

INTEGRATING MORPHISMS OF LIE 2-ALGEBRAS

BEHRANG NOOHI

ABSTRACT. Given two Lie 2-groups, we study the problem of integrating a weak morphism between the corresponding Lie 2-algebras to a weak morphism between the Lie 2-groups. To do so we develop a theory of butterflies for 2-term L_∞ -algebras. In particular, we obtain a new description of the bicategory of 2-term L_∞ -algebras. An interesting observation here is that the role played by 1-connected Lie groups in Lie theory is now played by 2-connected Lie 2-groups. Using butterflies we also give a functorial construction of 2-connected covers of Lie 2-groups. Based on our results we expect that the similar pattern generalizes to Lie n -groups and Lie n -algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we tackle two problems in the Lie theory of 2-groups:

- 1) integrating weak morphisms of Lie 2-algebras to weak morphisms of Lie 2-groups;
- 2) functorial construction of 2-connected covers of Lie 2-groups.

As we will see in our answer to question (1), Theorem 9.2, the role played by simply connected Lie groups in classical Lie theory is played by 2-connected Lie 2-groups in 2-Lie theory. This justifies our interest in question (2).

Let us explain questions (1) and (2) in detail and outline our solution to them.

Problem 1. A weak morphism $f: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ of Lie 2-groups gives rise to a weak morphism of Lie 2-algebras $\text{Lie } f: \text{Lie } \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \text{Lie } \mathbb{G}$. (If we regard $\text{Lie } \mathbb{H}$ and $\text{Lie } \mathbb{G}$ as 2-term L_∞ -algebras, $\text{Lie } f$ is then a morphism of 2-term L_∞ -algebras in the sense of Definition 2.5.) Problem (1) can be stated as follows: given a morphism $F: \text{Lie } \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \text{Lie } \mathbb{G}$ of Lie 2-algebras can we integrate it to a weak morphism $\text{Int } F: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ of Lie 2-groups?

We answer this question affirmatively by the following theorem (see Theorem 9.2 for a more precise statement).

Theorem 1.1. *Let \mathbb{G} and \mathbb{H} be (strict) Lie 2-groups. Suppose that \mathbb{H} is 2-connected (Definition 7.2). Then, to give a weak morphism $f: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is equivalent to giving a morphism of Lie 2-algebras $\text{Lie } f: \text{Lie } \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \text{Lie } \mathbb{G}$. The same thing is true for 2-morphisms.*

This theorem is the 2-group version of the well-known fact in Lie theory that a Lie homomorphism $f: H \rightarrow G$ is uniquely given by its effect on Lie algebras $\text{Lie } f: \text{Lie } H \rightarrow \text{Lie } G$, whenever H is 1-connected. It implies the following (see Corollary 9.3).

Theorem 1.2. *The bifunctor $\text{Lie}: \mathbf{LieXM} \rightarrow \mathbf{LieAlgXM}$ has a left adjoint*

$$\text{Int}: \mathbf{LieAlgXM} \rightarrow \mathbf{LieXM}.$$

Here, \mathbf{LieXM} is the bicategory of Lie crossed-modules and weak morphisms, and $\mathbf{LieAlgXM}$ is the bicategory of Lie algebra crossed-modules and weak morphisms.¹ The bifunctor Int takes a Lie crossed-module to the unique 2-connected (strict) Lie 2-group that integrates it. When restricted to the full subcategory $\mathbf{Lie} \subset \mathbf{LieAlgXM}$ of Lie algebras, it coincides with the standard integration functor which sends a Lie algebra V to the simply-connected Lie group $\text{Int } V$ with Lie algebra V .

The problem of integrating L_∞ -algebras has been studied in [Ge] and [He], where they show how to integrate an L_∞ -algebra (to a simplicial manifold). The focus of these two papers, however, is different from ours in that we begin with *fixed* Lie 2-groups \mathbb{H} and \mathbb{G} and study the problem of integrating a morphism of Lie 2-algebras $\text{Lie } \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \text{Lie } \mathbb{G}$. The problem of integrating morphisms of Lie 2-algebras in the case where the source is a Lie algebra has been studied in [ZaZh] using the classical approach via paths and solving PDEs. Our approach circumvents the necessity to use paths and solving PDEs and is more formal, making it completely functorial and explicit (hence applicable in other circumstances).

Problem 2. For a Lie group G , its 0-th and 1-st connected covers $G\langle 0 \rangle$ and $G\langle 1 \rangle$, which are again Lie groups, play an important role in Lie theory. We observe that for (strict) Lie 2-groups one needs to go one step further, i.e., one needs to consider the 2-nd connected cover as well. We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *For $n = 0, 1, 2$, there are bifunctors $(-)\langle n \rangle: \mathbf{LieXM} \rightarrow \mathbf{LieXM}$ sending a Lie crossed-module \mathbb{G} to its n -th connected cover. These bifunctors come with natural transformations $q_n: (-)\langle n \rangle \Rightarrow \text{id}$ such that, for every \mathbb{G} , $q_n: \mathbb{G}\langle n \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ induces isomorphisms on π_i for $i \geq n + 1$. Furthermore, $(-)\langle n \rangle$ is right adjoint to the inclusion of the full sub bicategory of n -connected Lie crossed-modules in \mathbf{LieXM} .*

The above theorem is essentially the content of Sections 7–8. We will be especially interested in the 2-connected cover $\mathbb{G}\langle 2 \rangle$ because, as suggested by Theorem 1.2, it seems to be the correct replacement for the universal cover of a Lie group in the Lie theory of 2-groups.

Method. To solve (1) and (2) we employ the machinery of *butterflies*, which we believe is of independent interest. Roughly speaking, a butterfly (Definition 3.1) between 2-term L_∞ -algebras is a Lie algebra theoretic version of a Morita morphism. We use butterflies to give a new description of the 2-category $\mathbf{2TermL}_\infty$ of 2-term L_∞ -algebras introduced in [BaCr]. The advantage of using butterflies is twofold. On the one hand, butterflies do away with cumbersome cocycle formulas and are much easier to manipulate. On the other hand, given the diagrammatic nature of butterflies, they are better adapted to geometric situations; this is what allows us to prove Theorem 1.2.

Butterflies for 2-term L_∞ -algebras parallel the corresponding theory for Lie 2-groups developed in [No3] (see §9.6 therein) and [AlNo1]. In fact, taking Lie algebras converts a butterfly in Lie groups to a butterfly in Lie algebras (§9). This allows

¹Note that \mathbf{LieXM} is naturally biequivalent to the 2-category of strict Lie 2-groups and weak morphisms, and this is in turn biequivalent to the 2-category of strict Lie group stacks. The bicategory $\mathbf{LieAlgXM}$ is naturally biequivalent to the full sub 2-category of the 2-category $\mathbf{2TermL}_\infty$ of 2-term L_∞ -algebras consisting of strict 2-term L_∞ -algebras, and this is in turn biequivalent to the 2-category of 2-term dglas.

us to study weak morphisms of Lie 2-groups using butterflies between 2-term L_∞ -algebras, thereby reducing the problem to one about extensions of Lie algebras. With Theorem 1.2 at hand, we expect that this provide a convenient framework for studying weak morphisms of Lie 2-groups.

Organization of the paper. Sections 2–5 are devoted to setting up the machinery of butterflies and constructing the bicategory $\mathbf{2TermL}_\infty^b$ of 2-term L_∞ -algebras and butterflies. We show that $\mathbf{2TermL}_\infty^b$ is biequivalent to the Baez-Crans 2-category $\mathbf{2TermL}_\infty$ of 2-term L_∞ -algebras. In §4 we discuss the *homotopy fiber* of a morphism of 2-term L_∞ -algebras. The homotopy fiber is the Lie algebra counterpart of what we called the homotopy fiber of a weak morphism of Lie 2-groups in [No3], §9.4. The homotopy fiber of f measures the deviation of f from being an equivalence and it sits in a natural exact triangle which gives rise to a 7-term long exact sequence. The homotopy fiber comes with a rich structure consisting of various brackets and Jacobiators (see §4.1). We are not aware whether this structure has been previously studied. It is presumably some kind of a Lie algebra version of what is called a ‘crossed-module in groupoids’ in [BrGi].

In Section 6 we review Lie 2-groups and weak morphisms (butterflies) of Lie 2-groups. Sections 7–8 are devoted to the solution of Problem (2). For a Lie crossed-modules \mathbb{G} we define its n -th connected covers $\mathbb{G}\langle n \rangle$, for $n \leq 2$, and show that they are functorial and have the expected adjunction property.

In Section 9 we solve Problem (1) by proving Theorems 1.2 and 1.1. The proofs rely on the solution of Problem (2) given in Sections 7–8 and the theory of butterflies developed in Sections 2–5.

Acknowledgement. I thank Gustavo Granja, Christoph Wockel and Chenchang Zhu for helpful conversations on the subject of this paper. I also thank Fernando Muro, Jim Stasheff, Tim Porter, Dmitry Roytenberg and Marco Zambon for making useful comments on an earlier version of the paper.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. 2-term L_∞ -algebras	4
3. Butterflies between 2-term L_∞ -algebras	6
4. Homotopy fiber of a morphism of 2-term L_∞ -algebras	7
4.1. Structure of the homotopy fiber	8
5. The bicategory of Lie 2-algebras and butterflies	9
5.1. Composition of a butterfly with a strict morphism	10
6. Weak morphisms of Lie 2-groups and butterflies	10
6.1. A note on terminology	11
6.2. Quick review of Lie butterflies	11
7. Connected covers of a Lie 2-group	12
7.1. Definition of the connected covers	13
7.2. Uniform definition of the n -connected covers	14
8. Functorial properties of connected covers	15
8.1. Construction of the n -th connected cover functor	15
8.2. Effect on the composition of butterflies	17
8.3. Adjunction property of connected covers	21
9. The bifunctor from Lie crossed-modules to 2-term L_∞ -algebras	22

10. Appendix: functorial n -connected covers for $n \geq 3$	25
References	26

2. 2-TERM L_∞ -ALGEBRAS

In this section we review some basic facts about 2-term L_∞ -algebras. We follow the notations of [BaCr] (also see [Ro]). All modules are over a fixed base commutative unital ring K .

Definition 2.1. A **2-term L_∞ -algebra** \mathbb{V} consists of a linear map $\partial: V_1 \rightarrow V_0$ of modules together with the following data:

- three bilinear maps $[\cdot, \cdot]: V_i \times V_j \rightarrow V_{i+j}$, $i + j = 0, 1$;
- an antisymmetric trilinear map (the *Jacobiator*) $\langle \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rangle: V_0 \times V_0 \times V_0 \rightarrow V_1$.

These maps satisfy the following axioms for all $w, x, y, z \in V_0$ and $h, k \in V_1$:

- $[x, y] = -[y, x]$;
- $[x, h] = -[h, x]$;
- $\partial([x, h]) = [x, \partial h]$;
- $[\partial h, k] = [h, \partial k]$;
- $\partial\langle x, y, z \rangle = [x, [y, z]] + [y, [z, x]] + [z, [x, y]]$;
- $\langle x, y, \partial h \rangle = [x, [y, h]] + [y, [h, x]] + [h, [x, y]]$;
- $[\langle x, y, z \rangle, w] - [\langle w, x, y \rangle, z] + [\langle z, w, x \rangle, y] - [\langle y, z, w \rangle, x] =$

$$\langle [x, y], z, w \rangle + \langle [z, w], x, y \rangle + \langle [x, z], w, y \rangle + \langle [w, y], x, z \rangle + \langle [x, w], y, z \rangle + \langle [y, z], x, w \rangle.$$

We sometimes use the notation $[V_1 \rightarrow V_0]$ for a 2-term L_∞ -algebra.

Definition 2.2. The equality $[\partial h, k] = [h, \partial k]$ allows us to define a bracket on V_1 by setting $[h, k] := [\partial h, k] = [h, \partial k]$.

Lemma 2.3. *For the bracket defined in Definition 2.2, the failure of the Jacobi identity is measured by the equality*

$$\langle \partial h, \partial k, \partial h \rangle = [h, [k, l]] + [k, [l, h]] + [l, [h, k]].$$

Proof. Easy. □

A crossed-module in Lie algebras is the same thing as a strict 2-term L_∞ -algebra, i.e., one for which the Jacobiator $\langle \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is identically zero. More precisely, given a 2-term L_∞ -algebra \mathbb{V} with zero Jacobiator we obtain, by Lemma 2.3, a Lie algebra structure on V_1 , where the bracket is as in Definition 2.2. This makes ∂ a Lie algebra homomorphism. The action of V_0 on V_1 is the given bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]: V_0 \times V_1 \rightarrow V_1$. Also, observe that a strict 2-term L_∞ -algebra is the same thing as a 2-term dgla.

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathbb{V} = [\partial: V_1 \rightarrow V_0]$ be a 2-term L_∞ -algebra. We define

$$H_1(\mathbb{V}) := \ker \partial, \quad H_0(\mathbb{V}) := \operatorname{coker} \partial.$$

Note that $H_0(\mathbb{V})$ and $H_1(\mathbb{V})$ both inherit natural Lie algebra structures, the latter being necessarily abelian. Furthermore, $H_1(\mathbb{V})$ is naturally an $H_0(\mathbb{V})$ -module.

Definition 2.5. A **morphism** $f: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ of 2-term L_∞ -algebras consists of the following data:

- linear maps $f_i: W_i \rightarrow V_i$, $i = 0, 1$, commuting with the differentials;

- an antisymmetric bilinear map $\varepsilon: W_0 \times W_0 \rightarrow V_1$.

These maps satisfy the following axioms:

- for every $x, y \in W_0$, $[f_0(x), f_0(y)] - f_0[x, y] = \partial\varepsilon(x, y)$;
- for every $x \in W_0$ and $h \in W_1$, $[f_0(x), f_1(h)] - f_1[x, h] = \varepsilon(x, \partial h)$;
- for every $x, y, z \in W_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle f_0(x), f_0(y), f_0(z) \rangle - f_1(\langle x, y, z \rangle) = \\ & \varepsilon(x, [y, z]) + \varepsilon(y, [z, x]) + \varepsilon(z, [x, y]) + [f_0(x), \varepsilon(y, z)] + [f_0(y), \varepsilon(z, x)] + [f_0(z), \varepsilon(x, y)]. \end{aligned}$$

A morphism $f: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ of 2-term L_∞ -algebras induces a Lie algebra homomorphism $H_0(f): H_0(\mathbb{W}) \rightarrow H_0(\mathbb{V})$ and an $H_0(f)$ -equivariant morphism of Lie algebra modules $H_1(f): H_1(\mathbb{W}) \rightarrow H_1(\mathbb{V})$.

Definition 2.6. A morphism $f: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ of 2-term L_∞ -algebras is called an **equivalence** (or a **quasi-isomorphism**) if $H_0(f)$ and $H_1(f)$ are isomorphisms.

Definition 2.7. A morphism of 2-term L_∞ -algebra is **strict** if ε is identically zero. In the case where \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{W} are crossed-modules in Lie algebras, this means that f is a (strict) morphism of crossed-modules.

Definition 2.8. If $f = (f_0, f_1, \varepsilon): \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ and $g = (g_0, g_1, \delta): \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$ are morphisms of 2-term L_∞ -algebras, the composition gf is defined to be the triple (g_0f_0, g_1f_1, γ) , where

$$\gamma(x, y) := g_1\varepsilon(x, y) + \delta(f_0(x), f_0(y)), \quad x, y \in W_0.$$

Finally, we recall the definition of a transformation between morphisms of 2-term L_∞ -algebras. Up to a minor difference in sign conventions, it is the same as [Ro], Definition 2.20. It is also equivalent to Definition 4.3.7 of [BaCr] (Definition 37 in the archive version [arXiv:math/0307263v6]).

Definition 2.9. Given morphisms $f, g: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ of 2-term L_∞ -algebras, a **transformation** (or an **L_∞ -homotopy**) from g to f is a linear map $\theta: W_0 \rightarrow V_1$ such that

- for every $x \in W_0$, $f_0(x) - g_0(x) = \partial\theta(x)$;
- for every $h \in W_1$, $f_1(h) - g_1(h) = \theta(\partial h)$;
- for every $x, y \in W_0$,

$$[\theta(x), \theta(y)] - \theta([x, y]) = \varepsilon_f(x, y) - \varepsilon_g(x, y) + [g_0(y), \theta(x)] + [\theta(y), g_0(x)].$$

Remark 2.10. It may perhaps look more natural to consider such a θ as a transformation from f to g and not from g to f . (This is how it is in [Ro], Definition 2.20.) We, however, choose the backward convention to be compatible with corresponding notion of transformation for butterflies (Definition 3.3).

It is easy to see that if f and g are related by a transformation, then $H_i(f) = H_i(g)$, $i = 0, 1$.

Definition 2.11. If θ is a transformation from f to g and σ a transformation from g to h , their composition is the transformation from f to h given by the linear map $\theta + \sigma$.

The following definition is the one in [BaCr], Proposition 4.3.8.

Definition 2.12. We define **2TermL $_\infty$** to be the 2-category in which the objects are 2-term L_∞ -algebras, the morphisms are as in Definition 2.5 and the 2-morphisms are as in Definition 2.9.

3. BUTTERFLIES BETWEEN 2-TERM L_∞ -ALGEBRAS

In this section we introduce the notion of a butterfly between 2-term L_∞ -algebras and show that butterflies encode morphisms of 2-term L_∞ -algebras (Propositions 3.4, 3.5). A butterfly should be regarded as an analogue of a Morita morphism.

Definition 3.1. Let \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{W} be 2-term L_∞ -algebras. A **butterfly** $B: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W_1 & & & & V_1 \\ & \searrow \kappa & & \swarrow \iota & \\ & & E & & \\ & \swarrow \sigma & & \searrow \rho & \\ & & & & V_0 \\ W_0 & & & & \end{array}$$

of modules in which E is endowed with an antisymmetric bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]: E \times E \rightarrow E$ satisfying the following axioms:

- both diagonal sequences are complexes and the NE-SW sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V_1 \xrightarrow{\iota} E \xrightarrow{\sigma} W_0 \rightarrow 0$$

is short exact;

- for every $a, b \in E$,

$$\rho[a, b] = [\rho(a), \rho(b)] \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma[a, b] = [\sigma(a), \sigma(b)];$$

- for every $a \in E, h \in V_1, l \in W_1$,

$$[a, \iota(h)] = \iota[\rho(a), h] \quad \text{and} \quad [a, \kappa(l)] = \kappa[\sigma(a), l];$$

- for every $a, b, c \in E$,

$$\iota\langle \rho(a), \rho(b), \rho(c) \rangle + \kappa\langle \sigma(a), \sigma(b), \sigma(c) \rangle = [a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]].$$

In the case where \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{W} are crossed-modules in Lie algebras (i.e., when the Jacobiators are identically zero), the bracket on E makes it into a Lie algebra and all the maps in the butterfly diagram become Lie algebra homomorphisms.

Remark 3.2. The map $\kappa + \iota: W_1 \oplus V_1 \rightarrow E$ has a natural 2-term L_∞ -algebra structure. Let us denote this 2-term L_∞ -algebra by \mathbb{E} . The two projections $\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ and $\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ are strict morphisms of 2-term L_∞ -algebras and the former is a quasi-isomorphism. Thus, we can think of the butterfly B as a zig-zag of strict morphisms from \mathbb{W} to \mathbb{V} .

Definition 3.3. Given two butterflies $B, B': \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$, a **morphism** of butterflies from B to B' is a linear map $E \rightarrow E'$ commuting with the brackets and all four structure maps of the butterfly. (Note that such a map $E \rightarrow E'$ is necessarily an isomorphism.)

A butterfly $B: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ induces a Lie algebra homomorphism $H_0(B): H_0(\mathbb{W}) \rightarrow H_0(\mathbb{V})$ and an $H_0(B)$ -equivariant morphism $H_1(B): H_1(\mathbb{W}) \rightarrow H_1(\mathbb{V})$. If B and B' are related by a morphism, then $H_i(B) = H_i(B')$, $i = 0, 1$.

Let $f: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ be a morphism of 2-term L_∞ -algebras as in Definition 2.5. Define a bracket on $V_1 \oplus W_0$ by the rule

$$[(k, x), (l, y)] := ([k, l] + [f_0(x), l] + [k, f_0(y)] + \varepsilon(x, y), [x, y]).$$

Define the following four maps:

- $\kappa: W_1 \rightarrow V_1 \oplus W_0$, $\kappa(l) = (-f_1(l), \partial l)$,
- $\iota: V_1 \rightarrow V_1 \oplus W_0$, $\iota(k) = (k, 0)$,
- $\sigma: V_1 \oplus W_0 \rightarrow W_0$, $\sigma(k, x) = x$,
- $\rho: V_1 \oplus W_0 \rightarrow V_0$, $\rho(k, x) = \partial k + f_0(x)$.

Proposition 3.4. *With the bracket on $V_1 \oplus W_0$ and the maps κ , ι , ρ and σ defined as above, the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 W_1 & & & & V_1 \\
 & \searrow \kappa & & \swarrow \iota & \\
 & & V_1 \oplus W_0 & & \\
 & \swarrow \sigma & & \searrow \rho & \\
 W_0 & & & & V_0
 \end{array}$$

is a butterfly (Definition 3.1). Conversely, given a butterfly as in Definition 3.1 and a linear section $s: W_0 \rightarrow E$ to σ , we obtain a morphism of 2-term L_∞ -algebras by setting

$$f_0 := \rho s, \quad f_1 := s\partial - \kappa, \quad \varepsilon := [s(\cdot), s(\cdot)] - s[\cdot, \cdot].$$

(In the definition of the last two maps we are using the exactness of the NE-SW sequence.) Furthermore, these two constructions are inverse to each other.

Proposition 3.5. *Via the construction introduced in Proposition 3.4, transformations between morphisms of 2-term L_∞ -algebras (Definition 2.9) correspond to morphisms of butterflies (Definition 3.3). In other words, we have an equivalence of groupoids between the groupoid of morphisms of 2-term L_∞ -algebras from \mathbb{W} to \mathbb{V} and the groupoid of butterflies from \mathbb{W} to \mathbb{V} .*

Example 3.6. Let V and W be Lie algebras. Define $\mathbb{D}er(V)$ to be the crossed-module in Lie algebras $\partial: V \rightarrow \mathbb{D}er(V)$, where ∂ sends $v \in V$ to the derivation $[v, \cdot]$. Then, the equivalence classes of 2-term L_∞ -algebra morphisms $W \rightarrow \mathbb{D}er(V)$ are in bijection with isomorphism classes of extensions of W by V . Here, W is regarded as the 2-term L_∞ -algebra $[0 \rightarrow W]$.

4. HOMOTOPY FIBER OF A MORPHISM OF 2-TERM L_∞ -ALGEBRAS

We introduce the homotopy fiber (or “shifted mapping cone”) of a butterfly (and also of a morphism of 2-term L_∞ -algebras). The homologies of the homotopy fiber sit in a 7-term long exact sequence. We see in § 4.1 that the homotopy fiber has a rich structure consisting of various brackets.

Definition 4.1. Let $B: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 W_1 & & & & V_1 \\
 & \searrow \kappa & & \swarrow \iota & \\
 & & E & & \\
 & \swarrow \sigma & & \searrow \rho & \\
 W_0 & & & & V_0
 \end{array}$$

be a butterfly. We define the **homotopy fiber** $\text{hfib}(B)$ of B to be the NW-SE sequence

$$W_1 \xrightarrow{\kappa} E \xrightarrow{\rho} V_0.$$

We will think of W_1 , E and V_0 as sitting in degrees 1, 0 and -1 .

The homotopy fiber measures the deviation of B from being an equivalence (see Remark 4.5 below).

Proposition 4.2. *More precisely, we have a long exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow H_1(\text{hfib}(B)) \longrightarrow H_1(\mathbb{W}) \xrightarrow{H_1(B)} H_1(\mathbb{V}) \longrightarrow H_0(\text{hfib}(B)) \longrightarrow H_0(\mathbb{W}) \xrightarrow{H_0(B)} H_0(\mathbb{V}) \longrightarrow H_{-1}(\text{hfib}(B)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Exercise. \square

Except for $H_{-1}(\text{hfib}(B))$, all the terms in the above sequence are Lie algebras and all the maps are Lie algebra homomorphisms; see §4.1 below.

Corollary 4.3. *A butterfly B is an equivalence (i.e., induces isomorphisms on H_0 and H_1) if and only if its NW-SE sequence is short exact. In this case, the inverse of B is obtained by flipping it along the vertical axis.*

Definition 4.1 leads to the following definition.

Definition 4.4. For a morphism $f = (f_0, f_1, \varepsilon): \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ of 2-term L_∞ -algebras, we define its homotopy fiber $\text{hfib}(f)$ to be the sequence

$$W_1 \xrightarrow{(-f_1, \partial)} V_1 \oplus W_0 \xrightarrow{\partial + f_0} V_0.$$

Remark 4.5. If we forget all the brackets and index the terms of $\text{hfib}(f)$ by 2,1,0, we see that $\text{hfib}(f)$ coincides with the cone of f in the derived category of chain complexes.

4.1. Structure of the homotopy fiber. The $\text{hfib}(B)$ comes with some additional structure which we discuss below. First, let us rename the homotopy fiber in the following way

$$C_1 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_0 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{-1}.$$

We have the following data:

- antisymmetric bilinear brackets $[\cdot, \cdot]_i: C_i \times C_i \rightarrow C_i$, $i = 1, 0, -1$;
- antisymmetric bilinear brackets $[\cdot, \cdot]_{01}: C_0 \times C_1 \rightarrow C_1$, $[\cdot, \cdot]_{10}: C_1 \times C_0 \rightarrow C_1$;
- antisymmetric trilinear Jacobiators $\langle \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rangle_i: C_i \times C_i \times C_i \rightarrow C_{i+1}$, $i = -1, 0$.

We denote $[\cdot, \cdot]_{-1}$ by $[\cdot, \cdot]$. The following axioms are satisfied:

- $[\cdot, \cdot]_{01} = -[\cdot, \cdot]_{10}$;
- for every $a \in C_0$ and $h \in C_1$, $\partial([a, h]_{01}) = [a, \partial h]_0$;
- for every $h, k \in C_1$, $[h, k]_1 = [\partial h, k]_{01} = [h, \partial k]_{10}$;
- for every $a, b \in C_0$, $\partial[a, b]_0 = [\partial a, \partial b]$;
- for every $a, b, c \in C_0$,

$$\langle \partial a, \partial b, \partial c \rangle_{-1} + \partial(\langle a, b, c \rangle_0) = [a, [b, c]_0]_0 + [b, [c, a]_0]_0 + [c, [a, b]_0]_0.$$

- for every $a, b \in C_0$ and $h \in C_1$,

$$\langle a, b, \partial h \rangle_0 = [a, [b, h]_{01}]_{01} + [b, [h, a]_{10}]_{01} + [h, [a, b]_0]_{10}.$$

- for every $a, b, c, d \in C_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle [a, b, c]_0, d \rangle_{10} - \langle [d, a, b]_0, c \rangle_{10} + \langle [c, d, a]_0, b \rangle_{10} - \langle [b, c, d]_0, a \rangle_{10} = \\ & \langle [a, b]_0, c, d \rangle_0 + \langle [c, d]_0, a, b \rangle_0 + \langle [a, c]_0, d, b \rangle_0 + \langle [d, b]_0, a, c \rangle_0 + \langle [a, d]_0, b, c \rangle_0 + \langle [b, c]_0, a, d \rangle_0. \end{aligned}$$

There are natural chain maps $\mathbb{V} \rightarrow \text{hfib}(B)[-1]$ and $\text{hfib}(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ which respect all the brackets on the nose. In fact, $\text{hfib}(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ is an exact triangle in the derived category of chain complexes (note the reverse shift due to homological indexing).

5. THE BICATEGORY OF LIE 2-ALGEBRAS AND BUTTERFLIES

Given butterflies

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_1 & & V_1 \\ \downarrow & \searrow \kappa & \swarrow \iota \\ & E & \\ \downarrow & \swarrow \sigma & \searrow \rho \\ W_0 & & V_0 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V_1 & & U_1 \\ \downarrow & \searrow \kappa' & \swarrow \iota' \\ & F & \\ \downarrow & \swarrow \sigma' & \searrow \rho' \\ V_0 & & U_0 \end{array}$$

we define their composition to be the butterfly

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_1 & & U_1 \\ \downarrow & \searrow (\kappa, 0) & \swarrow (0, \iota') \\ & E \oplus_{V_0}^{V_1} F & \\ \downarrow & \swarrow \sigma \circ \text{pr} & \searrow \rho' \circ \text{pr} \\ W_0 & & U_0 \end{array}$$

Here $E \oplus_{V_0}^{V_1} F$ is, by definition, the fiber product of E and F over V_0 modulo the diagonal image of V_1 via (ι, κ') . The bracket on it is defined component-wise.

Proposition 5.1. *With butterflies as morphisms, morphisms of butterflies as 2-morphisms, and composition defined as above, 2-term L_∞ -algebras form a bicategory $\mathbf{2TermL}_\infty^b$.*

For a 2-term L_∞ -algebra \mathbb{V} , the identity butterfly from \mathbb{V} to itself is defined to be

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_1 & & V_1 \\ \downarrow & \searrow \kappa & \swarrow \iota \\ & V_1 \oplus V_0 & \\ \downarrow & \swarrow \sigma & \searrow \rho \\ V_0 & & V_0 \end{array}$$

Here, the bracket on $V_1 \oplus V_0$ is defined by

$$[(k, x), (l, y)] := ([k, l] + [x, l] + [k, y], [x, y]).$$

The four structure maps of the butterfly are:

- $\kappa: V_1 \rightarrow V_1 \oplus V_0$, $\kappa(l) = (-l, \partial l)$
- $\iota: V_1 \rightarrow V_1 \oplus V_0$, $\iota(k) = (k, 0)$
- $\sigma: V_1 \oplus V_0 \rightarrow V_0$, $\sigma(k, x) = x$
- $\rho: V_1 \oplus V_0 \rightarrow V_0$, $\rho(k, x) = \partial k + x$

Proposition 5.2. *The construction of Proposition 3.4 induces a biequivalence $\mathbf{2TermL}_\infty \cong \mathbf{2TermL}_\infty^b$.*

Proof. Straightforward verification. \square

By Lemma 4.3, a butterfly $B: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ is invertible (in the bicategorical sense) if and only if its NW-SE sequence is also short exact. In this case, the inverse of B is obtained by flipping B along the vertical axis.

5.1. Composition of a butterfly with a strict morphism. Composition of butterflies takes a simpler form when one of the butterflies comes from a strict morphism. When the first morphism is strict, say

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & V_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ W_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & V_0 \end{array}$$

then the composition is

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W_1 & & & & U_1 \\ & \searrow & & \swarrow & \\ & & f_0^*(F) & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ W_0 & & & & U_0 \end{array}$$

Here, $f_0^*(F)$ stands for the pullback of the extension F along $f_0: W_0 \rightarrow V_0$. More precisely, $f_0^*(F) = W_0 \oplus_{V_0} F$ is the fiber product.

When the second morphism is strict, say

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & U_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V_0 & \xrightarrow{g_0} & U_0 \end{array}$$

then the composition is

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W_1 & & & & U_1 \\ & \searrow & & \swarrow & \\ & & g_{1,*}(E) & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ W_0 & & & & U_0 \end{array}$$

Here, $g_{1,*}(E)$ stands for the push forward of the extension E along $g_1: V_1 \rightarrow U_1$. More precisely, $g_{1,*}(E) = E \oplus_{V_1} U_1$ is the pushout.

6. WEAK MORPHISMS OF LIE 2-GROUPS AND BUTTERFLIES

There are at least three equivalent ways to define weak morphisms of Lie 2-groups. One way is to localize the 2-category of Lie 2-groups and strict morphisms with respect to equivalences, and define weak morphisms to be morphisms in this localized category (by definition, an equivalence between Lie 2-groups is a morphism which induces isomorphisms on π_0 and π_1).

The second definition is that a weak morphism of Lie 2-groups is a weak morphism (i.e., a monoidal functor) between the associated Lie group stacks.

The third definition, which is shown in [AlNo1] to be equivalent to the stack definition, makes use of butterflies and is the subject of this section. It is the butterfly definition that proves to be most suitable for the study of connected covers of Lie 2-groups and also for proving our integration result (Theorem 1.2).

6.1. A note on terminology. Lie 2-group could mean different things to different people, so some clarification in terminology is in order before we move on. One definition is that a Lie 2-group is a crossed-module $\mathbb{G} := [\partial: G_1 \rightarrow G_0]$ in the category of Lie groups. Although most known examples of Lie 2-groups are of this form, this is not the most general definition, as it is too *strict*.

Arguably, the correct definition is that a Lie 2-group is a differentiable group stacks, that is, a (weak) group object \mathcal{G} in the category of differentiable stacks. Every Lie crossed-module $[G_1 \rightarrow G_0]$ gives rise to a group stack $[G_0/G_1]$, but not every differentiable group stack is of this form. A differentiable group stack \mathcal{G} comes from a Lie crossed-module if and only if it admits an atlas $\varphi: G_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that G_0 is a Lie group and φ is a differentiable (weak) homomorphism.

Another definition of a Lie 2-group (which is presumably equivalent to the stack definition) is discussed in the Appendix of [He]. This definition is motivated by the fact that a Lie 2-group gives rise to a simplicial manifold and, conversely, a simplicial manifold with certain fibrancy properties and some conditions on its homotopy groups should come from a Lie 2-group.

In this paper we only deal with strict Lie 2-groups, i.e., Lie group stacks coming from Lie crossed-modules. Presumably our theory can be extended to arbitrary Lie 2-groups.

Throughout the text, all Lie groups are assumed to be finite dimensional unless otherwise stated.

6.2. Quick review of Lie butterflies. For more details on butterflies see [No3], especially §9.6, §10.1, and [AlNo1]. In what follows, by a homomorphism of Lie groups we mean a differentiable homomorphism.

Remark 6.1. In [No3] and [AlNo1] we use the right-action convention for crossed-modules, while in these notes, in order to be compatible with the existing literature on L_∞ -algebras, we have used the left-action convention for Lie algebra crossed-modules. Therefore, for the sake of consistency, we will adopt the left-action convention for Lie crossed-modules as well.

Let \mathbb{G} and \mathbb{H} be a Lie crossed-modules (i.e., a crossed-modules in the category of Lie groups). A **butterfly** $B: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 H_1 & & & & G_1 \\
 & \searrow^{\kappa} & & \swarrow^{\iota} & \\
 & & E & & \\
 & \swarrow_{\sigma} & & \searrow_{\rho} & \\
 H_0 & & & & G_0
 \end{array}$$

in which both diagonal sequences are complexes of Lie groups, and the NE-SW sequence is short exact. We also require that for every $x \in E$, $\alpha \in G_2$ and $\beta \in H_2$

the following equalities hold:

$$\iota(\rho(x) \cdot \alpha) = x\iota(\alpha)x^{-1}, \quad \kappa(\sigma(x) \cdot \beta) = x\kappa(\beta)x^{-1}.$$

A butterfly between Lie crossed-modules can be regarded as a Morita morphism which respects the group structures. A morphism $B \rightarrow B'$ of butterflies is, by definition, a homomorphism $E \rightarrow E'$ of Lie groups which commutes with all four structure maps of the butterflies. Note that such a morphism is necessarily an isomorphism.

Remark 6.2. For the reader interested in the topological version of the story, we remark that in the definition of a topological butterfly one needs to assume that the map $\sigma: E \rightarrow H_0$, viewed as a continuous map of topological spaces, admits local sections. This is automatic in the Lie case because σ is a submersion.

Thus, with butterflies as morphisms, Lie crossed-modules form a bicategory in which every 2-morphism is an isomorphism. We denote this bicategory by **LieXM**. The following theorem justifies why butterflies provide the right notion of morphism.

Theorem 6.3 ([AlNo1]). *The 2-category of (strict) Lie 2-groups and weak morphisms is biequivalent to the bicategory **LieXM** of Lie crossed-modules and butterflies.*

We recall ([No3], §10.1) how composition of two butterflies $C: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ and $B: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is defined. Let F and E be the Lie groups appearing in the center of these butterflies, respectively. Then, the composition $B \circ C$ is the butterfly

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_1 & & G_1 \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & F \times_{H_0}^E & \\ & \swarrow & \searrow \\ K_0 & & G_0 \end{array}$$

where $F \times_{H_0}^E$ is the fiber product $F \times E$ modulo the diagonal image of H_1 .

In the case where one of the butterflies is strict, the composition takes a simpler form similar to the discussion of §5.1. See ([No3], §10.2) for more details.

7. CONNECTED COVERS OF A LIE 2-GROUP

In this section we construct n -th connected covers $\mathbb{G}\langle n \rangle$ of a Lie crossed-module $\mathbb{G} = [G_1 \rightarrow G_0]$ for $n = 0, 1, 2$. In §8 we prove that these are functorial with respect to butterflies. Hence, in particular, they are invariant under equivalence of Lie crossed-modules (Corollary 8.7). All Lie groups are assumed to be finite dimensional unless otherwise stated.

Caveat on notation. In this section, by the i -th homotopy group $\pi_n \mathbb{G}$ of a topological crossed-module $\mathbb{G} = [\partial: G_1 \rightarrow G_0]$ we mean the i -th homotopy of the simplicial space associated to it (equivalently, the i -th homotopy of the quotient stack G_0/G_1). When $i = 0, 1$, this should not be confused with the usage of π_0 and π_1 for $\text{coker } \partial$ and $\text{ker } \partial$; the two notations agree only when G_0 and G_1 are discrete groups.

Recall that a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of topological spaces is n -connected if $\pi_i f: \pi_i X \rightarrow \pi_i Y$ is an isomorphism of $i \leq n$ and a surjection for $i = n + 1$.

Proposition 7.1. *Let $\mathbb{G} = [G_1 \rightarrow G_0]$ be a topological crossed-module and $n \geq 0$ an integer. The following are equivalent:*

- i) *The map ∂ is $(n - 1)$ -connected;*
- ii) *The quotient stack $\mathcal{G} := [G_0/G_1]$ is n -connected (in the sense of [No1], §17);*
- iii) *The classifying space of \mathcal{G} is n -connected. (We are viewing \mathcal{G} as a stack and ignoring its group structure.)*

Proof. The equivalence of (i) and (ii) follows from the homotopy fiber sequence applied to the fibration of stacks $G_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow [G_0/G_1]$. The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) follows from [No2], Theorem 10.5. \square

Definition 7.2. We say that a Lie crossed-module \mathbb{G} is **n -connected** if it satisfies the equivalent conditions of Proposition 7.1.

It follows from Proposition 7.1 that the notion of n -connected is invariant under equivalence of Lie crossed-modules.

Remark 7.3. A Lie crossed-module \mathbb{G} is 2-connected if and only if $\pi_i \partial: \pi_i G_1 \rightarrow \pi_i G_0$ is an isomorphism for $i = 0, 1$. This is because π_2 of every (finite dimensional) Lie group vanishes.

7.1. Definition of the connected covers. In this subsection we define the n -th connected cover of a Lie crossed-module for $n \leq 2$. In the next section we prove that these definitions are functorial with respect to butterflies. In particular, it follows that they are invariant under equivalence of Lie crossed-modules. The discussion of this and the next section is valid for topological crossed-modules (and also for infinite-dimensional Lie crossed-modules) as well.

The 0-th connected cover of \mathbb{G} . It is easy to see that a Lie 2-group \mathcal{G} is connected if and only if it has a presentation by a Lie crossed-module $[G_1 \rightarrow G_0]$ with G_0 connected. (Proof: choose an atlas $\varphi: G_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that φ is a homomorphism and G_0 is connected, and set $G_1 := \{1_{\mathcal{G}}\} \times_{\mathcal{G}, \varphi} G_0$.) For a given Lie crossed-module $\mathbb{G} = [G_1 \rightarrow G_0]$ its 0-th connected cover is defined to be

$$\mathbb{G}\langle 0 \rangle := [\partial^{-1}(G_0^o) \rightarrow G_0^o],$$

where G^o stands for the connected component of the identity. The crossed-module $\mathbb{G}\langle 0 \rangle$ should be thought of as the connected component of the identity of \mathbb{G} . There is an obvious strict morphism $q_0: \mathbb{G}\langle 0 \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ which induces isomorphisms on π_i for $i \geq 1$ (see Proposition 7.5).

The 1-st connected cover of \mathbb{G} . A Lie 2-group \mathcal{G} is 1-connected if and only if it has a presentation by a Lie crossed-module $[G_1 \rightarrow G_0]$ with G_0 1-connected and G_1 connected. (Proof: choose an atlas $\varphi: G_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that φ is a homomorphism and G_0 is 1-connected, and set $G_1 := \{1_{\mathcal{G}}\} \times_{\mathcal{G}, \varphi} G_0$.) For a given Lie crossed-module $\mathbb{G} = [G_1 \rightarrow G_0]$ its 1-st connected cover is defined to be

$$\mathbb{G}\langle 1 \rangle := [L^o \rightarrow \widetilde{G}_0^o],$$

where $L := G_1 \times_{G_0} \widetilde{G}_0^o$ and $\widetilde{}$ stands for universal cover. There is an obvious strict morphism $q_1: \mathbb{G}\langle 1 \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ which factors through q_0 and induces isomorphisms on π_i for $i \geq 2$ (see Proposition 7.5).

The 2-nd connected cover of \mathbb{G} . A Lie 2-group \mathcal{G} is 2-connected if and only if it has a presentation by a Lie crossed-module $[G_1 \rightarrow G_0]$ with G_0 and G_1 both 1-connected. (Proof: choose an atlas $\varphi: G_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that φ is a homomorphism and G_0 is 1-connected, and set $G_1 := \{1_{\mathcal{G}}\} \times_{\mathcal{G}, \varphi} G_0$.) For a given Lie crossed-module $\mathbb{G} = [G_1 \rightarrow G_0]$ its 2-nd connected cover is defined to be

$$\mathbb{G}\langle 2 \rangle := [\widetilde{L}^o \rightarrow \widetilde{G}_0^o],$$

where L is as in the previous part. There is an obvious strict morphism $q_2: \mathbb{G}\langle 2 \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ which factors through q_1 and induces isomorphisms on π_i for $i \geq 3$ (see Proposition 7.5).

Remark 7.4. Note that a 1-connected Lie group is automatically 2-connected. The same is not true for Lie 2-groups.

7.2. Uniform definition of the n -connected covers. In order to be avoid repetition in the constructions and arguments given in the next section, we phrase the definition of $\mathbb{G}\langle n \rangle$ in a uniform manner for $n = 0, 1, 2$, and single out the main properties of the connected covers $q_n: G\langle n \rangle \rightarrow G$ which will be needed in the next section.² Our discussion will be valid for topological crossed-modules (and also for infinite-dimensional Lie crossed-modules) as well.

First off, we need functorial n -connected covers $q_n: G\langle n \rangle \rightarrow G$ for $n = 0, 1, 2$.³ We set $G\langle -1 \rangle = G$. We take $G\langle 0 \rangle := G^o$ and $G\langle 1 \rangle = G\langle 2 \rangle = \widetilde{G}^o$, where G^o means connected component of the identity. (In the case where G is a topological group, or an infinite dimensional Lie group, one has to make a different choice for $G\langle 2 \rangle$; see Remark 7.6.)

For a crossed-module $\mathbb{G} = [G_1 \rightarrow G_0]$ we define $\mathbb{G}\langle n \rangle$ to be

$$\mathbb{G}\langle n \rangle := [\partial: L\langle n-1 \rangle \rightarrow G_0\langle n \rangle],$$

where $L := G_1 \times_{G_0, q_n} G_0\langle n \rangle$, and $\partial = \text{pr}_2 \circ q_{n-1}$. The action of $G_0\langle n \rangle$ on $L\langle n-1 \rangle$ is defined as follows. There is an action of $G_0\langle n \rangle$ on L defined componentwise (on the first component it is obtained, via q_n , from the action of G_0 on G_1 and on the second component it is given by right conjugation). By functoriality of the n -th connected cover construction (applied to L), this action lifts to $L\langle n-1 \rangle$. For $\mathbb{G}\langle n \rangle$ to be a crossed-module, we use the following property:

- (★0) For every $x \in G\langle n-1 \rangle$, the action of $q_{n-1}(x) \in G$ on $G\langle n-1 \rangle$ obtained (by functoriality) from the conjugation action of $q_{n-1}(x)$ on G is equal to conjugation by x .

There is a strict morphism of crossed-modules $q_n: \mathbb{G}\langle n \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ defined by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L\langle n-1 \rangle & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1 \circ q_{n-1}} & G_1 \\ \partial \downarrow & & \downarrow \partial \\ G_0\langle n \rangle & \xrightarrow{q_n} & G_0 \end{array}$$

²Apart from improving the clarity of proofs in the next section, there is another purpose for singling out properties of connected covers in the form of axioms ★: in contexts other than Lie crossed-modules, it may be possible to arrange for the axioms ★ for, say, other values of n , or by using different constructions for $G\langle n \rangle$. In such cases, our proofs apply verbatim.

³This, in fact, can be arranged for any n in the category of topological groups.

We will also need the following property:

- (★1) The map $q_{n-1}: G\langle n-1 \rangle \rightarrow G$ admits local sections near every point in its image (hence is a fibration with open-closed image).

Proposition 7.5. *For $i \leq n$, we have $\pi_i(\mathbb{G}\langle n \rangle) = \{0\}$. For $i \geq n+1$ the morphism $q_n: \mathbb{G}\langle n \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ induces isomorphisms $\pi_i(q_n): \pi_i(\mathbb{G}\langle n \rangle) \rightarrow \pi_i(\mathbb{G})$.*

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L\langle n-1 \rangle & \xrightarrow{\partial} & G_0\langle n \rangle & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}\langle n \rangle \\ \text{pr}_1 \circ q_{n-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow q_n & & \downarrow q_n \\ G_1 & \xrightarrow{\partial} & G_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G} \end{array}$$

Both rows are fibrations of crossed-modules (so, induce fibrations on the classifying spaces). The first claim follows by applying the fiber homotopy exact sequence to the first row. For the second claim use the fact that $L \rightarrow G_1$ is a fibration (because of ★1) with the same fiber as $q_n: G_0\langle n \rangle \rightarrow G_0$, and apply the fiber homotopy exact sequence to the two rows of the above diagram (together with Five Lemma). \square

Remark 7.6. In the definition of $\mathbb{G}\langle n \rangle = [L\langle n-1 \rangle \rightarrow G_0\langle n \rangle]$, the fact that $G_0\langle n \rangle$ is an n -connected cover of G_0 is not really needed. All we need is to have a functorial replacement $q: G' \rightarrow G$ such that q is a fibration and $\pi_i G'$ is trivial for $i \leq n$. For instance, we could take G' to be the group $\text{Path}_1(G)$ of paths originating at 1. (In the finite dimensional Lie context, however, this would not be a suitable choice as $\text{Path}_1(G)$ is infinite dimensional. That is why we chose $G\langle 2 \rangle := \widetilde{G}^o$ instead.)

8. FUNCTORIAL PROPERTIES OF CONNECTED COVERS

For $n \leq 2$ we prove that our definition of the n -th connected cover $\mathbb{G}\langle n \rangle$ of a Lie crossed-modules is functorial in Lie butterflies and satisfies the expected adjunction property (Proposition 8.8). We will need the following property of the connected covers:

- (★2) For any homomorphism $f: H \rightarrow G$, such that $\pi_i f: \pi_i H \rightarrow \pi_i G$ is an isomorphism for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H\langle n-1 \rangle & \xrightarrow{f\langle n-1 \rangle} & G\langle n-1 \rangle \\ q_{n-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow q_{n-1} \\ H & \xrightarrow{f} & G \end{array}$$

is cartesian.

8.1. Construction of the n -th connected cover functor. Consider the Lie butterfly $B: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_1 & & & & G_1 \\ & \searrow \kappa & & \swarrow \iota & \\ & & E & & \\ & \swarrow \sigma & & \searrow \rho & \\ H_0 & & & & G_0 \end{array}$$

The butterfly $B\langle n \rangle: \mathbb{H}\langle n \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{G}\langle n \rangle$ is defined to be the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 L_H\langle n-1 \rangle & & & & L_G\langle n-1 \rangle \\
 \downarrow & \searrow^{\kappa_n} & & \swarrow_{\iota_n} & \downarrow \\
 & & F\langle n-1 \rangle & & \\
 & \swarrow_{\sigma_n} & & \searrow_{\rho_n} & \\
 H_0\langle n \rangle & & & & G_0\langle n \rangle
 \end{array}$$

Let us explain what the terms appearing in this diagram are. The groups L_G and L_H are what we called L in the definition of the n -connected cover (see § 7.2). For example, $L_H = H_1 \times_{H_0} H_0\langle n \rangle$. The Lie group F appearing in the center of the butterfly is defined to be

$$F := H_0\langle n \rangle \times_{H_0} E \times_{G_0} G_0\langle n \rangle.$$

The maps ρ_n and σ_n are obtained by composing $q_{n-1}: F\langle n-1 \rangle \rightarrow F$ with the corresponding projections. The map κ_n is obtained by applying the functoriality of $(-)\langle n-1 \rangle$ to $(\text{pr}_2, \kappa \circ \text{pr}_1, 1): L_H \rightarrow F$. Definition of ι_n is less trivial and is given in the next paragraphs. We need to show that the kernel of $\sigma_n: F\langle n-1 \rangle \rightarrow H_0\langle n \rangle$ is naturally isomorphic to $L_G\langle n-1 \rangle$.

There is an equivalent way of defining F which is somewhat more illuminating. Set

$$K := H_0\langle n \rangle \times_{H_0} E.$$

Let $\sigma': K \rightarrow H_0\langle n \rangle$ be the first projection map and $\rho': K \rightarrow G_0$ the second projection map composed with ρ . Then,

$$F = K \times_{\rho', G_0} G_0\langle n \rangle.$$

Now, observe that we have a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow G_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} K \xrightarrow{\sigma'} H_0\langle n \rangle \rightarrow 1.$$

Therefore, we have a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L_G & \xhookrightarrow{\beta} & F \\
 \text{pr}_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{pr}_1 \\
 G_1 & \xhookrightarrow{\alpha} & K
 \end{array}$$

and the sequence

$$1 \rightarrow L_G \xrightarrow{\beta} F \xrightarrow{\sigma' \circ \text{pr}_1} H_0\langle n \rangle \rightarrow 1$$

is short exact. (Exactness at the right end follows from (★1) and the fact that $H_0\langle n \rangle$ is connected.) A homotopy fiber sequence argument applied to this short exact sequence shows that α induces isomorphisms $\pi_i G_1 \rightarrow \pi_i K$, for $0 \leq i < n$. By (★2) we have a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L_G\langle n-1 \rangle & \xhookrightarrow{\beta\langle n-1 \rangle} & F\langle n-1 \rangle \\
 q_{n-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow q_{n-1} \\
 L_G & \xhookrightarrow{\beta} & F
 \end{array}$$

Therefore,

$$1 \rightarrow L_G \langle n-1 \rangle \xrightarrow{\beta \langle n-1 \rangle} F \langle n-1 \rangle \xrightarrow{\sigma_n} H_0 \langle n \rangle \rightarrow 1$$

is short exact, where $\sigma_n := \sigma' \circ \text{pr}_1 \circ q_{n-1}$. (Exactness at the right end follows from (★1) and the fact that $H_0 \langle n \rangle$ is connected.) Setting $\iota_n := \beta \langle n-1 \rangle$ completes the construction of our butterfly diagram. The equivariance axioms for this butterfly follow from the functoriality of the $(n-1)$ -th connected cover.

Remark 8.1. In the case where we have a strict morphism $f: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$, we can define a natural strict morphism $f \langle n \rangle: \mathbb{H} \langle n \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{G} \langle n \rangle$ componentwise. It is natural to ask whether this morphism coincides with the one we constructed above using butterflies. The answer is yes. The proof uses the the following property of connected covers:

- (★3) If G is an n -connected group acting on H , then $(\text{id}, q_{n-1}): G \times H \langle n-1 \rangle \rightarrow G \times H$ is the $(n-1)$ -connected cover of $G \times H$. That is, the map (id, q_{n-1}) is isomorphic to the q_{n-1} map of $G \times H$.

8.2. Effect on the composition of butterflies. The proof that the construction of the previous subsection respects composition of butterflies is somewhat intricate. We will only consider Lie butterflies and assume that $0 \leq n \leq 2$, but the exact same proofs apply verbatim to topological butterflies (and also to infinite dimensional Lie butterflies). We begin with a few lemmas.

Lemma 8.2. *Let $m \geq 0$ be an integer. Consider a homotopy cartesian diagram of topological spaces*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{h} & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Z & \xrightarrow{g} & W \end{array}$$

Suppose that W is $(m+1)$ -connected and Z is m -connected. Then, h induces isomorphisms $\pi_i h: \pi_i X \rightarrow \pi_i Y$ for $i \leq m$.

Proof. The connectivity assumptions on Z and T imply that the homotopy fiber of g is m -connected. Since the diagram is homotopy cartesian, the same is true for the homotopy fiber of h . A homotopy fiber exact sequence implies the claim. \square

Corollary 8.3. *Let $f: Y \rightarrow W$ and $g: Z \rightarrow W$ be homomorphisms of Lie groups and suppose that W is $(m+1)$ -connected. Suppose that either f or g is a fibration (e.g., surjective). Then, we have natural isomorphisms*

$$Z \langle m \rangle \times_W Y \langle m \rangle \cong (Z \langle m \rangle \times_W Y) \langle m \rangle \cong (Z \times_W Y \langle m \rangle) \langle m \rangle.$$

In particular, all three groups are m -connected.

Proof. We prove the first equality. Apply Lemma 8.2 to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{h} & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Z \langle m \rangle & \xrightarrow{g \circ q_m} & W \end{array}$$

where $X := Z\langle m \rangle \times_W Y$. The diagram is homotopy cartesian because either f or $g \circ q_m$ is a fibration. Now apply $(\star 2)$ to $h = \text{pr}_2: Z\langle m \rangle \times_W Y \rightarrow Y$. \square

The next lemma is the technical core of this subsection.

Lemma 8.4. *Consider the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{h} & Y \\ k \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Z & \xrightarrow{g} & W \end{array}$$

of Lie groups. Suppose that W acts on X so that $[f \circ h: X \rightarrow W]$ is a Lie crossed-module. Also, suppose that the induced action of Y on X via f makes the map h Y -equivariant (the action of Y on itself being the right conjugation). Assume the same thing for the induced action of Z on X via g . Suppose that W is $(m+1)$ -connected, f is surjective, and that k is closed injective normal with $(m+1)$ -connected cokernel. Then, the sequence

$$1 \rightarrow X\langle m \rangle \xrightarrow{(k\langle m \rangle, h\langle m \rangle)} Z\langle m \rangle \times_W Y\langle m \rangle \xrightarrow{u} (Z \times_W^X Y)\langle m \rangle \rightarrow 1$$

is short exact. Here, u is the composition $(q_m, \text{id})\langle m \rangle \circ \phi$, where $\phi: Z\langle m \rangle \times_W Y\langle m \rangle \xrightarrow{\cong} (Z\langle m \rangle \times_W Y)\langle m \rangle$ is the isomorphism of Corollary 8.3. (For the definition of $Z \times_W^X Y$ see the end of §6.). In other words, we have a natural isomorphism

$$Z\langle m \rangle \times_W^{X\langle m \rangle} Y\langle m \rangle \cong (Z \times_W^X Y)\langle m \rangle.$$

Proof. We start with the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Z \times_W Y \rightarrow Z \times_W^X Y \rightarrow 1,$$

which is essentially the definition of $Z \times_W^X Y$. From it we construct the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow X\langle m \rangle \xrightarrow{\alpha} Z\langle m \rangle \times_W Y \xrightarrow{(q_m, \text{id})} Z \times_W^X Y$$

with $\alpha: X\langle m \rangle \rightarrow Z\langle m \rangle \times_W Y$ is $(k\langle m \rangle, h \circ q_m)$. To see why this sequence is exact, we calculate the kernel of the homomorphism $(q_m, \text{id}): Z\langle m \rangle \times_W Y \rightarrow Z \times_W^X Y$:

$$X \times_{Z \times_W Y} (Z\langle m \rangle \times_W Y) \cong X \times_{Z \times_W Y} ((Z \times_W Y) \times_Z Z\langle m \rangle) \cong X \times_Z Z\langle m \rangle \cong X\langle m \rangle.$$

For the first equality we have used

$$Z\langle m \rangle \times_W Y \cong (Z \times_W Y) \times_Z Z\langle m \rangle.$$

For the last equality we have used $(\star 2)$ for $k: X \rightarrow Z$. (Note that, since $\text{coker } k$ is $(m+1)$ -connected, $k: X \rightarrow Z$ induces isomorphisms on π_i for all $i \leq m$.)

Observe that the last map in the above sequence is a fibration with (open-closed) image $I \subseteq Z \times_W^X Y$. This fibration has an m -connected kernel $X\langle m \rangle$, so, using the

homotopy fiber exact sequence, we see that it induces isomorphisms on π_i for $i \leq m$. By (★2) we get the cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Z\langle m \rangle \times_W Y)\langle m \rangle & \xrightarrow{(q_m, \text{id})\langle m \rangle} & (Z \times_W^X Y)\langle m \rangle \\ q_m \downarrow & & \downarrow q_m \\ Z\langle m \rangle \times_W Y & \xrightarrow{(q_m, \text{id})} & I \end{array}$$

(Note that $I\langle m \rangle = (Z \times_W^X Y)\langle m \rangle$ because the m -th connected cover only depends on the connected component of the identity, which is contained in I .) Precomposing the top row with the isomorphism $\phi: Z\langle m \rangle \times_W Y\langle m \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} (Z\langle m \rangle \times_W Y)\langle m \rangle$ of Corollary 8.3, and calling the composition u as in the statement of the lemma, we find the following commutative diagram in which the square on the right is cartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & X\langle m \rangle & \xrightarrow{(k\langle m \rangle, h\langle m \rangle)} & Z\langle m \rangle \times_W Y\langle m \rangle & \xrightarrow{u} & (Z \times_W^X Y)\langle m \rangle & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow (\text{id}, q_m) & & \downarrow q_m & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & X\langle m \rangle & \xrightarrow{(k\langle m \rangle, h \circ q_m)} & Z\langle m \rangle \times_W Y & \xrightarrow{(q_m, \text{id})} & I & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

Since the bottom row is short exact, so is the top row. Proof of the lemma is complete. \square

We need one more technical lemma.

Lemma 8.5. *Consider a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{h} & Y \\ k \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Z & \xrightarrow{g} & W \end{array}$$

of topological groups. Suppose that W acts on X so that $[f \circ h: X \rightarrow W]$ is a topological crossed-module. Also, suppose that the induced action of Y on X via f makes the map h Y -equivariant (the action of Y on itself being the right conjugation). Assume the same thing for the induced action of Z on X via g . Suppose that f is surjective. Let $\alpha: W' \rightarrow W$ be a homomorphism with normal image, and denote its cokernel by W_0 . Denote the pullback of the above diagram along α by adding prime superscripts. Denote the images of X and Z in W_0 by X_0 and Z_0 , respectively. (Note that X_0 is normal in W_0 .) Then, the sequence

$$1 \rightarrow Z' \times_{W'}^{X'} Y' \rightarrow Z \times_W^X Y \rightarrow Z_0/X_0 \rightarrow 1$$

is exact. In particular, if the image of W' is open in W , then $Z' \times_{W'}^{X'} Y'$ is a union of connected components of $Z \times_W^X Y$.

Proof. The proof is elementary group theory. \square

We are now ready to prove that our construction of n -connected covers is functorial, that is, it respects composition of butterflies.

Proposition 8.6. *Let $C: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ and $B: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ be Lie butterflies, and let $B \circ C: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ be their composition. Then, there is a natural isomorphism of butterflies $B\langle n \rangle \circ C\langle n \rangle \Rightarrow (B \circ C)\langle n \rangle$ which makes the assignment $\mathbb{G} \mapsto \mathbb{G}\langle n \rangle$ a bifunctor from the bicategory **LieXM** of Lie crossed-modules and butterflies to itself.*

Proof. Let C and B be given by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_1 & & H_1 & & H_1 & & G_1 \\ & \searrow \kappa & \swarrow \iota & & \searrow \kappa' & \swarrow \iota' & \\ & & E_C & & & E_B & \\ & \swarrow \sigma & \searrow \rho & & \swarrow \sigma' & \searrow \rho' & \\ K_0 & & H_0 & & H_0 & & G_0 \end{array}$$

respectively. Then, the composition $B \circ C$ is the butterfly

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_1 & & G_1 \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & & E_C \times_{H_0}^{H_1} E_B \\ & \swarrow & \searrow \\ K_0 & & G_0 \end{array}$$

Recall the notation of §8.1:

$$F_C = K_0\langle n \rangle \times_{K_0} E_C \times_{H_0} H_0\langle n \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad F_B = H_0\langle n \rangle \times_{H_0} E_B \times_{G_0} G_0\langle n \rangle.$$

The group appearing in the center of the butterfly $B\langle n \rangle \circ C\langle n \rangle$ is

$$F_C\langle n-1 \rangle \times_{H_0\langle n \rangle}^{L_H\langle n-1 \rangle} F_B\langle n-1 \rangle.$$

The group appearing in the center of the butterfly $(B \circ C)\langle n \rangle$ is

$$F_{B \circ C}\langle n-1 \rangle = (K_0\langle n \rangle \times_{K_0} (E_C \times_{H_0}^{H_1} E_B) \times_{G_0} G_0\langle n \rangle)\langle n-1 \rangle.$$

We show that there is a natural isomorphism from the former to the latter. For this, first we apply Lemma 8.4 with $m = n-1$ and

$$X = L_H, \quad Z = F_C, \quad Y = F_B, \quad \text{and} \quad W = H_0\langle n \rangle$$

to get

$$F_C\langle n-1 \rangle \times_{H_0\langle n \rangle}^{L_H\langle n-1 \rangle} F_B\langle n-1 \rangle \cong (F_C \times_{H_0\langle n \rangle}^{L_H} F_B)\langle n-1 \rangle.$$

It is now enough to construct a natural isomorphism

$$F_C \times_{H_0\langle n \rangle}^{L_H} F_B \rightarrow F_{B \circ C},$$

that is,

$$(K_0\langle n \rangle \times_{K_0} E_C \times_{H_0} H_0\langle n \rangle) \times_{H_0\langle n \rangle}^{L_H} (H_0\langle n \rangle \times_{H_0} E_B \times_{G_0} G_0\langle n \rangle)$$

$$\longrightarrow (K_0\langle n \rangle \times_{K_0} (E_C \times_{H_0}^{H_1} E_B) \times_{G_0} G_0\langle n \rangle).$$

This, however, may not be the case. More precisely, there is such a natural homomorphism, but it is not necessarily an isomorphism. It is, however, an isomorphism between the connected components of the identity elements (and that is enough for our purposes). To see this, use Lemma 8.5 with

$$\begin{aligned} X &= H_1, \quad Z = K_0\langle n \rangle \times_{K_0} E_C, \quad Y = E_B \times_{G_0} G_0\langle n \rangle, \\ W &= H_0, \quad W' = H_0\langle n \rangle, \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = q_n. \end{aligned}$$

(Recall that $L_H = H_1 \times_{H_0} H_0\langle n \rangle$.) Here we are using the fact that $\alpha = q_n: H_0\langle n \rangle \rightarrow H_0$ surjects onto the connected component of the identity element in H_0 .

We omit the verification that the isomorphism $B\langle n \rangle \circ C\langle n \rangle \Rightarrow (B \circ C)\langle n \rangle$ respects isomorphisms of butterflies and that it commutes with the associator isomorphisms in **LieXM**. \square

The proposition is valid in the topological setting as well, and the proof is identical.

Corollary 8.7. *Let $f: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ be an equivalence of Lie crossed-modules. Then the induced morphism $f\langle n \rangle: \mathbb{H}\langle n \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{G}\langle n \rangle$, $n = 0, 1, 2$, is also an equivalence of Lie crossed-modules.*

8.3. Adjunction property of connected covers. We show that n -connected covers of Lie crossed-modules satisfy the expected adjunction property, namely, that a weak morphism $f: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ from an n -connected Lie crossed-module \mathbb{H} uniquely factors through $q_n: \mathbb{G}\langle n \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ (Proposition 8.8).

As in the previous section, we will assume that $n \leq 2$. What we say remains valid for topological crossed-modules (and also for infinite dimensional Lie crossed-modules). We will use the adjunction property for groups:

- (★4) For any homomorphism $f: H \rightarrow G$ with H $(n-1)$ -connected, f factors uniquely through $q_{n-1}: H\langle n-1 \rangle \rightarrow H$.

Proposition 8.8. *Let \mathbb{G} and \mathbb{H} be Lie crossed-modules, and suppose that \mathbb{H} is n -connected (Definition 7.2). Then, the morphism $q = q_n: \mathbb{G}\langle n \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ induces an equivalence of hom-groupoids*

$$q_*: \mathbf{LieXM}(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{G}\langle n \rangle) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{LieXM}(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{G}).$$

Proof. We construct an inverse functor (quasi-inverse, to be precise) to q_* . The construction is very similar to the construction of the n -connected cover of a butterfly given in the previous subsection.

Since $\mathbb{H} = [H_1 \rightarrow H_0]$ is n -connected, we may assume that H_0 is n -connected and H_1 is $(n-1)$ -connected (this was discussed in § 7.1). Consider a butterfly B

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_1 & & G_1 \\ & \searrow^{\kappa} & \swarrow^{\iota} \\ & & E \\ & \swarrow_{\sigma} & \searrow_{\rho} \\ H_0 & & G_0 \end{array}$$

in $\mathbf{LieXM}(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{G})$. Define $F := E \times_{G_0} G_0\langle n \rangle$. Let $\tau: F \rightarrow H_0$ be $\sigma \circ \text{pr}_1$. Since τ is a (locally trivial) fibration and H_0 is connected, τ is surjective. On the other hand,

$\ker \tau$ is the inverse image of $\iota(G_1)$ under the projection $\text{pr}_1: F \rightarrow E$; this is exactly $G_1 \times_{G_0} G_0 \langle n \rangle = L_G$. That is, we have a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow L_G \xrightarrow{\beta} F \xrightarrow{\tau} H_0 \rightarrow 1.$$

It follows from (★2) applied to β that the sequence

$$1 \rightarrow L_G \langle n-1 \rangle \xrightarrow{\beta \langle n-1 \rangle} F \langle n-1 \rangle \xrightarrow{\tau \circ q_{n-1}} H_0 \rightarrow 1$$

is also short exact.

Define the butterfly B' to be

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_1 & & & & L_G \langle n-1 \rangle \\ & \searrow^{\kappa'} & & \swarrow^{\beta \langle n-1 \rangle} & \downarrow \\ & & F \langle n-1 \rangle & & \\ & \swarrow_{\tau \circ q_{n-1}} & & \searrow_{\varrho} & \downarrow \\ H_0 & & & & G_0 \langle n \rangle \end{array}$$

where $\varrho = \text{pr}_2 \circ q_{n-1}$ and κ' is obtained by the adjunction property (★4) applied to $q_{n-1}: F \langle n-1 \rangle \rightarrow F$.

It is easy to verify that $B \mapsto B'$ is an inverse to q_* . (For this, use the fact that E is the pushout of $F \langle n-1 \rangle$ along $\text{pr}_1 \circ q_{n-1}: L_G \langle n-1 \rangle \rightarrow G_1$ and apply [No3], §10.2.) \square

Corollary 8.9. *For $n = 0, 1, 2$, the inclusion of the full sub bicategory of **LieXM** consisting of n -connected Lie crossed-modules is left adjoint to the n -connected cover bifunctor $(-)\langle n \rangle: \mathbf{LieXM} \rightarrow \mathbf{LieXM}$.*

9. THE BIFUNCTOR FROM LIE CROSSED-MODULES TO 2-TERM L_∞ -ALGEBRAS

In this section we prove our main integration results for weak morphisms of 2-term L_∞ -algebras (Theorem 9.2 and Corollary 9.3). Throughout the section, we fix the base ring to be \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . All Lie groups and Lie algebras are finite dimensional (real or complex). We also have a slight change of notation: from now on **LieAlgXM** only contains *finite dimensional* Lie algebra crossed-modules.

Lemma 9.1. *Let H , K and K' be connected Lie groups. Suppose that H acts on K and K' by automorphisms and let $f: K \rightarrow K'$ be a Lie homomorphism. If the induced map $\text{Lie } f: \text{Lie } K \rightarrow \text{Lie } K'$ is H -equivariant then so is f itself.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that if two group homomorphisms induce the same map on Lie algebras then they are equal. \square

Let **LieAlgXM** be the full sub bicategory of $\mathbf{2TermL}_\infty^b$ consisting of strict 2-term L_∞ -algebras (i.e., Lie algebra crossed-modules).

Theorem 9.2. *Taking Lie algebras induces a bifunctor*

$$\text{Lie}: \mathbf{LieXM} \rightarrow \mathbf{2TermL}_\infty^b.$$

*The bifunctor Lie factors through and essentially surjects onto **LieAlgXM**. Furthermore, for $\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{G} \in \mathbf{LieXM}$, the induced functor*

$$\text{Lie}: \mathbf{LieXM}(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathbf{2TermL}_\infty^b(\text{Lie } \mathbb{H}, \text{Lie } \mathbb{G})$$

on hom-groupoids is

- i) faithful, if \mathbb{H} is connected;
- ii) fully faithful, if \mathbb{H} is 1-connected;
- iii) an equivalence, if \mathbb{H} is 2-connected.

Proof. That $\text{Lie}: \mathbf{LieXM} \rightarrow \mathbf{2TermL}_\infty^b$ is a bifunctor follows from the fact that taking Lie algebras is exact and commutes with fiber products of Lie groups.

Proof of (i). Let $\mathbb{G} = [G_1 \rightarrow G_0]$ and $\mathbb{H} = [H_1 \rightarrow H_0]$. Let $B, B': \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ be two butterflies. Since \mathbb{H} is connected, we may assume that H_0 is connected (see §7.1). Denote the NE-SW short exact sequences for B and B' by

$$0 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow E \rightarrow H_0 \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow H_0 \rightarrow 0.$$

Consider two isomorphisms $B \Rightarrow B'$, given by $\Phi, \Psi: E \rightarrow E'$, such that

$$\text{Lie } \Phi = \text{Lie } \Psi: \text{Lie } E \rightarrow \text{Lie } E'.$$

Then, Φ and Ψ are equal on the connected component E° and also on G_1 . Since H_0 is connected, E° and G_1 generate E , so Φ and Ψ are equal on the whole E .

Proof of (ii). Notation being as in the previous part, we may assume that H_0 is connected and simply-connected and H_1 is connected (see §7.1). Consider an isomorphism $\text{Lie } B \Rightarrow \text{Lie } B'$ given by $f: \text{Lie } E \rightarrow \text{Lie } E'$. We show that f integrates to $\Phi: E \rightarrow E'$.

Let $\tilde{E} \rightarrow E$ be the universal cover of E . Integrate f to a homomorphism $\tilde{\Phi}: \tilde{E} \rightarrow E'$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & \tilde{E} & & & \\
 & & \delta \nearrow & \downarrow \beta & \searrow \gamma & & \\
 & G & & E & & & \\
 & \alpha \downarrow & \tilde{\Phi} \curvearrowright & \downarrow \sigma & & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & G_1 & & H_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \searrow & \downarrow \Phi & \nearrow & & \\
 & & & E' & & &
 \end{array}$$

Here G is the kernel of $\gamma := \sigma\beta: \tilde{E} \rightarrow H_0$. Note that $G \cong G_1 \times_E \tilde{E}$. That is, G is the pullback of \tilde{E} along the map $G_1 \rightarrow E$. Since $\pi_i H_0 = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$, a fiber homotopy exact sequence argument shows that $\pi_1 G_1 \rightarrow \pi_1 E$ is an isomorphism. Hence, G is the universal cover of G_1 and, in particular, is connected.

If we apply Lie to the above diagram, we obtain a commutative diagram of Lie algebras. Therefore, since all the groups involved are connected, the original diagram of Lie groups is also commutative. Since the top left square is cartesian, δ induces an isomorphism $\delta: \ker \alpha \rightarrow \ker \beta$. Commutativity of the diagram implies then that $\tilde{\Phi}$ vanishes on $\ker \beta$. Therefore, $\tilde{\Phi}$ induces a homomorphism $\Phi: E \rightarrow E'$ which makes the diagram commute.

By looking at the corresponding Lie algebra maps, we see that if f commutes with the other two maps of the butterflies, then so does Φ . That is, Φ is indeed a morphism of butterflies from B to B' .

Proof of (iii). We may assume that H_0 and H_1 are connected and simply-connected (see §7.1). In view of the previous part, we have to show that every butterfly $B: \text{Lie } \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \text{Lie } \mathbb{G}$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{Lie } H_1 & & & & \text{Lie } G_1 \\
 & \searrow \kappa & & \swarrow \iota & \\
 & & E & & \\
 & \swarrow \sigma & & \searrow \rho & \\
 \text{Lie } H_0 & & & & \text{Lie } G_0
 \end{array}$$

integrates to a butterfly $\text{Int } B: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$. Let $\text{Int } E$ be the simply-connected Lie group whose Lie algebra is E . Let G be the kernel of $\text{Int } \sigma: \text{Int } E \rightarrow H_0$. Since $\pi_i H_0 = 0$, $i = 0, 1, 2$, an easy homotopy fiber exact sequence argument implies that G is connected and simply-connected.

We identify the Lie algebras of G and G_1 via $\iota: \text{Lie } G_1 \rightarrow E$ and regard them as equal. Since G is simply-connected and G_1 is connected, we have a natural isomorphism $\bar{\iota}: G_1 \rightarrow G/N$ for some discrete central subgroup $N \subseteq G$. We claim that N is a normal subgroup of $\text{Int } E$. To prove this, we compare the conjugation action of $\text{Int } E$ on G with the action of $\text{Int } E$ on G_1 obtained via $\text{Int } \rho: \text{Int } E \rightarrow G_0$. (The latter is the integration of the Lie algebra homomorphism $\rho: E \rightarrow \text{Lie } G_0$.) The equivariance axiom of the butterfly for the map ρ , plus the fact that $\bar{\iota}^{-1} \circ \text{pr}: G \rightarrow G_1$ induces the identity map on the Lie algebras, implies (Lemma 9.1) that $\bar{\iota}^{-1} \circ \text{pr}: G \rightarrow G_1$ is $\text{Int } E$ -equivariant. Therefore, its kernel N is invariant under the conjugation action of $\text{Int } E$. That is, $N \subseteq \text{Int } E$ is normal.

An argument similar to the one used in the previous part shows that the map $\text{Int } \rho: \text{Int } E \rightarrow G_0$ vanishes on N . More precisely, repeat the same argument with the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & \text{Int } E & & & \\
 & & \delta \nearrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \text{Int } \sigma & \\
 & & G & \xrightarrow{\text{Int } \rho} & (\text{Int } E)/N & \xrightarrow{\text{Int } \sigma} & H_0 \\
 & \alpha \downarrow & & & \downarrow \bar{\rho} & \searrow \bar{\sigma} & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & G_1 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\iota}} & & & H_0 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \partial & & & & \\
 & & & & G_0 & &
 \end{array}$$

Thus, we obtain an induced homomorphism $\bar{\rho}: (\text{Int } E)/N \rightarrow G_0$. Denote the map $(\text{Int } E)/N \rightarrow H_0$ induced from $\text{Int } \sigma$ by $\bar{\sigma}$. Collecting what we have so far, we obtain a partial butterfly diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & G_1 \\
 & \swarrow \bar{\iota} & \\
 & (\text{Int } E)/N & \\
 \swarrow \bar{\sigma} & & \searrow \bar{\rho} \\
 H_0 & & G_0
 \end{array}$$

(Observe that applying Lie to this partial butterfly gives us back the corresponding portion of the original butterfly B .) Finally, using the fact that H_1 is connected

and simply-connected, we can complete the butterfly by integrating κ to $\bar{\kappa}: H_1 \rightarrow (\text{Int } E)/N$. It is easily verified that the resulting diagram satisfies the butterfly axioms – this is the sought after butterfly $\text{Int } B: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$. The proof is complete. \square

Let $V \mapsto \text{Int } V$ denote the functor which associates to a Lie algebra V the corresponding connected simply-connected Lie group. For a crossed-module in Lie algebras $\mathbb{V} = [V_1 \rightarrow V_0]$ we denote the corresponding crossed-module in Lie groups by $\text{Int } \mathbb{V} := [\text{Int } V_1 \rightarrow \text{Int } V_0]$.

Corollary 9.3. *The bifunctor $\text{Int}: \mathbf{LieAlgXM} \rightarrow \mathbf{LieXM}$ is left adjoint to the bifunctor $\text{Lie}: \mathbf{LieXM} \rightarrow \mathbf{LieAlgXM}$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 9.2. \square

Remark 9.4. Presumably, the adjunction of Corollary 9.3 can be extended to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Int}: \mathbf{LieAlgXM} & \rightleftharpoons & \mathbf{LieXM}: \text{Lie} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Int}: \mathbf{2TermL}_\infty & \rightleftharpoons & \mathbf{Lie2Gp}: \text{Lie} \end{array}$$

Here, by $\mathbf{Lie2Gp}$ we mean the 2-category of Lie group stacks. The inclusion on the right is given by the fully faithful bifunctor

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{LieXM} \rightarrow \mathbf{Lie2Gp}, \\ [G_1 \rightarrow G_0] \mapsto [G_0/G_1]. \end{array}$$

10. APPENDIX: FUNCTORIAL n -CONNECTED COVERS FOR $n \geq 3$

Axioms $(\star 1-4)$ discussed in §7- 8 have a certain iterative property which we would like to point out in this appendix. To simplify the notation, we will replace $n - 1$ by m .

We saw in §7- 8 that, for $m \leq 1$, the standard choices for the m -connected cover functors $(-)\langle m \rangle$ on the category of topological groups automatically satisfy $(\star 1-4)$. Using this we constructed our m -connected cover bifunctor $(-)\langle m \rangle$ on the bicategory of topological (or Lie) crossed-modules for $m \leq 2$. It can be shown that these bifunctors again satisfy (a categorified version) of $(\star 1-4)$.

A magic seems to have occurred here: we managed to raise m from 1 to 2! This may sound contradictory, as we do not expect to have a functorial 2-connected cover functor $(-)\langle 2 \rangle$ on the category of topological groups which satisfies either the pullback property $(\star 2)$ or the adjunction property $(\star 4)$.

This apparent contradiction is explained by noticing that our definition of $(-)\langle 2 \rangle$ indeed yields a crossed-module, even if the input is a topological group. More precisely, for a topological group G , we get

$$G\langle 2 \rangle = [\widetilde{L}^o \rightarrow G'],$$

where $q: G' \rightarrow G$ is a choice of a 2-connected replacement for G and $L = \ker q$. (For example, take $G' = \text{Path}_1(G)$, the space of paths starting at 1; see Remark 7.6.) It is also interesting to note that, for different choices of 2-connected replacement

$q: G' \rightarrow G$, the resulting crossed-modules $G\langle 2 \rangle$ are canonically (up to a unique isomorphism of butterflies) equivalent.

The upshot of this discussion is that, 2-connected covers of topological groups seem to more naturally exist as topological crossed-modules. Another implication is that we can now iterate the process. For example, we get a functorial construction of a 3-connected cover $G\langle 3 \rangle$ of a topological group G as a 2-crossed-module, and this (essentially unique) construction enjoys a categorified version of (★1-4).

This seems to hint at the following general philosophy: for any $m \leq k + 1$, there should be a (essentially unique) definition of m -connected covers $\mathbb{G}\langle m \rangle$ for topological k -crossed-modules \mathbb{G} which enjoys a categorified version of (★1-4).

We point out that the notion of k -crossed-module exists for $k \leq 3$ (see [Con] and [ArKuUs]). The butterfly construction of the tricategory of 2-crossed-modules (and weak morphisms) is being developed in [AlNo2]. For higher values of k the simplicial approach is perhaps a better alternative, as k -crossed-modules tend to become immensely complicated as k increases.

Remark 10.1. The above discussion applies to the case where we replace topological groups with infinite dimensional Lie groups.

REFERENCES

- [AlNo1] E. Aldrovandi, B. Noohi, *Butterflies I: morphisms of 2-group stacks*, Adv. Math. **221** (2009), no. 3, 687–773.
- [AlNo2] E. Aldrovandi, B. Noohi, *Butterflies III: 2-butterflies and 2-group stacks*, in preparation.
- [ArKuUs] Z. Arvas, T. S. Kuzpinari, E. Ö. Uslu, *Three crossed modules*, arXiv:0812.4685v1 [math.CT].
- [BaCr] J. Baez, A. Crans, *Higher-dimensional algebra. VI. Lie 2-algebras*, Theory Appl. Categ. **12** (2004), 492–538.
- [BrGi] R. Brown, N. D. Gilbert, *Algebraic models of 3-types and automorphism structures for crossed modules*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **59** (1989), no. 1, 51–73.
- [Con] D. Conduché, *Modules croisés généralisés de longueur 2*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **34** (1984), no. 2-3, 155–178.
- [Ge] E. Getzler, *Lie theory for nilpotent L_∞ -algebras*, Ann. Math. **170** (1) (2009), 271–301.
- [He] A. Henriques, *Integrating L_∞ -algebras*, Compos. Math. **144** (2008), no. 4, 1017–1045.
- [No1] B. Noohi, *Foundations of topological stacks, I*, math.AG/0503247v1.
- [No2] B. Noohi, *Homotopy types of topological stacks*, arXiv:0808.3799v1 [math.AT].
- [No3] B. Noohi, *On weak maps between 2-groups*, arXiv:math/0506313v3 [math.CT].
- [Ro] D. Roytenberg, *On weak Lie 2-algebras*, In XXVI Workshop on Geometrical Methods in Physics, volume 956 of AIP Conference Proceedings, Piotr Kielanowski et al (eds). Available as arXiv:0712.3461v1 [math.QA].
- [ShZh] Y. Sheng, Ch. Zhu, *Integration of DGLA morphisms*, preprint.
- [ZaZh] M. Zambon, Ch. Zhu, *L_∞ -actions on NQ-manifolds*, preprint.