

# Large deviations for the boundary local time of doubly reflected Brownian motion<sup>☆</sup>

Martin Forde<sup>a</sup>, Rohini Kumar<sup>b</sup>, Hongzhong Zhang<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Dept. Mathematics, King's College London, London WC2R 2LS*

<sup>b</sup>*Dept. Mathematics, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI 48202.*

<sup>c</sup>*Dept. Statistics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027.*

---

## Abstract

We compute a closed-form expression for the moment generating function  $\hat{f}(x; \lambda, \alpha) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E}_x(e^{\alpha L_\tau})$ , where  $L_t$  is the local time at zero for standard Brownian motion with reflecting barriers at 0 and  $b$ , and  $\tau \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$  is independent of  $W$ . By analyzing how and where  $\hat{f}(x; \cdot, \alpha)$  blows up in  $\lambda$ , a large-time large deviation principle (LDP) for  $L_t/t$  is established using a Tauberian result and the Gärtner-Ellis Theorem.

*Keywords:* Brownian motion, Large deviation, Local time.

---

## 1. Introduction

Diffusion processes with reflecting barriers have found many applications in finance, economics, biology, queueing theory, and electrical engineering. In a financial context, we recall the currency exchange rate target-zone models in [KRU91] (see also [SVE91, BER92, DJ94], and [BAL98]), where the exchange rate is allowed the float within two barriers; asset pricing models with price caps (see [HAN99]); interest rate models with targeting by the monetary authority (e.g. [FAR03]); short rate models with reflection at zero (e.g. [GOL97, GOR04]); and stochastic volatility models (most notably the Heston and Schöbel-Zhu models). In queueing theory, diffusions with reflecting barriers arise as heavy-traffic approximations of queueing systems and reflected Brownian motions is ubiquitous in queueing models [HAR85, ABA87a, ABA87b]. More recently, reflected Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) and reflected affine processes have been studied as approximations of queueing systems with reneging or balking [WAR03a, WAR03b]. Applications of reflected OU processes in mathematical biology are discussed in [RIC87]. Doubly reflected Brownian motion also arises naturally in the solution for the optimal trading strategy in the large-time limit for an investor who is permitted to trade a safe and a risky asset under the Black-Scholes model, subject to proportional transaction costs with exponential or power utility (see [GM13] and [GGMS12] respectively).

The asymptotics in this article are obtained using a Tauberian theorem. Tauberian results typically allow us to deduce the large-time or tail behavior of a quantity of interest based on the behavior of its Laplace transform (see Feller [FEL71] or the excellent monograph of Bingham et al. [BGT87] for details or [BF08] for applications to tail asymptotics for time-changed exponential Lévy models). In this article, we compute a closed-form expression for the moment generating function (mgf)  $\hat{f}(x; \lambda, \alpha) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E}_x(e^{\alpha L_\tau})$ , where  $L_t$  is the local time at zero for standard Brownian motion with reflecting barriers at 0 and  $b$ , and  $\tau$  is an independent exponential random variable with parameter  $\lambda$ . We do this by first deriving the relevant ODE and boundary conditions for  $\hat{f}(x; \lambda, \alpha)$  using an augmented filtration and computing the optional projection, and we then solve this ODE in closed form.  $\hat{f}(x; \lambda, \alpha)$  does not appear amenable to Laplace inversion; however from an analysis of the location of the pole of  $\hat{f}(x; \cdot, \alpha)$ , we can compute the re-scaled log mgf limit  $V(\alpha) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}_x(e^{\alpha L_t})$  for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  using the Tauberian result in Proposition 4.3 in [KOR02] via the so-called Fejér kernel. From this we then establish a large deviation principle for  $L_t/t$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  using the Gärtner-Ellis Theorem from large deviations theory,

Throughout the paper, we let  $\mathbb{P}_x(\cdot) = \mathbb{P}(\cdot | X_0 = x)$  denote the law of  $X$  given its initial value at time 0 for any  $x \in [0, b]$ , and by  $\mathbb{E}_x(\cdot)$  the expectation under  $\mathbb{P}_x$ . Further, we let  $\mathbb{E} \equiv \mathbb{E}_0$ .

---

<sup>☆</sup>The authors would like to thank Chris Rogers for his initial suggestions on how to approach this problem and Paavo Salmiminen.

*Email addresses:* [martin.forde@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:martin.forde@kcl.ac.uk) (Martin Forde), [rkumar@math.wayne.edu](mailto:rkumar@math.wayne.edu) (Rohini Kumar), [hzhang@stat.columbia.edu](mailto:hzhang@stat.columbia.edu) (Hongzhong Zhang)

## 2. The modelling set up

We begin by defining the Brownian motion  $X$  with two reflecting boundaries. Let  $W_t$  be standard Brownian motion starting at 0. Then for any  $x \in [0, b]$ , there is a unique pair of non-decreasing, continuous adapted processes  $(L, U)$ , starting at 0, such that

$$X_t = x + W_t + L_t - U_t \in [0, b], \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

such that  $L$  can only increase when  $X = 0$  and  $U_t$  can only increase when  $X = b$ . Existence and uniqueness follow easily from the more general work of Lions&Sznitman[LS84] the earlier work of Skorokhod[SKO62], or a bare-hands proof can be given by successive applications of the standard one-sided reflection mapping using a sequence of stopping times (see [WIL92].)

It can be shown that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} L_t/t &= \mathbb{E}(L_{\tau^b + \tau'})/\mathbb{E}(\tau^b + \tau'), & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} U_t/t &= \mathbb{E}(U_{\tau^b + \tau'})/\mathbb{E}(\tau^b + \tau'), \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \text{Var}(L_t) &= \sigma_L^2, & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \text{Var}(U_t) &= \sigma_U^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tau^b = \inf\{t : X_t = b\}$ ,  $\tau' = \inf\{t \geq \tau^b : X_t = 0\}$  (see [WIL92]) for some non-negative constants  $\sigma_L, \sigma_U$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\tau$  denote an independent exponential random variable with parameter  $\lambda$ . Then for  $\alpha < 0$ ,*

$$\hat{f}(x) \equiv \hat{f}(x; \lambda, \alpha) := \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E}_x(e^{\alpha L_\tau}) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{E}_x(e^{\alpha L_t}) dt$$

is smooth on  $(0, b)$  and satisfies the following ODE

$$\frac{1}{2} \hat{f}_{xx} = \lambda \hat{f} - 1, \quad \hat{f}_x(0) + \alpha \hat{f}(0) = \hat{f}_x(b) = 0. \quad (1)$$

*Proof.* We first show that  $\hat{f} \in C^\infty(0, b)$ . To this end, note that for  $x \in [0, b]$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_x(e^{\alpha L_\tau}) = \mathbb{P}_x(\tau > H_0) \mathbb{E}_0(e^{\alpha L_\tau}) + \mathbb{P}_x(\tau \leq H_0)$$

where  $H_x = \inf\{t : X_t = x\}$  is the first hitting time to  $x$ . The law of  $(b - X_t; t \in [0, H_0])$  given  $X_t = x$  is the same as that of  $(|W_t|; t \in [0, H_b])$  given  $|W_0| = b - x$ . Thus by Eq. 2.0.1 on page 355 of [BS02] we have

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\tau > H_0) = \mathbb{E}_x(e^{-\lambda H_0}) = \frac{\cosh((b-x)\sqrt{2\lambda})}{\cosh(b\sqrt{2\lambda})}.$$

It follows that

$$\mathbb{E}_x(e^{\alpha L_\tau}) = \frac{\cosh((b-x)\sqrt{2\lambda})}{\cosh(b\sqrt{2\lambda})} [\mathbb{E}_0(e^{\alpha L_\tau}) - 1] + 1.$$

That is,

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{\cosh((b-x)\sqrt{2\lambda})}{\cosh(b\sqrt{2\lambda})} (\hat{f}(0) - \frac{1}{\lambda}) + \frac{1}{\lambda}, \quad \forall x \in [0, b]. \quad (2)$$

It can then be easily seen from (2) that  $\hat{f} \in C^\infty(0, b)$ .

To show that  $\hat{f}$  satisfies (1) and the boundary conditions, we construct a martingale that is adapted to the filtration generated by  $X$ . More specifically, we introduce the natural filtration  $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(X_s; s \leq t)$  and the augmented filtration  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_t = \mathcal{F}_t \vee \sigma(\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau < t\}})$ , where  $\sigma(\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau < t\}})$  is the sigma algebra generated by  $\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau < t\}}$ . Then we have a uniformly bounded, and hence uniformly integrable  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_t$ -martingale:

$$\overline{M}_t := \mathbb{E}(e^{\alpha L_\tau} | \overline{\mathcal{F}}_t) = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau < t\}} e^{\alpha L_\tau} + \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \geq t\}} e^{\alpha L_t} \mathbb{E}_{X_t}(e^{\alpha L_\tau}) = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau < t\}} e^{\alpha L_\tau} + \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \geq t\}} e^{\alpha L_t} \lambda \hat{f}(X_t).$$

We now define the optional projection of  $\overline{M}_t$ : using the fact that  $X$  and  $\tau$  are independent, we have

$$M_t = \mathbb{E}(\overline{M}_t | \mathcal{F}_t) = \lambda \int_0^t e^{\alpha L_s - \lambda s} ds + e^{\alpha L_t - \lambda t} \lambda \hat{f}(X_t).$$

Further,  $M_t$  is a  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -martingale, in that for all  $t > s$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}(M_t|\mathcal{F}_s) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(\overline{M}_t|\mathcal{F}_t)|\mathcal{F}_s) = \mathbb{E}(\overline{M}_t|\mathcal{F}_s) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(\overline{M}_t|\overline{\mathcal{F}}_s)|\mathcal{F}_s) = \mathbb{E}(\overline{M}_s|\mathcal{F}_s) = M_s.$$

Applying Itô's lemma to  $M_t$ , we have that

$$dM_t = e^{\alpha L_t - \lambda t} \left[ \lambda dt + \lambda \hat{f}(X_t)(\alpha dL_t - \lambda dt) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \hat{f}_{xx}(X_t) dt + \lambda \hat{f}_x(X_t)(dW_t + dL_t - dU_t) \right].$$

But for  $M_t$  to be a martingale, we must have

$$\frac{1}{2} \hat{f}_{xx}(x) - \lambda \hat{f}(x) + 1 = 0, \quad \hat{f}_x(0) + \alpha \hat{f}(0) = 0, \quad \hat{f}_x(b) = 0.$$

This completes the proof. □

Solving the ODE in Proposition 2.1 we obtain the following result:

**Proposition 2.2.**

$$\hat{f}(x; \lambda, \alpha) = \frac{1}{\lambda} + e^{x\sqrt{2\lambda}} A_\lambda(\alpha) + e^{-x\sqrt{2\lambda}} B_\lambda(\alpha) \quad (3)$$

for  $\lambda > 0, \alpha < 0$ , where

$$A_\lambda(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha e^{-b\sqrt{2\lambda}} / \cosh(b\sqrt{2\lambda})}{2\lambda [\alpha^*(\lambda) - \alpha]}, \quad B_\lambda(\alpha) = e^{2\sqrt{2\lambda}b} A_\lambda(\alpha), \quad \alpha^*(\lambda) = \sqrt{2\lambda} \tanh(b\sqrt{2\lambda}). \quad (4)$$

**Remark 2.3.** Observe that the expression for  $\hat{f}(x)$  involves  $\sqrt{\lambda}$ , which has a branch point at  $\lambda = 0$ . However,  $\hat{f}$  remains a continuous function across the branch cut at  $\lambda = 0$ ; thus  $\hat{f}$  is an analytic function of  $\lambda$  in some punctured disc about  $\lambda = 0$ . As  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \cdot \hat{f}(\lambda) = 0$ , we conclude that  $\lambda = 0$  is a removable singularity.

**Remark 2.4.** It can be verified that  $\alpha^*(\cdot)$  in (4) is a strictly increasing mapping from  $[0, \infty)$  onto  $[0, \infty)$ . Further, we may analytically extend  $\alpha^*(\cdot)$  to get a strictly increasing, strictly concave, smooth real-valued function that maps  $(-\frac{\pi^2}{8b^2}, \infty)$  onto  $\mathbb{R}$ .

### 3. Large-time asymptotics

In this section, we characterize the large-time behaviour of  $L_t$ . To this end, let us consider the inverse of  $\alpha^*$ ,  $V(\alpha) := (\alpha^*)^{-1}(\alpha)$  for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . From Remark 2.4, we know that  $V$  is a strictly increasing, strictly convex smooth function, with range  $(-\frac{\pi^2}{8b^2}, \infty)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** The equality (3) also holds for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\Re(\lambda) > V(\alpha)$ .

*Proof.* See Appendix A. □

**Proposition 3.2.** We have the following large-time behaviour for the moment generating function of  $L_t$ :

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}_x(e^{\alpha L_t}) = V(\alpha) < \infty \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

*Proof.* See Appendix B. □

**Remark 3.3.** Note that  $V(\cdot)$  does not depend on the starting value  $x$ , due to the ergodicity of  $X$ .

The following lemma will be needed in the statement of the large deviation principle in the theorem that follows.

**Lemma 3.4.** (a) Define  $V^*(x) := \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} [\alpha x - V(\alpha)]$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . Then we have

$$V^*(x) = \begin{cases} x\alpha^*(\lambda^*) - \lambda^*, & \text{for } x > 0 \\ \pi^2/(8b^2), & \text{for } x = 0 \end{cases}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\lambda^* = \lambda^*(x)$  is the unique solution of  $(\alpha^*)'(\lambda) = 1/x$  for fixed  $x > 0$ .

(b)  $V^* \in C([0, \infty)) \cap C^1((0, \infty))$  and  $V^*$  is a strictly convex function on  $(0, \infty)$ .

(c)  $V^*$  attains its minimum value of zero uniquely at  $x^* = \frac{1}{2b}$ .

*Proof.* See Appendix C. □

**Theorem 3.5.**  $L_t/t$  satisfies a large deviation principle on  $[0, \infty)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  with a strictly convex rate function  $V^*(x)$ .

*Proof.* From Lemma 3.4, we know that  $V^*$  is a strictly convex function on  $(0, \infty)$ . Hence the set of exposed points of  $V^*$  is  $(0, \infty)$  (see Definition 2.3.3 in [DZ98]), and since  $D_V^0 = (-\infty, \infty)$ , the exposing hyperplane will always lie in  $D_V^0$ . Therefore, by the Gärtner-Ellis Theorem (see Theorem 2.3.6 in [DZ98]),  $L_t/t$  satisfies the LDP with convex rate function  $V^*(x)$ . □

## Appendix A. Proof of Lemma 3.1

Recall from Propositions 2.1 and 2.2 that, for  $\alpha < 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{E}_x(e^{\alpha L_t}) dt = \hat{f}(x; \lambda, \alpha) = \frac{1}{\lambda} + e^{x\sqrt{2\lambda}} A_\lambda(\alpha) + e^{-x\sqrt{2\lambda}} B_\lambda(\alpha) \quad (\text{B-1})$$

where  $A_\lambda(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha e^{-b\sqrt{2\lambda}} / \cosh(b\sqrt{2\lambda})}{2\lambda[\alpha^*(\lambda) - \alpha]}$  and  $B_\lambda(\alpha) = e^{2\sqrt{2\lambda}b} A_\lambda(\alpha)$ . We wish to show that (B-1) still holds for a wider range of  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$  values using analytic continuation. We first note that  $\hat{f}$  has a singularity when  $\alpha = \alpha^*(\lambda)$ , and by Theorems 5a and 5b on page 57 in [WID46], we know that the abscissa of convergence for a Laplace transform is a point of singularity and the Laplace transform is analytic in its region of convergence.

We are interested in the values of  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that the Laplace transform  $\hat{f}(x; \lambda, \alpha) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{E}_x(e^{\alpha L_t}) dt$  is finite. We recall the following fact: for any fixed  $x \in [0, b]$ ,

(†)  $\hat{f}(x; \lambda, \alpha) < \infty$  for  $\alpha < 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$ .

We now proceed in three stages:

- Fix  $\lambda > 0$  (so  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ). We apply the Widder results with  $\alpha$  as the Laplace variable, i.e. we consider

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\alpha L_\tau}) = \int_0^\infty e^{\alpha y} dF(y),$$

where  $F(y)$  is the distribution function of  $L_\tau$ . By (†), the region of convergence is non-empty. We can then extend the region of convergence up to  $\alpha^*(\lambda) > 0$ , as  $\alpha^*(\lambda)$  is the point of singularity.

- Fix  $\alpha < 0$ . We apply the Widder results again, but we now take  $\lambda$  as the Laplace variable. By (†), the region of convergence is non-empty. According to Widder, the abscissa of convergence (say  $\lambda_c$ ) is a point of singularity and  $\hat{f}(x; \lambda, \alpha)$  is analytic in  $\lambda$  when  $\Re(\lambda) > \lambda_c$ . So we are looking at a point of singularity on the real line, and this is the value of  $\lambda_c$  that satisfies  $\alpha^*(\lambda_c) = \alpha$ . Or, in other words,  $\lambda_c = (\alpha^*)^{-1}(\alpha) = V(\alpha)$ . Thus, by Widder,  $\hat{f}(x; \lambda, \alpha)$  is finite when  $\Re(\lambda) > V(\alpha)$ .
- Fix  $\alpha > 0$ . We apply Widder's theorem using  $\lambda$  as the Laplace variable. By the first bullet point, we know that there exists some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  (such that  $\alpha < \alpha^*(\lambda)$ ), for which  $\hat{f}(x; \lambda, \alpha)$  is finite. Hence, the region of convergence of  $\hat{f}(x; \lambda, \alpha)$  is non-empty for this  $\alpha$ . Then, by Widder, the abscissa of convergence  $\lambda_c$  is a point of singularity and  $\hat{f}(x; \lambda, \alpha)$  is analytic for  $\Re(\lambda) > \lambda_c$ . The singularity is at  $\alpha = \alpha^*(\lambda)$ . Solving for points of singularity on the real line i.e. solving for  $\lambda_c$  in  $\alpha = \alpha^*(\lambda_c)$ , gives us  $\lambda_c = V(\alpha)$  and so  $\hat{f}(x; \lambda, \alpha)$  converges when  $\Re(\lambda) > \lambda_c = V(\alpha)$ .

This gives the region of  $\lambda$  and  $\alpha$  for which  $\hat{f}(x; \lambda, \alpha)$  converges: for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\Re(\lambda) > V(\alpha)$ , and  $\hat{f}(x; \lambda, \alpha)$  is analytic in this region.

## Appendix B. Proof of Proposition 3.2

From the known large-time behaviour of the local time of standard Brownian motion, we expect that  $\mathbb{E}_x(e^{\alpha L_t}) \sim \text{const.} \times e^{U(\alpha)t}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , for some non-decreasing function  $U(\alpha)$  to be determined. Then as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\hat{f}(x; \lambda, \alpha) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{E}_x(e^{\alpha L_t}) dt \sim \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \text{const.} \times e^{U(\alpha)t} dt, \quad (\text{C-1})$$

and  $\hat{f}(x; \lambda, \alpha)$  blows up when  $\lambda = U(\alpha)$  (for  $\alpha$  fixed). But we know that  $\hat{f}(x; \lambda, \alpha)$  blows up at  $\alpha = \alpha^*(\lambda)$ ; thus we expect that  $\lambda = U(\alpha^*(\lambda))$ , i.e.  $U(\alpha) = (\alpha^*)^{-1}(\alpha) = V(\alpha)$ . We now make this statement rigorous using a variant of Ikehara's Tauberian Theorem (see e.g. Theorem 17 on page 233 in Widder[WID46]).

We first define a positive function  $v$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ :

$$v(t) \equiv v(t; x, \alpha) := \mathbf{1}_{t \geq 0} e^{-V(\alpha)t} \mathbb{E}_x(e^{\alpha L_t}).$$

Then the Laplace transform of  $v$  is given by

$$\hat{v}(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} v(t) dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-(\lambda + V(\alpha))t} \mathbb{E}_x(e^{\alpha L_t}) dt = \hat{f}(x; \lambda + V(\alpha), \alpha),$$

which, by Lemma 3.1 is analytic for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\Re(\lambda) > 0$ . We now need to characterize how  $\hat{v}(\lambda)$  blows up as  $\Re(\lambda) \downarrow 0$ . To this end, looking at the expression for  $A_\lambda(\alpha)$ , we notice that  $A_\lambda(\alpha)$  has a pole at  $\lambda = V(\alpha) \in (-\frac{\pi^2}{8b^2}, \infty)$ , and is analytic elsewhere for  $\Re(\lambda) > -\frac{\pi^2}{8b^2}$  (see Remarks 2.3 and 2.4). It is also easily seen that,  $\alpha^*(\lambda) > 0$  for all  $\lambda \in (-\frac{\pi^2}{8b^2}, \infty)$ . Hence, by the Laurent expansion of  $\hat{v}(\lambda)$  at 0, there exists a function  $g(\lambda)$ , which is analytic for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re(\lambda) > -\varepsilon$  and  $|\Im(\lambda)| \leq c$  for some constants  $\varepsilon, c > 0$ , such that

$$\hat{v}(\lambda) = \frac{C}{\lambda} + g(\lambda)$$

for some constant  $C$  which we find to be positive ( $C$  is the residue of  $\hat{v}$  at  $\lambda = 0$ ).  $g(x + iy)$  is continuous on  $\mathcal{D} := \{(x, y) : |x| \leq \varepsilon, |y| \leq c\}$ , thus  $g(x + iy)$  is uniformly continuous on  $\mathcal{D}$ , so  $g(x + iy) \rightarrow g(iy)$  uniformly as  $x \downarrow 0$  for any fixed  $y \in [-c, c]$ . Moreover, for any  $x > 0$

$$\int_{-c}^c |\hat{v}(x + iy) - \frac{C}{x + iy} - g(iy)| dy = \int_{-c}^c |g(x + iy) - g(iy)| dy$$

Since  $g$  is analytic everywhere and uniformly continuous, if we take the limit as  $x \rightarrow 0$ , the above integral converges to 0, so the function  $g(x + i\cdot)$  also converges to  $g(i\cdot)$  in  $L^1([-c, c])$ , as  $x \downarrow 0$ .

We can now apply Proposition 4.3 in [KOR02] to obtain that for the ‘‘Fejér kernel’’  $K(t) = \frac{1 - \cos t}{\pi t^2}$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{ct} v(t - \frac{s}{c}) \cdot K(s) ds = C. \quad (\text{C-2})$$

We now proceed as in the proof of Theorem 4.2 in [KOR02] to show that  $v(t) = O(1)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

1.  $\alpha > 0$ . In this case we know that  $\mathbb{E}_x(e^{\alpha L_t})$  is non-decreasing, so  $v(t) \geq v(s)e^{V(\alpha)(s-t)}$  for all  $t \geq s \geq 0$ . For any fixed  $a > 0$ , using (C-2) we have that

$$C = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{ct} v(t - \frac{s}{c}) \cdot K(s) ds \geq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-a}^a v(t - \frac{s}{c}) \cdot K(s) ds \geq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t - \frac{a}{c}) e^{-2V(\alpha)\frac{a}{c}} \int_{-a}^a K(s) ds,$$

which implies that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t) \leq \frac{e^{2V(\alpha)\frac{a}{c}}}{\int_{-a}^a K(s) ds} C < \infty.$$

Hence, there exists a constant  $M > 0$  such that  $v(t) \leq M$  for all  $t$ . Similarly, for any fixed  $a > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t + \frac{a}{c}) e^{2V(\alpha)\frac{a}{c}} \int_{-a}^a K(s) ds &\geq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-a}^a v(t - \frac{s}{c}) K(s) ds \\ &= \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{ct} + \int_{ct}^\infty - \int_{-\infty}^{-a} - \int_a^\infty \right) v(t - \frac{s}{c}) K(s) ds \geq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{ct} + \int_{ct}^\infty \right) v(t - \frac{s}{c}) K(s) \\ &\quad - \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{-a} v(t - \frac{s}{c}) K(s) - \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^\infty v(t - \frac{s}{c}) K(s) \geq C - \frac{4M}{\pi} \int_a^\infty \frac{1}{s^2} ds = C - \frac{4M}{\pi a}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (C-2) and the fact that  $0 \leq K(t) \leq \frac{2}{\pi t^2}$  in the last inequality. Hence, for  $a > 0$  sufficiently large, we have

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t) \geq \frac{e^{-2V(\alpha)\frac{a}{c}}}{\int_{-a}^a K(s) ds} (C - 4M/\pi a) > 0.$$

2.  $\alpha < 0$ . In this case we know that  $\mathbb{E}_x(e^{\alpha L_t})$  is non-increasing, so  $v(t) \leq v(s)e^{V(\alpha)(s-t)}$  for all  $t \geq s \geq 0$ . Using the same argument as above, we have, for any fixed  $a > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} C e^{2V(\alpha)\frac{a}{c}} &\geq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t + \frac{a}{c}) \int_{-a}^a K(s) ds, \\ (C - \frac{4M}{\pi a}) e^{-2V(\alpha)\frac{a}{c}} &\leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t - \frac{a}{c}) \int_{-a}^a K(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for  $a > 0$  sufficiently large, we have

$$0 \leq \frac{e^{-2V(\alpha)\frac{a}{c}}}{\int_{-a}^a K(s) ds} (C - 4M/\pi a) \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t) \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t) \leq \frac{e^{2V(\alpha)\frac{a}{c}}}{\int_{-a}^a K(s) ds} C < \infty.$$

Hence, by Proposition 4.3 in [KOR02], the result follows.

### Appendix C. Proof of Lemma 3.4

We break the proof into three parts:

- (a) Computing the Legendre transform of  $V$  boils down to solving  $V'(\alpha) = x$ . But this is the same as solving  $(V^{-1})'(\lambda) = \frac{1}{x}$  for  $\lambda$ , when  $x > 0$ . Recall that  $V^{-1}(\cdot) = \alpha^*(\cdot)$  is known in closed form. Since  $(\alpha^*)''(\lambda) < 0$  for all  $\lambda$  in the domain of  $\alpha^*$ , i.e.  $\lambda > -\frac{\pi^2}{8b^2}$  (from Remark 2.4), by the Inverse function theorem,  $\lambda^*(x) := ((\alpha^*)')^{-1}(1/x)$  is well-defined and  $\lambda^* \in C^1((0, \infty))$ . Using the fact that  $\alpha^*(\lambda^*) = V^{-1}(\lambda^*)$ , we have

$$V^*(x) = x\alpha^* - V(\alpha^*) = x\alpha^*(\lambda^*(x)) - \lambda^*(x).$$

When  $x = 0$ , the definition of  $V^*$  in Lemma 3.4 gives us  $V^*(0) = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \{-V(\alpha)\} = -\inf_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \{V(\alpha)\} = -\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow -\infty} V(\alpha) = \pi^2/(8b^2)$ , where the last two equalities hold because  $V$  is a monotonically increasing function with range  $(-\pi^2/(8b^2), \infty)$ .

- (b) By the Inverse function theorem, we know that  $\lambda^* \in C^1((0, \infty))$  and so is  $\alpha^*$ , thus  $V^* \in C^1((0, \infty))$ . It is easy to check that  $\lim_{x \downarrow 0} \{x\alpha^*(\lambda^*(x)) - \lambda^*(x)\} = \pi^2/(8b^2) = V^*(0)$ , which gives continuity of  $V^*$  up to the boundary  $x = 0$ . Using (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (V^*)'(x) &= \alpha^*(\lambda^*(x)) + x \cdot (\alpha^*)'(\lambda^*(x)) \cdot (\lambda^*)'(x) - (\lambda^*)'(x) \\ &= \alpha^*(\lambda^*(x)) + x \cdot \frac{1}{x} \cdot (\lambda^*)'(x) - (\lambda^*)'(x) = \alpha^*(\lambda^*(x)) \end{aligned}$$

$(V^*)'(x) = \alpha^*(\lambda^*(x))$ . Thus we have (using again  $(\alpha^*)'' < 0$ )

$$(V^*)''(x) = (\alpha^*)'(\lambda^*(x)) \cdot (\lambda^*)'(x) = \frac{1}{x} \cdot ((\alpha^*)')^{-1}'(\frac{1}{x}) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{x^2}\right) = -\frac{1}{x^3} \cdot \frac{1}{(\alpha^*)''(\lambda^*(x))} > 0.$$

- (c) Since  $V^*$  is strictly convex, it has a unique minimum. The unique minimum of  $V^*$  occurs at  $x^* = ((V^*)')^{-1}(0) = V'(0) = 1/\alpha^{*'}(0) = \frac{1}{2b}$

### References

- [ABA87a] Abate, J., Whitt, W., “Transient behavior of regulated brownian motion, I: starting at the origin”, *Advances in Applied Probability*, 19, 560-598, 1987.
- [ABA87b] Abate, J., Whitt, W., “Transient behavior of regulated brownian motion, II: non-zero initial conditions”, *Advances in Applied Probability*, 19, 599-631, 1987.
- [BAL98] Ball, C., Roma, A., “Detecting mean reversion within reflecting barriers: application to the european exchange rate mechanism.”, *Applied Mathematical Finance*, 5(1), 1-15, 1998.
- [BW92] Berger, W. and Whitt W., “The Brownian approximation of rate-control throttles and the G/G/1/C queue”, *Dynamic Discrete Event Systems: Theory and Applications*, 2, 7-60, 1992.
- [BER92] Bertola, G., Caballero, R., “Target zones and realignments”, *The American Economic Review*, 520-536, 1992.

- [BF08] Benaim, S. and P.Friz, "Smile Asymptotics II: Models with Known MGF", *J. Appl. Probab.*, Volume 45, Number 1 (2008), 16-32.
- [BGT87] Bingham, N.H., Goldie, C.M., Teugels, J.L., "Regular Variation", CUP 1987.
- [BS02] Borodin, A.N. and P.Salminen, "Handbook of Brownian Motion - Facts and Formulae", Birkhauser, 2002.
- [DJ94] De Jong, F., "A univariate analysis of EMS exchange rates using a target zone model", *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 9(1),31-45, 1994.
- [DZ98] Dembo, A. and O.Zeitouni, "Large deviations techniques and applications", Jones and Bartlet publishers, Boston, 1998.
- [FAR03] Farnsworth, H. and Bass, R., "The term structure with semi-credible targeting.", *The Journal of Finance*, 58(2),839-866, 2003.
- [FEL71] Feller, W., "An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications: Vol. 2", John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1971.
- [GGMS12] Gerhold, S., Guasoni, P., Muhle-Karbe, J., Schachermayer, W., "Transaction costs, trading volume, and the liquidity premium", to appear in *Finance and Stochastics*.
- [GOL97] Goldstein R., Keirstead, W., "On the term structure of interest rates in the presence of reflecting and absorbing boundaries.", *Fisher College of Business, The Ohio State University*, 1-36, 1997.
- [GOR04] Gorovoi, V. and Linetsky, V., "Black's model of interest rates as options, eigenfunction expansions and Japanese interest rates" *Mathematical finance*, 14(1),49-78, 2004.
- [GM13] Guasoni, P. and Muhle-Karbe, J., "Long Horizons, High Risk Aversion, and Endogeneous Spreads", to appear in *Mathematical Finance*.
- [HAN99] Hanson, S.D., Myers, R.J. and Hilker, J.H., "Hedging with futures and options under a truncated cash price distribution." *Journal of Agricultural and Applied Economics*, 31(3),449-460, 1999.
- [HAR85] Harrison, M., "Brownian motion and stochastic flow systems." *Wiley New York*, 1985.
- [KRU91] Krugman, P.R., "Target zones and exchange rate dynamics." *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 106(3), 669-682, 1991.
- [KOR02] Korevaar, J., "A century of complex Tauberian theory", *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 39, 2002, pp. 475-531.
- [LS84] Lions, P.L. and Sznitman, A.S., "Stochastic differential equations with reflecting boundary conditions", *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 37, 511-537, 1984.
- [RIC87] Ricciardi, L.M. and Sacerdote, L., "On the probability densities of an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with a reflecting boundary.", *Journal of Applied Probability*, 355-369, 1987.
- [SKO62] Skorohod, A.V., "Stochastic equations for diffusion processes with boundaries." II. Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen., 7:5, 25, 1962.
- [SVE91] Svensson, L.E.O., "The term structure of interest rate differentials in a target zone. Theory and Swedish data." *Journal Monetary Economics*, 28,87-116, 1991.
- [WAR03a] Ward, A. and Glynn, P.W., "A diffusion approximation for a Markovian queue with reneging." *Queueing Systems*, 43(1-2), 103-128, 2003.
- [WAR03b] Ward, A. and Glynn, P.W., "Properties of the reflected Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process." *Queueing Systems*, 44(2), 109-123, 2003.
- [WID46] Widder, D.V., "The Laplace Transform", Dover Publication, 1946.
- [WIL92] Williams, R.J., "Asymptotic Variance Parameters for the Boundary Local Times of Reflected Brownian Motion on a Compact Interval", *Journal of Applied Probability*, 29(4), 996-1002, 1992.