



Conformal field theory and Schramm-Loewner evolution

Benjamin Doyon

Rudolf Peierls Centre for Theoretical Physics,

Oxford University, UK

EPSRC postdoctoral fellow

Milano, March 2007

Two possible approaches to relating CFT and SLE (or more generally, CFT and conformally invariant random processes in the continuum):

- Getting information about SLE from CFT [Bauer, Bernard (2002,...)]
- Constructing CFT from continuum random processes [Cardy, D., Riva (2006, ...)]

I will concentrate on the second.

Conformal field theory

A physical theory to describe the **scaling limit** of 2-dimensional statistical models at a **second order phase transition** (criticality).

Statistical models:

$$Z = \sum_{\{\sigma_i\}} e^{-\sum_{(i,j)} H(\sigma_i,\sigma_j)/T} , \langle \langle \sigma_k \sigma_l \rangle \rangle = Z^{-1} \sum_{\{\sigma_i\}} \sigma_k \sigma_l e^{-\sum_{(i,j)} H(\sigma_i,\sigma_j)/T}$$

For certain choices of H and T, the system is **critical**:

$$\langle \langle \sigma_{x/\varepsilon} \sigma_{y/\varepsilon} \rangle \rangle \stackrel{\varepsilon \to 0}{\sim} \varepsilon^{2d} C(x,y)$$

The coefficient C(x, y) is a correlation function in a CFT

$$C(x,y) = \langle \mathcal{O}(x)\mathcal{O}(y) \rangle$$

The basic ingredients of CFT are

- Local fields $\mathcal{O}(x) \Leftrightarrow$ local variables of a statistical model $\sigma_i, \sigma_i^2, \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}, \ldots$
- correlation functions $\langle \cdot \rangle \Leftrightarrow$ averages of products of local variables $\langle \langle \cdot \rangle \rangle$

CFT possesses global conformal invariance

- Conformal group: group of transformations of 2-d domains, $D \to D'$, that preserve the angles everywhere \Rightarrow holomorphic/anti-holomorphic maps $f(z), f(\bar{z})$
- Symmetries: transformations preserve the domain D on which the system is defined
- Riemann sphere ℝ² + {∞} global conformal invariance: symmetries are translations z → z + ε, rotations, scaling z → z + εz, special conformal transformation z → z + εz²
- Conserved currents: stress-energy tensor components $T \equiv T_{zz}, \ \bar{T} \equiv T_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}$ satisfy $\partial_{\bar{z}}T = \partial_{z}\bar{T} = 0$
- Operator product expansion: non-conservation of currents at positions of local fields

$$\langle T(w)\mathcal{O}(z,\bar{z})\cdots\rangle = \left(\dots + \frac{h}{(w-z)^2} + \frac{1}{w-z}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \dots\right)\langle \mathcal{O}(z,\bar{z})\cdots\rangle$$

where h = (d+s)/2.

CFT possesses "local conformal invariance"

- With $\mathbb{R}^2 + \{\infty\} \{D_1, D_2, D_3, \ldots\}$, may consider more conformal transformations that preserve the **topology** only
- Around a point z, it may look like $w-z\mapsto w-z+\varepsilon(w-z)^n$ for $n\geq 3$
- Assuming invariance under these higher-order transformations (primary fields):

$$\langle T(w)\mathcal{O}(z,\bar{z})\cdots\rangle = \left(\frac{h}{(w-z)^2} + \frac{1}{w-z}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \ldots\right)\langle \mathcal{O}(z,\bar{z})\cdots\rangle$$

• From explicit calculations in some models: T is not a primary field,

$$\langle T(w)T(z)\cdots\rangle = \left(\frac{c/2}{(w-z)^4} + \frac{h}{(w-z)^2} + \frac{1}{w-z}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \ldots\right)\langle T(z)\cdots\rangle$$

• Those are called **conformal Ward identities**.

The algebraic structure of CFT and additional symmetries

• Virasoro algebra:

$$T(w)\mathcal{O}(z,\bar{z}) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} (z-w)^{-n-2} (L_n\mathcal{O})(z,\bar{z})$$
$$[L_m, L_n] = (m-n)L_{m+n} + \frac{c}{12}m(m^2-1)\delta_{m+n,0}$$

- Local fields: highest weight modules for the Virasoro algebra more generally, for a vertex operator algebra – characterised by the weight h
- Correlation functions: "Clebsch-Gordon coefficients" of tensor products of VOA modules.
- Reducibility: With $h = h_{1,2} \equiv \frac{6-\kappa}{2\kappa}$ and $c = \frac{(6-\kappa)(3\kappa-8)}{2\kappa}$, there is a null-field:

$$L_{-2}\phi_{1,2} - \frac{\kappa}{4}L_{-1}^2\phi_{1,2} = 0$$

 \Rightarrow A certain transformation that is singular at the point z is a symmetry of the correlators $\langle \phi_{1,2}(z,\bar{z})\cdots \rangle$

The powerful algebraic structure gives results for correlation functions of local fields, but:

- Precise relations between statistical variables and local fields are conjectural / hard to get
- Non-local objects are not described easily
- Non-rational models are out of the range of applicability for now

Axiomatic CFT

Schramm-Loewner evolution [Schramm (1999), Lawler, Schramm, Werner (2001, ...)]

Tracing **random curves** in the upper half plane using **stochastic conformal maps**:



$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g_t(z) = \frac{2}{g_t(z) - a_t} \quad , \quad g_0(z) = z \quad , \quad a_t = \sqrt{\kappa}B_t + a_0$$

 B_t : standard Brownian motion, normalised by $E[B_t^2] = t$.

Defining random curves on any simply connected domain D through conformal transport $f:\mathbb{H}\to D$

 $\mu_{\mathbb{H}}[\gamma] = \mu_D[f(\gamma)]$

Conformally invariant family of measures

Family of **measures on curves**

defined on any **simply connected domain** with any **starting and ending point**, with **two properties**:

• Conformal transport (with $f:\mathbb{H} \to D; \ 0 \mapsto a, \ \infty \mapsto b$)



• Domain Markov property (the curve itself is like the boundary of a domain)



Domain walls in statistical models at criticality and other non-local critical objects

• We expect that critical curves, like domain walls (walls of clusters that are connected to the boundary) in statistical models at criticality, are conformally invariant in the continuum limit \Rightarrow described by some SLE_{κ}



- Proofs: relatively little is required; proofs of conformally invariant scaling limit exist for domain wall in gaussian field, percolation, Ising model... [S. Smirnov (2001,...)]
- SLE $_{\kappa}$ gives precise description of these non-local objects in the scaling limit

Constructing CFT from SLE

- What events correspond to "known" local fields of CFT?
- What fields or Virasoro modules correspond to other events in SLE?
- What does the algebraic structure means in the probabilistic setting? What becomes of it in non-rational cases?

Constructive CFT?

The SLE equation and level-2 boundary null field



With T = dt:

$$P(z_1, \bar{z}_1, \ldots) = E[P(g_{dt}(z_1) - \sqrt{\kappa} \, dB_t, \, \bar{g}_{dt}(\bar{z}_1) - \sqrt{\kappa} \, dB_t, \, \ldots)], \quad dB_t^2 = dt$$

Equation obtained: equivalent to null-vector equation for

$$\frac{\langle \mathcal{O}(z_1, \bar{z}_1) \cdots \phi_{1,2}(0) \phi_{1,2}(\infty) \rangle}{\langle \phi_{1,2}(0) \phi_{1,2}(\infty) \rangle}$$

with

$$\mathcal{O} : d = s = 0$$
, primary, $c = \frac{(6 - \kappa)(3\kappa - 8)}{2\kappa}$, $h_{1,2} = \frac{6 - \kappa}{2\kappa}$

Holomorphic bulk fields



Solving the null-vector equation in the case of:

The curve being on the right of z_1 and on the left of z_2

with $z_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow w$ gives

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{-s} \int d\theta \, e^{-is\theta} \, P(z_1, \bar{z}_1, z_2, \bar{z}_2) \, \propto \, w^{-s} \, \propto \, \frac{\langle \mathcal{O}_s(w)\phi_{1,2}(0)\phi_{1,2}(\infty)\rangle}{\langle \phi_{1,2}(0)\phi_{1,2}(\infty)\rangle}$$

if and only if the following condition is satisfied:

$$\kappa = \frac{8}{s+1}$$

Particular cases

s = 1

 $\kappa = 4$, c = 1: spin-1 holomorphic U(1) current in the gaussian field – simple proof for one insertion [Cardy]

$$s = \frac{1}{2}$$

 $\kappa = \frac{16}{3}$, $c = \frac{1}{2}$, holomorphic fermion in the Ising model (the domain wall is in the FK representation) – proof of lattice holomorphicity for one insertion [Riva, Cardy (2006)]

s = 2

 $\kappa = \frac{8}{3}$, c = 0, holomorphic stress-energy tensor in the O(0) "loop model": a domain wall which is a self-avoiding random walk, and no loops – proof: [D., Riva, Cardy (2006), cf. Friedrich, Werner (2003)]

$$\langle T(w) \cdots \rangle \propto \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{-2} \int d\theta \, e^{-2i\theta} P\left(\underbrace{\mathfrak{e}}_{\bullet} \underbrace{$$

Why $\kappa = \frac{8}{3}$, c = 0

- SLE does not give direct information on the loops. In SLE, we measure only the energy on the domain wall, not on cluster boundaries in the bulk.
- Must have a model where no energy is in the bulk. All energy must be on the domain wall, there should be no "vacuum energy".
- Central charge must be zero, since the theory cannot "feel the boundary" of the domain where it lies.
- Should correspond to O(n) loop model at n = 0. The partition function of the O(n) loop model is

 $Z = \sum_{\text{configurations}} \mathbf{x}_c^{\text{total length}} n^{\text{number of loops}}$

and one has (from Coulomb gas arguments)

$$n = -2\cos\pi\left(\frac{4}{\kappa}\right)$$

Conformal restriction at $\kappa = rac{8}{3}$ [LSW (2003); Bauer, Friedrich (2004)]



Deriving the conformal Ward identities

• Consider the algebraic definition of the stress-energy tensor:

 $(L_{-2}\mathbf{1})(w) = T(w)$

• Interpret geometrically:

The stress-energy tensor is the result of a conformal transformation that preserves ∞ and that has a simple pole at a point w

Hence, consider the conformal transformation

$$f(z) = z + \frac{\varepsilon^2 e^{2i\theta}}{16(w-z)} + \frac{\varepsilon^2 e^{-2i\theta}}{16(\bar{w}-z)} - \frac{\varepsilon^2 e^{2i\theta}}{16w} - \frac{\varepsilon^2 e^{-2i\theta}}{16\bar{w}}$$

Then, we have



Generalisations to $c \neq 0$

We need CLE_{κ} – conformal loop ensemble [Werner, Sheffield (2007)]

Stress-energy tensor:

- The anomaly term $\frac{c/2}{(w-z)^4}$ in $\langle T(w)T(z)\rangle$ is due to loops connecting both slits
- A certain kind of "random conformal restriction" holds, but the difficulty is in the **normalisation of measures** because of the **infinitely many small loops**

Other holomorphic fields:

- For a boundary changing condition that corresponds to the action of a symmetry, one insertion of the current associated to this symmetry is supported on the corresponding domain wall
- Many insertions involve also loops connecting them \Rightarrow anomaly terms

Generalisations to other fields

$n\mbox{-}{\rm changing}$ fields in the O(n) model

- Field $\mathcal{O}_{n'}(x)$ such that loops around x are counted with n' instead of n
- Scaling dimension given (from Coulomb gas arguments) by $d_{n',n} = \frac{(\kappa' \kappa)(\kappa \kappa' 2\kappa 2\kappa')}{\kappa (\kappa')^2}$
- For $\kappa' = \frac{4\kappa}{4-\kappa}$, $d_{n',n} = 2h_{2,1} \Rightarrow$ differential equations for four-point functions [Gamsa, Cardy (2006)]
- How to prove from CLE_{κ} ? Use of geometric meaning of L_{-2} ?

N-leg fields and "descendants" in the O(n) model

- $\bullet\,$ Besides the loops, put N curves from the boundary of the disk to the center
- What are the possible dimensions of the corresponding field at the center? [D., Cardy (2007)]

Perspectives

- OPE's, vertex operator algebra
- Null-fields and modules for VOA
- Analysis of c = 0 cases: logarithmic companion t of stress-energy tensor....