A'(k) = -\det A(k)$.

3.7. Proof of Theorem 1.2: the balanced case. Assume that a particular external vertex v of \mathcal{G} is balanced. Below we prove that the coefficient $a^+(\det A)$ vanishes.

Let us re-order the rows and columns of A by reference to the vertex v . We assume that q internal edges and q leads are attached to v , $q \geq 2$. (The case $q = 1$ is trivial because one may then merge the lead with the edge to which it is connected.) Using the observation of Section 3.6, we can choose an orientation of these internal edges so that they all end at v (i.e. v is identified with the point $\rho(e)$ of the intervals $[0, \rho(e)]$). Let the first $2q$ rows of A be those relating to the conditions (3.1) for the vertex v and let the $(2q + 1)$ st row be the one relating to the condition (3.2) for the vertex v . The ordering of the remaining rows does not matter. Let the first $2q$ columns be related to the variables $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q$ and let the $(2q + 1)$ st column be related to the variable ζ_v ; these variables were all defined in Section 2.1. The ordering of the remaining columns does not matter.

We write A in the block form

$$(3.7) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} B & C \\ D & E \end{pmatrix}$$

where B is a $(2q + 1) \times (2q + 1)$ matrix. For example, in the case $q = 2$ we have

$$(3.8) \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & z_1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & z_2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -z_1 & -z_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $z_r = e^{ik\rho(e_r)}$.

The determinant is the sum of the products of entries of A where every column contributes one entry to each product. In order for the product to be of the type $a_+ e^{ik \text{vol}(\mathcal{G}_0)}$, each column corresponding to a variable α_e must contribute the entry $e^{ik\rho(e)}$. Thus, the constant entries of the columns corresponding to the variables α_e are irrelevant to our question and can be replaced by zeros; this will not affect the value of $a^+(\det A)$. Noticing that the columns of D corresponding to the variables $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_q$ and ζ_v are all zeros, we conclude that

$$a^+(\det A) = a^+(\det A_0), \quad \text{where } A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} B & C \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix}.$$

By a general matrix identity, $\det A_0 = \det B \det E$. Finally, a simple row reduction shows that $\det B = 0$; this is easy to see in the case of (3.8). Thus, the coefficient $a^+(\det A)$ vanishes. By (3.6), it follows that $\sigma^+ < \text{vol } \mathcal{G}_0$, as claimed.

We note (although this is not needed for our proof) that $\sigma^- = -\text{vol } \mathcal{G}_0$ both in the balanced and in the unbalanced case; this will be clear from the next part of the proof.

3.8. Proof of Theorem 1.2: the unbalanced case. Assume that all external vertices are unbalanced. We will prove that

$$(3.9) \quad \sigma^+ = \text{vol}(\mathcal{G}_0), \quad \sigma^- = -\text{vol}(\mathcal{G}_0).$$

The proof uses the same reduction as (3.7) in Section 3.7, but the details are somewhat more complicated, since now we have to consider *all* external vertices.

We label the external vertices by v_1, \dots, v_m where $m = |V^{\text{ext}}|$. Let \mathcal{G}_r denote the graph obtained from \mathcal{G}_0 by adding all the leads of \mathcal{G} that have ends in the set $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$, so that $\mathcal{G}_m = \mathcal{G}$. Let A_r denote the constraint matrix A corresponding to the graph \mathcal{G}_r and let $a_r^\pm = a^\pm(\det A_r)$.

By the previous reasoning, the graph \mathcal{G}_r is Weyl if and only if $a_r^+ \neq 0$ and $a_r^- \neq 0$. Our claim (3.9) follows inductively from the following statements:

1. The graph \mathcal{G}_0 is Weyl.
2. The coefficient a_r^- is non-zero for all r .
3. For all r , if $a_{r-1}^+ \neq 0$ then $a_r^+ \neq 0$.

Item 1 holds because the operator H on \mathcal{G}_0 has discrete spectrum and no other resonances. The eigenvalues obey the Weyl law by a standard variational argument using Dirichlet-Neumann bracketing.

Let us prove item 3. We reorder the rows and columns of A_r with reference to v_r as in Section 3.7. We assume that p internal edges e_1, \dots, e_p and q leads ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_q are attached to v_r , and $q \neq p$. The first $q + p + 1$ columns of A_r are those relating to the variables $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_q$ (associated with ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_q), $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$ (associated with e_1, \dots, e_p), and ζ_r . The first $q + p + 1$ rows of A_r are those relating to the conditions (3.1) and (3.2) for the vertex v_r . As in Section 3.7, this allows us to write

$$(3.10) \quad A_r = \begin{pmatrix} B_r & C_r \\ D_r & E_r \end{pmatrix}$$

where B_r is a $(q + p + 1) \times (q + p + 1)$ matrix. Writing the matrix A_{r-1} in the same way with reference to *the same vertex* v_r , we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad A_{r-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{B}_{r-1} & \tilde{C}_{r-1} \\ \tilde{D}_{r-1} & E_r \end{pmatrix},$$

where \tilde{B}_{r-1} is a $(p + 1) \times (p + 1)$ matrix. In other words, \tilde{B}_{r-1} , \tilde{C}_{r-1} , \tilde{D}_{r-1} are the matrices B_r , C_r , D_r with relevant q rows and q columns deleted. The deleted columns correspond to the variables $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_q$, and the deleted rows correspond to the conditions (3.1) associated with the leads ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_q . Note that the matrix E_r is the same in (3.10) and (3.11).

Next, just as in the argument of Section 3.7, we notice that

$$a_r^+ = a^+(\det B_r \det E_r) \quad \text{and} \quad a_{r-1}^+ = a^+(\det \tilde{B}_{r-1} \det E_r).$$

Finally, by a simple row reduction we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad \det B_r = (q - p)z_1 \dots z_p,$$

$$(3.13) \quad \det \tilde{B}_{r-1} = (-p)z_1 \dots z_p,$$

where $z_j = e^{ik\rho(e_j)}$. It follows that a_r^+ and a_{r-1}^+ differ by a non-zero coefficient $(p - q)/p$. This proves Item 3.

Let us prove Item 2. Here the argument follows that of the proof of Item 3, only instead of keeping track of the coefficient of $e^{ik \text{vol}(\mathcal{G}_0)}$ we need to keep track of the coefficient of $e^{-ik \text{vol}(\mathcal{G}_0)}$, and instead of the variables $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$ we consider the variables β_1, \dots, β_p . Instead of the coefficient $(q - p)$ in (3.12) we get $(q + p)$, which never vanishes (even if v_r is balanced). This proves our claim.

4. A RESOLVENT IDENTITY AND ITS CONSEQUENCES

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 1.2, it remains to provide the proof of Theorem 3.1. Theorem 4.2 below provides an explicit formula for the difference $R^\varkappa(k) - R_D^\varkappa(k)$ in terms of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map. This leads immediately to the trace formula (4.13), which is the key to our proof of Theorem 3.1 in Section 5. The formulae obtained in this section are ‘‘complex-scaled’’ versions of resolvent identities well known in the theory of boundary value problems, see e.g. [11, 12] and references therein.

4.1. Dirichlet-to-Neumann map. Throughout this section, we assume that the parameter $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$ is fixed. Let $\mathcal{L}(k)$ be as defined in Section 3.1 and let $\mathcal{M}(k) = \mathcal{L}(k) \cap C(\mathcal{G})$. Each $f \in \mathcal{M}(k)$ determines a vector $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^{|V|}$ by restriction to V . Conversely, every $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^{|V|}$ arises from a function $f \in \mathcal{M}(k)$; this can be seen by comparing $\dim \mathcal{L}(k)$ with the number of constraints imposed by writing $f(v) = \zeta_v$, $v \in V$. Finally, the assumption $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$ implies that only one function $f \in \mathcal{M}(k)$ corresponds to each set of values $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^{|V|}$ (otherwise we would have a complex eigenvalue of the operator with Dirichlet boundary conditions on all vertices). This shows that we may define the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\Lambda(k) : \mathbb{C}^{|V|} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{|V|}$ by

$$(\Lambda(k)\zeta)_v = N_v f$$

where f corresponds to ζ as described above and N_v was defined in Section 2.1. This map is a well known tool in the spectral theory of boundary value problems and has also been used in quantum graph theory [24, 21].

4.2. The functions φ_v and formulae for Λ . Given $v \in V$, let φ_v be the function in $\mathcal{M}(k)$ that satisfies

$$\varphi_v(u) = \delta_{uv}, \quad \forall u, v \in V.$$

The functions φ_v are given by the following explicit expressions. Let $v \in e$, $e \in E^{\text{int}}$ and identify e with $[0, \rho]$ where v corresponds to the point 0. Then

$$(4.1) \quad \varphi_v(x) = \frac{\sin k(\rho - x)}{\sin k\rho}, \quad x \in [0, \rho] = e.$$

In the same way, if $e \in E^{\text{ext}}$ and v is identified with the point 0, then

$$(4.2) \quad \varphi_v(x) = e^{ikx}, \quad x \in [0, \infty) = e.$$

If the dependence on k needs to be emphasized, we will write $\varphi_v(x; k)$ instead of $\varphi_v(x)$.

Lemma 4.1. *If $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$ then the map $\Lambda(k)$ is invertible. Its matrix entries are given by*

$$(4.3) \quad \Lambda_{uv} = 0, \quad \text{if } u \neq v, u \not\sim v;$$

$$(4.4) \quad \Lambda_{uv} = \sum_{\substack{e \in E^{\text{int}} \\ u, v \in e}} \frac{k}{\sin k\rho(e)}, \quad \text{if } u \neq v, u \sim v;$$

$$(4.5) \quad \Lambda_{vv} = ikq(v) - k \sum_{\substack{e \in E^{\text{int}} \\ v \in e}} \cot(k\rho(e)), \quad \text{for any } v \in V;$$

where $q(v)$ was defined in Section 2.1.

Proof. If $\Lambda(k)\zeta = 0$, then the corresponding function $f \in \mathcal{M}(k) \subset L^2(\mathcal{G})$ satisfies the Kirchhoff's boundary condition at every vertex, which implies that $f \in \text{Dom } H$ and $Hf = k^2 f$. Since $\text{Spec}(H) = [0, \infty)$ and $\text{Im } k > 0$, this implies that $f = 0$. Therefore $\Lambda(k)$ is invertible.

By the definition of φ_v , we have

$$\Lambda_{uv} = N_u \varphi_v.$$

The formulae for the matrix entries are obtained by combining this with (4.1) and (4.2). \square

It follows from Lemma 4.1 that $\Lambda(k)$ can be extended to a meromorphic function of $k \in \mathbb{C}$ whose poles are all on the real axis, and that for any $u, v \in V$ one has

$$(4.6) \quad \Lambda_{uv}(k) = \Lambda_{vu}(k) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\Lambda_{uv}(k)} = \Lambda_{uv}(-\bar{k}), \quad k \in \mathbb{C}.$$

In the calculations below the expressions Λ_{uv}^{-1} will denote the matrix entries of $(\Lambda(k))^{-1}$.

4.3. The complex-scaled version of φ_v . We will need a version of the functions φ_v pertaining to the “complex-scaled” operator $H(\varkappa)$. Let $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$ and $\varkappa \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $ke^\varkappa \in \mathbb{C}_+$. Given $v \in V$, we define φ_v^\varkappa by

$$\varphi_v^\varkappa(x; k) = \begin{cases} \varphi_v(x; k), & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{G}_0; \\ \varphi_v(0; k) e^{\varkappa/2} \exp(ik e^\varkappa x), & \text{if } x \in \ell = [0, \infty), \ell \in E^{\text{ext}}. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, φ_v^\varkappa is a solution to the equation $H(\varkappa)\varphi_v^\varkappa = k^2\varphi_v^\varkappa$ on every edge of \mathcal{G} . It is also straightforward to see that $\varphi_v^\varkappa \in \tilde{C}(\mathcal{G})$ and φ_v^\varkappa satisfies the boundary condition (2.5) on every external vertex. For $f \in \tilde{C}(\mathcal{G})$, let us denote

$$N_v^\varkappa f = \begin{cases} N_v f, & \text{if } v \in V^{\text{int}}, \\ N_v^{\text{int}} f + e^{-3\varkappa/2} N_v^{\text{ext}} f, & \text{if } v \in V^{\text{ext}}. \end{cases}$$

It is straightforward to see that

$$(4.7) \quad \Lambda_{uv} = N_u^\varkappa \varphi_v^\varkappa, \quad \forall u, v \in V,$$

where the l.h.s. depends on k but not on \varkappa . Moreover

$$(4.8) \quad \overline{\varphi_v^\varkappa(x; k)} = \varphi_v^{\bar{\varkappa}}(x; -\bar{k}).$$

4.4. The resolvent identity. Let H_D be the self-adjoint operator in $L^2(\mathcal{G})$ defined by $H_D f = -f''$ with a Dirichlet boundary condition at every vertex of \mathcal{G} . Given $\varkappa \in \mathbb{C}$, we define the “complex-scaled” version of H_D as follows; $H_D(\varkappa)$ is the operator acting in $L^2(\mathcal{G})$ defined by

$$(H_D(\varkappa)f)(x) = \begin{cases} -f''(x), & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{G}_0, \\ -e^{-2\varkappa} f''(x), & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{G}_\infty, \end{cases}$$

with a Dirichlet boundary condition at every vertex of \mathcal{G} . Of course, $H_D(\varkappa)$ splits into an orthogonal sum of operators acting on $L^2(e)$ for all $e \in E$. We see immediately that in addition to its essential spectrum $e^{-2\varkappa}[0, \infty)$, the operator $H_D(\varkappa)$ has a discrete set of positive eigenvalues with finite multiplicities.

We set

$$R_D^\varkappa(k) = (H_D(\varkappa) - k^2 I)^{-1}, \quad R^\varkappa(k) = (H(\varkappa) - k^2 I)^{-1},$$

whenever the inverse operators exist. We denote by $R^\varkappa(k; x, y)$ (resp. $R_D^\varkappa(k; x, y)$), $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$, the integral kernel of the resolvent $R^\varkappa(k)$ (resp. of $R_D^\varkappa(k)$).

The fact that $H_D(\varkappa)$ and $H(\varkappa)$ coincide except for different boundary conditions at each of the $|V|$ vertices indicates that the difference of the two resolvents should have rank $|V|$. Our next theorem makes this explicit. Formulae of this type are well known in the theory of boundary value problems; see e.g. [11, 12] and references therein. In the context of graphs, similar considerations have been used in [15, 16, 17, 24].

Theorem 4.2. *For any $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$ and any $\varkappa \in \mathbb{C}$, such that $ke^\varkappa \in \mathbb{C}_+$, we have*

$$(4.9) \quad R^\varkappa(k; x, y) - R_D^\varkappa(k; x, y) = - \sum_{u, v \in V} \Lambda_{uv}^{-1}(k) \varphi_v^\varkappa(x; k) \varphi_u^\varkappa(y; k),$$

for any $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$.

Proof. 1. Let $\tilde{R}^\varkappa(k)$ be the operator in $L^2(\mathcal{G})$ with the integral kernel given by

$$\tilde{R}^\varkappa(k; x, y) = R_D^\varkappa(k; x, y) - \sum_{u, v \in V} \Lambda_{uv}^{-1}(k) \varphi_v^\varkappa(x; k) \varphi_u^\varkappa(y; k).$$

We need to prove that $\tilde{R}^\varkappa(k)$ is a bounded operator, that it maps $L^2(\mathcal{G})$ into $\text{Dom } H(\varkappa)$ and that the identities

$$(4.10) \quad (H(\varkappa) - k^2 I) \tilde{R}^\varkappa(k) = I$$

$$(4.11) \quad \tilde{R}^\varkappa(k) (H(\varkappa) - k^2 I) = I$$

hold true. First note that since φ_v^\varkappa decays exponentially on all leads, the boundedness of $\tilde{R}^\varkappa(k)$ is obvious. Next, using (4.6), (4.8) one obtains $\tilde{R}^\varkappa(k)^* = \tilde{R}^{\bar{\varkappa}}(-\bar{k})$. From here and (2.7) by taking adjoints we see that (4.11) is equivalent to

$$(H(\bar{\varkappa}) - (-\bar{k})^2) \tilde{R}^{\bar{\varkappa}}(-\bar{k}) = I$$

which is (4.10) with $-\bar{k}$, $\bar{\varkappa}$ instead of k , \varkappa . We note that $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$, $ke^\varkappa \in \mathbb{C}_+$ if and only if $-\bar{k} \in \mathbb{C}_+$, $-\bar{k}e^{\bar{\varkappa}} \in \mathbb{C}_+$. Thus, (4.11) follows from (4.10).

2. It suffices to prove that for a dense set of elements $f \in L^2(\mathcal{G})$, the inclusion $\tilde{R}^\varkappa(k)f \in \text{Dom } H(\varkappa)$ and the identity

$$(4.12) \quad (H(\varkappa) - k^2 I) \tilde{R}^\varkappa(k)f = f$$

hold true. Let f be from the dense set of all continuous functions compactly supported on \mathcal{G} and vanishing near all vertices of \mathcal{G} . Let us check that the function $g = \tilde{R}^\varkappa(k)f$ belongs to $\text{Dom } H(\varkappa)$. It is clear that the restriction of g onto any edge e of \mathcal{G} belongs to the Sobolev space $W_2^2(e)$. Thus, it suffices to check that g belongs to $\tilde{C}(\mathcal{G})$ and satisfies the boundary conditions (2.5) and (2.6).

Denote $g_0 = R_D^\varkappa(k)f$. Since $g_0 \in \text{Dom } H_D(\varkappa)$, g_0 vanishes on all vertices. Therefore g_0 lies in $\tilde{C}(\mathcal{G})$ and satisfies (2.5) at every external vertex v . As mentioned in Section 4.3, the functions φ_v^\varkappa also belong to $\tilde{C}(\mathcal{G})$ and satisfy (2.5) at every external vertex v . Thus, g also has these properties.

Our next task is to prove that the boundary condition (2.6) is satisfied for the function g . Suppose that f is supported on a single edge, which we identify with $[0, \rho]$. Then the integral kernel of $R_D^\varkappa(k)$ can be explicitly calculated, which gives

$$g'_0(0) = \int_0^\rho \frac{\sin k(\rho - x)}{\sin k\rho} f(x) dx.$$

Similarly, if f is supported on a lead $[0, \infty)$, then a direct calculation shows that

$$g'_0(0) = e^{2\varkappa} \int_0^\infty \exp(ike^{\varkappa}x) f(x) dx.$$

Combining this, we see that for any $w \in V^{\text{ext}}$ we have

$$N_w^\varkappa g_0 = \int_{\mathcal{G}} f(x) \varphi_w^\varkappa(x) dx.$$

Using the last identity and (4.7), for any $w \in V^{\text{ext}}$ we get:

$$N_w^\varkappa g = \int_{\mathcal{G}} f(x) \varphi_w^\varkappa(x) dx - \sum_{u,v \in V} \Lambda_{uv}^{-1} \Lambda_{uv} \int_{\mathcal{G}} f(x) \varphi_u^\varkappa(x) dx = 0,$$

and so the boundary condition (2.6) is satisfied for g . Thus, $g \in \text{Dom } H(\varkappa)$, as required.

3. It remains to note that the identity (4.12) follows from the fact that R_D^\varkappa is the resolvent of $H_D(\varkappa)$ and the fact that φ_v^\varkappa satisfies the equation $H(\varkappa)\varphi_v^\varkappa = k^2\varphi_v^\varkappa$ on every edge and lead of \mathcal{G} . \square

4.5. A trace formula. The trace formula (4.13) below results by calculating the traces of both sides of (4.9). Since the r.h.s. of (4.9) is a finite rank operator, the trace is well defined; the fact that the value of (4.13) does not depend on \varkappa can be proved by complex scaling, but the direct proof is almost as easy.

The identity (4.13) below can be rephrased by saying that the (modified) perturbation determinant of the pair of operators $H(\varkappa)$, $H_D(\varkappa)$ equals $\det \Lambda(k)$. Statements of this nature (for $\varkappa = 0$) are well known in the theory of boundary value problems; see e.g. [3] and references therein. The key to our proof of Theorem 3.1 will be (4.13) and Lemma 5.1, in which $\det A(k)$ and $\det \Lambda(k)$ are related.

Theorem 4.3. *For any $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$ and any $\varkappa \in \mathbb{C}$, such that $ke^\varkappa \in \mathbb{C}_+$, we have*

$$(4.13) \quad \text{Tr}(R^\varkappa(k) - R_D^\varkappa(k)) = -\frac{\frac{d}{dk} \det \Lambda(k)}{2k \det \Lambda(k)}.$$

In particular, the l.h.s. is independent of \varkappa .

Proof. 1. Theorem 4.2 yields

$$(4.14) \quad \text{Tr}(R^\varkappa(k) - R_D^\varkappa(k)) = -\sum_{u,v \in V} \Lambda_{uv}^{-1}(k) \sigma_{uv}^\varkappa(k),$$

where

$$(4.15) \quad \sigma_{uv}^{\varkappa}(k) = \int_{\mathcal{G}} \varphi_u^{\varkappa}(x; k) \varphi_v^{\varkappa}(x; k) dx.$$

We next compute the coefficients σ_{uv} explicitly. If $v \neq u$ and $v \not\sim u$ then $\text{supp } \varphi_v^{\varkappa} \cap \text{supp } \varphi_u^{\varkappa} = \emptyset$ and so $\sigma_{uv} = 0$. If $v \neq u$ and $v \sim u$ then by (4.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{uv} &= \sum_{\substack{e \in E^{\text{int}} \\ u, v \in e}} \int_0^{\rho} \frac{\sin kx}{\sin k\rho(e)} \frac{\sin k(\rho(e) - x)}{\sin k\rho(e)} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{\substack{e \in E^{\text{int}} \\ u, v \in e}} \frac{\sin k\rho(e) - k\rho(e) \cos k\rho(e)}{(\sin k\rho(e))^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{vv} &= \sum_{\substack{e \in E^{\text{int}} \\ v \in e}} \int_0^{\rho(e)} \left(\frac{\sin kx}{\sin k\rho(e)} \right)^2 dx + q(v) \int_0^{\infty} (e^{\varkappa/2} \exp(ike^{\varkappa}x))^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{\substack{e \in E^{\text{int}} \\ v \in e}} \frac{k\rho(e) - \cos k\rho(e) \sin k\rho(e)}{(\sin k\rho(e))^2} + \frac{i}{2k} q(v). \end{aligned}$$

2. Noting that σ_{uv} depend on k but not on \varkappa , a direct calculation using (4.3)–(4.5) yields

$$\frac{1}{2k} \frac{d}{dk} \Lambda_{uv}(k) = \sigma_{uv}(k).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(R^{\varkappa}(k) - R_D^{\varkappa}(k)) &= - \sum_{u, v \in V} \Lambda_{uv}^{-1}(k) \frac{1}{2k} \frac{d}{dk} \Lambda_{uv}(k) \\ &= - \frac{1}{2k} \text{Tr}(\Lambda^{-1}(k) \frac{d}{dk} \Lambda(k)) = - \frac{\frac{d}{dk} \det \Lambda(k)}{2k \det \Lambda(k)}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREMS 3.1 AND 2.2

5.1. **Calculation of $\det A(k)$.** Given $k \in \mathbb{C}$, we define

$$(5.1) \quad \delta(k) = \prod_{e \in E^{\text{int}}} (k \sin k\rho(e)).$$

Let $A(k)$ be the matrix defined in Section 3.1.

Lemma 5.1. *For any $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$, we have the identity*

$$(5.2) \quad \det A(k) = \pm \frac{2^{|E^{\text{int}}|} i^{|E^{\text{int}}| - |V|}}{k^{|E^{\text{int}}| + |V|}} \delta(k) \det \Lambda(k),$$

where the sign \pm depends on the ordering of the rows and columns of the matrix $A(k)$.

Proof. 1. Let us order the rows and the columns of $A(k)$ in such a way that the first $|V|$ rows correspond to the conditions $N_v(u) = 0$, and the first $|V|$ columns correspond to the variables ζ . Then $A(k)$ can be written in the block form as

$$(5.3) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M \\ -N & P \end{pmatrix}$$

where 0 is the $|V| \times |V|$ zero matrix and P is a $(2|E^{\text{int}}| + |E^{\text{ext}}|) \times (2|E^{\text{int}}| + |E^{\text{ext}}|)$ matrix. The elements of N are 0 or 1, the elements of M are 0, ± 1 , $\pm e^{\pm ik\rho}$, and the elements of P are 0, ± 1 , or $e^{\pm ik\rho}$. For example, the matrix (3.4) is written in this form.

2. Let us reorder the rows of P in such a way that any two constraints associated with the continuity conditions at the two endpoints of the same edge follow one another. Let us also reorder the columns of P such that each variable β_e follows the corresponding variable α_e . For example, the block P of the matrix (3.4) after such reordering will be

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ z_1 & z_1^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z_2 & z_2^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In general, after this reordering, P assumes a block-diagonal structure with blocks either of size 2×2 with elements

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ e^{ik\rho} & e^{-ik\rho} \end{pmatrix}$$

or of size 1×1 with the element 1. From here it follows that

$$(5.4) \quad \det P = \pm \prod_{e \in E^{\text{int}}} (2i \sin(k\rho(e))) = \pm (2i)^{|E^{\text{int}}|} k^{-|E^{\text{int}}|} \delta(k).$$

In particular, since $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$, the matrix P is invertible.

3. By applying the Schur complement method to (5.3) one obtains

$$(5.5) \quad \det A = \det P \det(MP^{-1}N).$$

Let us prove that

$$(5.6) \quad ikMP^{-1}N = \Lambda(k).$$

Let $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^{|V|}$ and let $a = P^{-1}N\zeta$. The vector a represents a set of parameters α , β , γ . Let $f \in \mathcal{L}(k)$ be the solution with this set of parameters. The equation $Pa = N\zeta$ implies that the solution f is continuous on \mathcal{G} and satisfies $f(v) = \zeta_v$ for any vertex v . Next, the coordinates of the vector $ikMP^{-1}N\zeta = ikMa$ are given by

$$ik(Ma)_v = N_v f.$$

This shows that $ikMa = \Lambda(k)\zeta$, as required.

4. By combining (5.4)–(5.6) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}\det A(k) &= \det P(k) \det(M(k)P^{-1}(k)N(k)) \\ &= \pm(2i)^{|E^{\text{int}}|} k^{-|E^{\text{int}}|} \delta(k) \det((ik)^{-1} \Lambda(k)),\end{aligned}$$

which yields (5.2) immediately. \square

5.2. Proof of Theorem 3.1. 1. Let $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$ and let χ_0 and χ_∞ be defined as in Section 2.1. Clearly, $\chi_0 R_D(k) \chi_0$ is an orthogonal sum of resolvents of the operators $-d^2/dx^2$ on the intervals $(0, \rho(e))$, $e \in E^{\text{int}}$, with the Dirichlet boundary conditions. For each such operator we have that $(-d^2/dx^2 - k^2)^{-1}$ is trace class and

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Tr}(-d^2/dx^2 - k^2)^{-1} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ((\pi n/\rho)^2 - k^2)^{-1} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2k^2} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k - \pi n/\rho} = -\frac{1}{2k^2} - \frac{\rho}{2k} \cot(k\rho) = -\frac{\frac{d}{dk}(k \sin(k\rho))}{2k(k \sin(k\rho))}.\end{aligned}$$

Summing over all edges, a direct calculation shows that $\chi_0 R_D(k) \chi_0$ is a trace class operator and

$$(5.7) \quad \text{Tr}(\chi_0 R_D(k) \chi_0) = -\frac{\frac{d}{dk} \delta(k)}{2k \delta(k)}.$$

2. Let $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$, $ke^\varkappa \in \mathbb{C}_+$. It is easy to see that the resolvent $R_D^\varkappa(k)$ commutes with χ_0 , χ_∞ and that

$$\chi_0 R_D^\varkappa(k) \chi_0 = \chi_0 R_D(k) \chi_0.$$

Therefore we have

$$(5.8) \quad R^\varkappa(k) - \chi_\infty R_D^\varkappa(k) \chi_\infty = R^\varkappa(k) - R_D^\varkappa(k) + \chi_0 R_D(k) \chi_0.$$

By combining Theorem 4.3 and (5.8), we obtain

$$(5.9) \quad \text{Tr}(R^\varkappa(k) - \chi_\infty R_D^\varkappa(k) \chi_\infty) = -\frac{\frac{d}{dk} \det \Lambda(k)}{2k \det \Lambda(k)} - \frac{\frac{d}{dk} \delta(k)}{2k \delta(k)} = -\frac{\frac{d}{dk}(\delta(k) \det \Lambda(k))}{2k \delta(k) \det \Lambda(k)}.$$

Using Lemma 5.1, we then obtain

$$(5.10) \quad \text{Tr}(R^\varkappa(k) - \chi_\infty R_D^\varkappa(k) \chi_\infty) = \frac{|E^{\text{int}}| + |V|}{2k^2} - \frac{\frac{d}{dk} \det A(k)}{2k \det A(k)},$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$ and $ke^\varkappa \in \mathbb{C}_+$.

3. The r.h.s. of (5.10) is a single-valued meromorphic function of $k \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $\tau^\varkappa(k)$ be the l.h.s. of (5.10). For each fixed $\varkappa \in \mathbb{C}$, the function $\tau^\varkappa(k)$ is meromorphic in \mathbb{C} with the cut along the line determined by the condition $k^2 \in \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H(\varkappa)) = e^{-2\varkappa}[0, \infty)$. In other words, τ^\varkappa is meromorphic and single-valued in each of the two half-planes $\text{Im } ke^\varkappa > 0$ and $\text{Im } ke^\varkappa < 0$. By the uniqueness of analytic continuation, for each \varkappa the identity (5.10) extends to all k such that $\text{Im } ke^\varkappa > 0$.

4. Let $k_0 \in \mathcal{R}$ with the algebraic multiplicity $m(k_0) \geq 1$ and let $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ with $-\theta < \arg k_0 \leq 0$. Then $\operatorname{Im} k_0 e^{i\theta} > 0$ and so the identity (5.10) with $\varkappa = i\theta$ holds for all k near k_0 . If γ is a sufficiently small circle with centre at k_0 , then the multiplicity $m(k_0)$ equals the rank, or equivalently the trace, of the Riesz spectral projection

$$(5.11) \quad P^\theta(k_0) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma R^{i\theta}(k) 2k dk.$$

Next, since the operator $H_D(i\theta)$ restricted to $L^2(\mathcal{G}_\infty)$ has no eigenvalues, the operator valued function $\chi_\infty R_D^{i\theta}(k) \chi_\infty$ is analytic for $\operatorname{Im} k e^{i\theta} \neq 0$. It follows that

$$-\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma \chi_\infty R_D^{i\theta}(k) \chi_\infty 2k dk = 0.$$

By taking the trace of the difference of the last two equations and using (5.10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m(k_0) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma \operatorname{Tr}(R^{i\theta}(k) - \chi_\infty R_D^{i\theta}(k) \chi_\infty) 2k dk \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma \frac{\frac{d}{dk} \det A(k)}{\det A(k)} dk. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $m(k_0)$ equals the order of the zero of $\det A(k)$ at $k = k_0$, as required. \square

5.3. Proof of Theorem 2.2. This theorem is well known and the proof is presented here for the sake of completeness.

1. First note that by Theorem 4.2, the difference of the resolvents of $H(\varkappa)$ and $H_D(\varkappa)$ is a finite rank operator. By Weyl's theorem on the invariance of the essential spectrum under a relatively compact perturbation we obtain

$$\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H(\varkappa)) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_D(\varkappa)) = e^{-2\varkappa}[0, \infty).$$

2. The fact that the family $H(\varkappa)$ is analytic in the sense of Kato follows again from Theorem 4.2, since $H_D(\varkappa)$ is analytic in the sense of Kato and each of the functions φ_v^\varkappa is analytic in \varkappa .

3. The identity (2.8) can be checked by a direct calculation.

4. Let $k \in \mathcal{R}$ and let f be the corresponding eigenfunction. For any $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ with $-\theta < \arg k \leq 0$, let f_θ be the function defined *formally* by $f_\theta = U(i\theta)f$. More precisely, we set $f_\theta = f$ on \mathcal{G}_0 and

$$(5.12) \quad f_\theta(x) = f(0)e^{i\theta/2} \exp(ik e^{i\theta} x)$$

for x on any lead $\ell = [0, \infty)$. By the choice of θ , we have $\operatorname{Im} k e^{i\theta} > 0$ and so $f_\theta \in L^2(\mathcal{G})$. A straightforward inspection shows that $f_\theta \in \operatorname{Dom} H(i\theta)$ and $H(i\theta)f_\theta = k^2 f_\theta$.

5. Conversely, let $\lambda \notin e^{-2i\theta}[0, \infty)$ be an eigenvalue of $H(i\theta)$ for $\theta \in (0, \pi)$. Write $\lambda = k^2$ with $\operatorname{Im} k e^{i\theta} > 0$. Then, for the corresponding eigenfunction g of $H(i\theta)$ we have $g(x) = g(0) \exp(ik e^{i\theta} x)$ on any lead of \mathcal{G} . A direct inspection shows that $g = f_\theta$

in the same sense as (5.12), where f is a resonance eigenfunction. Thus, $k \in \mathcal{R}$ and in particular, $\text{Im } k \leq 0$. It follows that $2\pi - 2\theta < \arg k^2 \leq 2\pi$. \square

6. AN EXAMPLE

Here we consider resonances of a particular simple graph $\mathcal{G}(c)$, where $c \in [0, 1]$ is a certain geometric parameter. The graph $\mathcal{G}(c)$ was also considered in [9, Section 4], but with different boundary conditions at the vertices. The graph $\mathcal{G}(c)$ is Weyl for $c < 1$ and non-Weyl for $c = 1$. This section has two goals. The first one is to discuss the transition between the Weyl and the non-Weyl cases in order to throw new light on the failure of the Weyl law. Our second goal is to obtain rigorous bounds on the locations of individual resonances of $\mathcal{G}(c)$, which was not addressed in [9].

6.1. Definition of $\mathcal{G}(c)$. Given $c \in [0, 1)$, we consider the graph $\mathcal{G}_0(c)$ which consists of two vertices v_1 and v_2 and two edges $e_1 = [0, \rho_1]$, $\rho_1 = (1 - c)\pi$, and $e_2 = [0, \rho_2]$, $\rho_2 = (1 + c)\pi$. The vertex v_2 is identified with the point 0 of e_1 and with the point 0 of e_2 , and the vertex v_1 is identified with the point ρ_1 of e_1 and with the point ρ_2 of e_2 . Thus, the graph $\mathcal{G}_0(c)$ is simply a circle with the circumference $\text{vol } \mathcal{G}_0(c) = 2\pi$ for all c . We attach a lead ℓ_1 at v_1 and a lead ℓ_2 at v_2 and denote the thus extended graph by $\mathcal{G}(c)$. Geometrically, $\mathcal{G}(c)$ is a circle with two leads attached to it. Finally, for $c = 1$, let $\mathcal{G}(c)$ be the circle of length 2π with two leads attached at the same point.

We will denote by $H(c)$ the operator $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ acting in $L^2(\mathcal{G}(c))$ subject to the usual continuity and Kirchhoff's boundary conditions at the vertices v_1 and v_2 . By Theorem 1.2, the graph $\mathcal{G}(c)$ is Weyl if and only if $c < 1$. At the same time, the graph $\mathcal{G}(1)$ can be regarded as the limit of $\mathcal{G}(c)$ as $c \rightarrow 1$ in an obvious geometric sense, so we need to explain what happens to resonances as $c \rightarrow 1$. As we will see, roughly speaking, half of the resonances of $H(c)$ move off to infinity as $c \rightarrow 1$. We will obtain bounds on the curves along which the resonances move as c increases from 0 to 1.

6.2. The matrix $A(k, c)$ for $\mathcal{G}(c)$. Let us display the constraints (3.3) corresponding to the graph $\mathcal{G}(c)$; the matrix $A(k, c)$ will be built up of the rows corresponding to these constraints. We denote $z_j = e^{ik\rho_j/2}$, $j = 1, 2$. The constraints corresponding to the vertex v_1 are

$$\begin{aligned} (R_1) \quad & \alpha_1 z_1^2 + \beta_1 z_1^{-2} - \zeta_1 = 0 \\ (R_2) \quad & \alpha_2 z_2^2 + \beta_2 z_2^{-2} - \zeta_1 = 0 \\ (R_3) \quad & \gamma_1 - \zeta_1 = 0 \\ (R_4) \quad & -\alpha_1 z_1^2 + \beta_1 z_1^{-2} - \alpha_2 z_2^2 + \beta_2 z_2^{-2} + \gamma_1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The first three constraints above are the continuity conditions, and the last one is the requirement that the sum of the outgoing derivatives vanishes. Similarly, the

constraints corresponding to the vertex v_2 are

$$\begin{aligned}
(R_5) \quad & \alpha_1 + \beta_1 - \zeta_2 = 0 \\
(R_6) \quad & \alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \zeta_2 = 0 \\
(R_7) \quad & \gamma_2 - \zeta_2 = 0 \\
(R_8) \quad & \alpha_1 - \beta_1 + \alpha_2 - \beta_2 + \gamma_2 = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We list these constraints in the order $R_1, R_5, R_2, R_6, R_3, R_7, R_4, R_8$, and order the variables as $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2$. This leads to the matrix

$$A(k, c) = \begin{pmatrix} z_1^2 & z_1^{-2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & z_2^2 & z_2^{-2} & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -z_1^2 & z_1^{-2} & -z_2^2 & z_2^{-2} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

6.3. Calculation of $\det A(k, c)$. The graph $\mathcal{G}(c)$ has a reflection symmetry with respect to the midpoints of e_1 and e_2 . This allows to decompose the space $\mathcal{L}(k)$ into the direct sum of the subspaces corresponding to even and odd functions with respect to this symmetry. We use this decomposition to represent the matrix $A(k, c)$ in a block-diagonal form where the blocks correspond to the even and odd solutions. More precisely, let T_1 and T_2 be the matrices

$$T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} z_1^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & z_1^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ z_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -z_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z_2^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & z_2^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -z_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A straightforward calculation shows that $\det T_1 = \det T_2 = 16$. Next, let $\tilde{A}(k, c) = T_1 A(k, c) T_2$; the reader is invited to check that the matrix $\tilde{A}(k)$ can be written as

$$\tilde{A} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A}_{\text{even}} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{A}_{\text{odd}} \end{pmatrix}$$

with blocks

$$\tilde{A}_{\text{even}} = \begin{pmatrix} 2C_1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2C_2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -2iS_1 & -2iS_2 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{A}_{\text{odd}} = \begin{pmatrix} 2iS_1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2iS_2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -2C_1 & -2C_2 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where we have used the notation $C_j = \cos(k\rho_j/2)$, $S_j = \sin(k\rho_j/2)$, $j = 1, 2$. Straightforward calculations of $\det(\tilde{A}_{\text{even}})$ and $\det(\tilde{A}_{\text{odd}})$ now yield

Theorem 6.1. *For all $k \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $c \in [0, 1)$ one has*

$$\det A(k, c) = 4F_{\text{even}}(k, c)F_{\text{odd}}(k, c)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\text{even}}(k, c) &= i \cos(kc\pi) + i \cos(k\pi) + 2 \sin(k\pi), \\ F_{\text{odd}}(k, c) &= i \cos(kc\pi) - i \cos(k\pi) - 2 \sin(k\pi). \end{aligned}$$

We will call the zeros of $F_{\text{even}}(\cdot, c)$ (resp. of $F_{\text{odd}}(\cdot, c)$) the even (resp. odd) resonances. It is not difficult to check that the resonance eigenfunctions which correspond to even/odd resonances are even/odd with respect to the symmetry of the graph $\mathcal{G}(c)$. By Theorem 2.3, the real even/odd resonances are actually eigenvalues of $H(c)$ and therefore we will call them even/odd eigenvalues.

Finally, it is not difficult to check that the resonances of $H(1)$ are given, as expected, by the zeros of $\det A(k, 1)$. In fact, in this case we have $F_{\text{odd}}(k, 1) = -2 \sin(k\pi)$ and

$$(6.1) \quad F_{\text{even}}(k, 1) = 2ie^{-ik\pi} \neq 0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Thus, the resonances of $H(1)$ coincide with the solutions to $\sin(k\pi) = 0$, i.e. they are given by $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Theorem 2.3, these resonances (for $k \neq 0$) coincide with the eigenvalues of $H(1)$ and all of them have the multiplicity one. This shows that for $c = 1$ we have the asymptotics (1.3) with $W = \pi = \frac{1}{2} \text{vol } \mathcal{G}_0$.

6.4. Locating the odd resonances.

Theorem 6.2. (i) *For any $c \in [0, 1]$, any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any $y \geq 0$ one has $F_{\text{odd}}(n + \frac{1}{2} - iy, c) \neq 0$.*

(ii) *For any $c \in [0, 1]$ and any $k = x - iy$ with $y > |x|/\sqrt{3}$ one has $F_{\text{odd}}(k, c) \neq 0$.*

Proof. (i) By an explicit calculation,

$$F_{\text{odd}}(n + \frac{1}{2} - iy, c) = i \cos((n + \frac{1}{2} - iy)\pi c) + (-1)^n \sinh(y\pi) - 2(-1)^n \cosh(y\pi) = A + B,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} |A| &= |\cos((n + 1/2 - iy)\pi c)| \\ &= |\cos((n + 1/2)\pi c) \cosh(y\pi c) + i \sin((n + 1/2)\pi c) \sinh(y\pi c)| \\ &\leq \cosh(y\pi c) \leq \cosh(y\pi) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|B| = 2 \cosh(y\pi) - \sinh(y\pi) = \cosh(y\pi) + e^{-y\pi}.$$

We deduce that

$$|F_{\text{odd}}(n + \frac{1}{2} - iy, c)| \geq |B| - |A| \geq e^{-y\pi} > 0.$$

(ii) We start by observing that $|F_{\text{odd}}(k, c)| \geq 2A - B$ where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= |\sin(k\pi)| \\ B &= |\cos(k\pi) - \cos(k\pi c)| = \left| \int_c^1 k\pi \sin(k\pi s) ds \right|. \end{aligned}$$

If $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and $v \geq 0$ then

$$\sin(u - iv) = \sin(u) \cosh(v) - i \cos(u) \sinh(v).$$

Therefore

$$\sinh(v) \leq |\sin(u - iv)| \leq \cosh(v).$$

We deduce that $A \geq \sinh(y\pi)$ and

$$B \leq \int_c^1 |k|\pi \cosh(y\pi s) ds = \frac{|k|}{y} (\sinh(y\pi) - \sinh(y\pi c)) \leq \frac{|k|}{y} \sinh(y\pi).$$

These bounds imply that $2A - B > 0$ if $2y > |k|$, which yields the theorem immediately. \square

It follows that all odd resonances are located in the rectangles

$$\Pi_n^{\text{odd}} = \left\{ x - iy : |x - n| < \frac{1}{2}, 0 \leq y \leq \frac{2|n+1|}{2\sqrt{3}} \right\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The following statement, in combination with Rouchet's theorem, shows that each of the rectangles Π_n^{odd} contains exactly one odd resonance of algebraic multiplicity one for all $c \in [0, 1]$.

Theorem 6.3. *If $c = 0$ there is a resonance of algebraic multiplicity one at $k = n - i \log(3)/\pi$ for every odd $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and an eigenvalue of multiplicity one at $k = n$ for every non-zero even $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. There is also a resonance of algebraic multiplicity one at $k = 0$. No other odd resonances or eigenvalues exist if $c = 0$.*

The proof follows from the explicit formula

$$F_{\text{odd}}(k, 0) = \frac{i}{2} (e^{ik\pi} + 3)(1 - e^{-ik\pi}).$$

By the implicit function theorem, we obtain that each of the zeros of $F_{\text{odd}}(\cdot; c)$ is a real analytic function of $c \in [0, 1]$ with values in Π_n^{odd} . The set of all odd resonances is therefore the union of a sequence of bounded real analytic curves.

It is interesting to note that each of these resonance curves intersects the real axis, thereby (by Theorem 2.3) giving rise to embedded eigenvalues. This happens at rational values of c . More precisely, a direct computation shows that $F_{\text{odd}}(k, c) = 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if

$$k = m + n, \quad c = \frac{m - n}{m + n} \quad \text{for some } m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Figure 1 plots a typical odd resonance curve as c increases from 0 to 1. The curve starts at $7 - i \log(3)/\pi$ when $c = 0$ and passes through 7 when $c = \frac{1}{7}, \frac{3}{7}, \frac{5}{7}, 1$.




FIGURE 1. The odd resonance curve in Π_7^{odd}

6.5. Locating the even resonances.

Theorem 6.4. (i) For any $c \in [0, 1]$, any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any $y \geq 0$ one has $F_{\text{even}}(n + \frac{1}{2} - iy, c) \neq 0$.

(ii) For any $c \in [0, 1)$ and any $k = x - iy$ with $y > \frac{\log 3}{\pi(1-|c|)}$, one has $F_{\text{odd}}(k, c) \neq 0$.

Proof. (i) We have

$$F_{\text{even}}(n + 1/2 - iy, c) = A - B,$$

where A, B are as in the proof of Theorem 6.2(i). The rest of the proof is the same as in Theorem 6.2(i).

(ii) For any $k = x - iy$ we have

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}e^{y\pi|c|} + \frac{1}{2} &\geq \cosh(y\pi c) \geq |\cos(x\pi c) \cosh(y\pi c) + i \sin(x\pi c) \cosh(y\pi c)| \\ &\geq |\cos(x\pi c) \cosh(y\pi c) + i \sin(x\pi c) \sinh(y\pi c)| = |\cos(k\pi c)| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(6.3) \quad |i \cos(k\pi) + 2 \sin(k\pi)| \geq \frac{1}{2} |e^{ik\pi}| - \frac{3}{2} |e^{-ik\pi}| = \frac{1}{2} e^{y\pi} - \frac{3}{2} e^{-y\pi}.$$

Now suppose $F_{\text{even}}(k, c) = 0$; then $\cos(k\pi c) = -i \cos(k\pi) - 2 \sin(k\pi)$ and therefore, combining (6.2) and (6.3), we obtain

$$e^{y\pi} \leq e^{y\pi|c|} + 1 + 3e^{-y\pi}.$$

If $y \geq \log(3)/\pi$ or equivalently $e^{y\pi} \geq 3$ then

$$e^{y\pi} \leq e^{y\pi|c|} + 2 \leq e^{y\pi|c|} + \frac{2}{3} e^{y\pi}.$$

A simple manipulation then yields that $y \leq \frac{\log(3)}{\pi(1-|c|)}$, and the required result follows. \square

It follows that for $c \in [0, 1)$ the even resonances are located in the rectangles

$$\Pi_n^{\text{even}}(c) = \left\{ x + iy : |x - n| < \frac{1}{2}, 0 \leq y \leq \frac{\log 3}{\pi(1-|c|)} \right\}.$$

Just as in the odd case, the following statement shows that for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $c \in [0, 1)$, the rectangle $\Pi_n^{\text{even}}(c)$ contains exactly one resonance.

Theorem 6.5. *If $c = 0$ there is an even resonance of the algebraic multiplicity one at $k = n - i \log(3)/\pi$ for every even $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and an even eigenvalue of multiplicity one at $k = n$ for every non-zero odd $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. There are no other even resonances.*

The proof follows from the explicit formula

$$F_{\text{even}}(k, 0) = -\frac{i}{2} (e^{ik\pi} - 3)(1 + e^{-ik\pi}).$$

Just as in the odd case, we obtain that the resonances are given by branches of real analytic functions of $c \in [0, 1)$ with values in $\Pi_n^{\text{even}}(c)$. However, in contrast with the odd case, the height of the rectangles $\Pi_n^{\text{even}}(c)$ is not uniformly bounded in c . Moreover, we have

Theorem 6.6. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let $k_n = k_n(c)$ be the unique solution to $F_{\text{even}}(k, c) = 0$ with $k_n(c) \in \Pi_n^{\text{even}}(c)$. Then $\text{Im } k_n(c) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $c \rightarrow 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that the conclusion of the theorem is false. Then there exists a sequence $c_m \rightarrow 1$ such that $\text{Im } k_n(c_m)$ is bounded. By passing to a subsequence we can assume that $k_n(c_m) \rightarrow k_n^\infty \in \mathbb{C}$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. This would imply that $F_{\text{even}}(k_n^\infty, 1) = 0$ by the joint continuity of the function F_{even} . This is impossible by (6.1). \square

Formal calculations and numerical analysis suggest that the rate of divergence of $\text{Im } k_n(c)$ as $c \rightarrow 1$ is logarithmic. Thus, all even resonances move off to infinity and this provides partial explanation for the failure of the Weyl law for $c = 1$.

As in the odd case, the even resonance curves intersect the real axis for some rational values of k . A direct computation shows that $F_{\text{even}}(k, c) = 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if

$$k = m + n - 1, \quad c = \frac{m - n}{m + n - 1}, \quad \text{for some } n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Figure 2 plots a typical even resonance curve as c increases from 0 to 1. The curve starts at $4 - i \log(3)/\pi$ when $c = 0$ and passes through 4 when $c = \frac{1}{5}, \frac{3}{5}$ and diverges to ∞ as $c \rightarrow 1$.




FIGURE 2. The even resonance curve in Π_4^{even}

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Aguilar, J.-M. Combes, *A class of analytic perturbations for one-body Schrödinger operators*, Commun. Math. Phys. **22** (1971), 269–279.
- [2] D. Borthwick, *Sharp upper bounds for perturbations of hyperbolic space*, preprint, arXiv:0910.2439.
- [3] G. Carron, *Déterminant relatif et la fonction Ξ* , American J. of Math. **124** (2002) no. 2, 307–352.

- [4] T. Christiansen, *Asymptotics for a resonance-counting function for potential scattering on cylinders*, J. Funct. Anal. **216** (2004) 172–190.
- [5] E. B. Davies, *Eigenvalues of an elliptic system*, Zeit. Math. **243** (2003), 719–743.
- [6] E. B. Davies and P. A. Incani, *Spectral properties of matrices associated with directed graphs*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **100**, no. 1 (2010), 55–90.
- [7] E. B. Davies, P. Exner, J. Lipovský, *Non-Weyl asymptotics for quantum graphs with general coupling conditions*, to appear in J. Phys. A.
- [8] P. Exner, J. Lipovský, *Equivalence of resolvent and scattering resonances on quantum graphs*, Adventures in Mathematical Physics (Proceedings, Cergy-Pontoise 2006), **447**, Providence, R.I., (2007), 73–81.
- [9] P. Exner, J. Lipovský, *Resonances from perturbations of quantum graphs with rationally related edges*, J. Phys. A **43** (2010) 105301.
- [10] R. Froese, *Asymptotic distribution of resonances in one dimension*, J. Diff. Eqns. **137** (1997) 251–272.
- [11] F. Gesztesy, M. Mitrea, M. Zinchenko, *On Dirichlet-to-Neumann maps and some applications to modified Fredholm determinants*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, **186**, 191–215.
- [12] F. Gesztesy, M. Mitrea, M. Zinchenko, *Variations on a theme of Jost and Pais*, J. Funct. Anal. **253** (2007), no. 2, 399–448.
- [13] P. A. Incani, *Spectral Theory of directed graphs*, PhD thesis, King’s College London, 2009.
- [14] E. Korotyaev, *Inverse resonance scattering on the real line*, Inverse Problems **21** (2005), 325–341.
- [15] V. Kostrykin and R. Schrader, *Kirchhoff’s rule for quantum wires*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **32** (1999), 595–630.
- [16] V. Kostrykin and R. Schrader, *Laplacians on metric graphs: eigenvalues, resolvents and semigroups*. Quantum graphs and their applications, 201–225, Contemp. Math., **415**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [17] V. Kostrykin and R. Schrader, *Heat kernels on metric graphs and a trace formula*. Adventures in mathematical physics, 175–198, Contemp. Math., **447**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
- [18] T. Kottos and U. Smilansky, *Quantum graphs: A simple model for chaotic scattering*. Random matrix theory, J. Phys. A **36** (2003), no. 12, 3501–3524.
- [19] P. Kuchment, *Quantum graphs: I. Some basic structures*, Waves in Random Media **14** no. 1 (2004), S107–S128.
- [20] P. Kuchment, *Quantum graphs: an introduction and a brief survey*, pp.291–314 in ‘Analysis on Graphs and its Applications’, Proc. Symp. Pure. Math., AMS 2008.
- [21] P. Kuchment, *Quantum graphs II*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **38** (2005), 4887–4900.
- [22] R. E. Langer, *On the zeros of exponential sums and integrals*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **37** (1931), 213–239.
- [23] C. J. Moreno, *The zeros of exponential polynomials (I)*, Comp. Math. **26** (1973) 69–78.
- [24] B.-S. Ong, *On the limiting absorption principle and spectra of quantum graphs*, Contemp. Math., **415**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [25] L. Parnowski, *Spectral asymptotics of Laplace operators on surfaces with cusps*, Math. Ann. **303** (1995) 281–296.
- [26] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics*, vol. 4, Acad. Press, 1978.
- [27] B. Simon, *Resonances in n -body quantum systems with dilatation analytic potentials and the foundations of time-dependent perturbation theory*, Ann. of Math. **97** (1973), 247–274.
- [28] J. Sjöstrand, M. Zworski, *Complex scaling and the distribution of scattering poles*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991) 729–769.
- [29] P. Stefanov, *Sharp upper bounds on the number of the scattering poles*, J. Funct. Anal. **231**(1) (2006), 111–142.

- [30] M. Zworski, *Distribution of poles for scattering on the real line*, J. Funct. Anal. **73** (1987) 277–296.

(Davies) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KING'S COLLEGE LONDON, STRAND, LONDON WC2R 2LS, U.K.

E-mail address: `E.Brian.Davies@kcl.ac.uk`

(Pushnitski) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KING'S COLLEGE LONDON, STRAND, LONDON WC2R 2LS, U.K.

E-mail address: `alexander.pushnitski@kcl.ac.uk`